# Some remarks and questions about the AKS algorithm and related conjecture

Martin Mačaj

### Abstract

We show that AKS-algorithm for primality testing (see [1]) can be modified to run in  $\tilde{O}(\log^{7.5} n)$  time. We present some remarks and ask two questions related to this algorithm.

# 1 Introduction

In August 2002 M. Agrawal, N. Kayal and N. Saxena presented 'a deterministic polynomial-time algorithm that determines whether an input number n is prime or composite' (see [1]). They showed that their algorithm runs in  $\tilde{O}(\log^{12} n)$  time and under hypothesis about the density of Sophie Germain primes in  $\tilde{O}(\log^6 n)$  time. They also stated conjecture which, if true, enables to make a deterministic primality-testing algorithm running in  $\tilde{O}(\log^3 n)$  time.

In second section we ask two question related to this algorithm. In third section we show that it suffices to find r such that order of  $n \pmod{r}$  is greater than  $\log^2 n$  and set S of size  $\sqrt{r} \log n$ . Using Fouvry's result we get  $\tilde{O}(\log^{7.5} n)$  time complexity. Last two sections address Question 1 and Question 2, respectively.

We assume that reader is familiar with papers [1] and [3]. We get results modifying proof from these articles so we point only to main differences. log means logarithm with base 2.

# 2 Questions

Here we ask two questions related to the AKS-algorithm. We present motivation to these questions in later sections.

**Question 1** Given a pair of integers n and  $\alpha$  what is the best way to find integer r, s.t. order of  $n \pmod{r}$  is  $\geq \alpha$ ? (We are interested in the case  $\alpha = \log^2 n$ ).

Question 2 Denote by  $PC_n$  the number of polynomials  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  of degree n which are products of cyclotomic polynomials. The generating function for

the sequence  $\{PC_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is the function

$$PC(x) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - x^{\varphi(k)})^{-1},$$

where  $\varphi(k)$  denotes the Euler totient function. Are there real numbers  $a > \frac{1}{2}$ and A > 1 such that  $\text{PC}_n \ge A^{n^a}$ ?

# **3** Introducing order $d_r(n, p)$

In this section we slightly modify Theorem 2 from [3]. This result implies existence of  $\tilde{O}(\log^{7.5} n)$  version of AKS.

Let r be an integer and X be a set of positive integers coprime to r. Denote by  $d_r(X)$  the order of the subgroup of  $(\mathbb{Z}_r^*, \cdot)$  generated by the set X.

**Theorem 3.1** Let n and r be positive integers such that (r, n) = 1. Let p be a prime such that p|n and  $p \leq \sqrt{n}$ . Denote  $d = d_r(n, p)$ . Let S be a finite set of integers. Assume that (n, b - b') = 1 for all distinct  $b, b' \in S$ ;  $\binom{d+|S|-1}{|S|-1} \geq n^{(-1+\sqrt{8d+1})/4}$ ; and that  $(x+b)^n = x^n + b \pmod{n, x^r - 1}$  for all  $b \in S$ . Then n is a power of p.

We put difference from [3] to following three lemmas:

**Lemma 3.2** Let r, n, p and d be as above. Let  $h(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$  be an irreducible polynomial dividing the rth cyclotomic polynomial  $\Phi_r(x)$  and y be a root of h(x). If a polynomial  $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$  satisfies  $g(x^{n^a}) = 0$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]/(h(x))$  for every integer a then g(x) has at least d roots in  $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]/(h(x))$  (namely  $y^{n^u p^v}, u, v \in \mathbb{N}$ ).

**Lemma 3.3** Let r, n, p, d and S be as above. The set of all products  $\prod_{b \in S} (x-b)^{e_b}$  where  $\sum_{b \in S} e_b = d$  has  $\binom{d+|S|-1}{|S|-1}$  elements.

**Lemma 3.4** Let r, n, p, and d be as above. Let  $n = p^{\alpha}$  (If we assume that  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{N}$  then we get  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$ ). Let  $E_c = \{(i,j) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{Z} : n^i p^j \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } n^i p^j \leq n^c\}$ . Then

1. The set  $E_c$  has more than  $\frac{\alpha^2}{2(\alpha-1)}c^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2}c$  elements.

2. If  $c \geq \frac{1+\sqrt{8d+1}}{4}$  then  $E_c$  has more than d elements.

**Proof**. 1 Since  $n = p^{\alpha}$ , the condition  $n^i p^j \le n^c$  is equivalent to the condition  $j \le \lfloor \alpha(c-i) \rfloor$ .

If  $j \ge -i$  then  $n^i p^j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore

$$|E_c| \ge \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \max\{\lfloor \alpha(c-i) \rfloor + i + 1, 0\}.$$

Let  $C = \lfloor \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1} c \rfloor$ . If  $i \leq C$  then  $-i \leq \lfloor \alpha(c - i) \rfloor$  and we get

$$|E_c| \ge \sum_{i=0}^C \lfloor \alpha(c-i) \rfloor + i + 1.$$

Let  $z_i = 1 + \lfloor \alpha(c-i) \rfloor - \alpha(c-i) > 0$ . We get  $\lfloor \alpha(c-i) \rfloor + i + 1 = \alpha c - (\alpha - 1)i + z_i$ and

$$|E_c| \ge \alpha c(C+1) - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha - 1)C(C+1) + \sum_{i=0}^{C} z_i$$

Let  $0 \le z = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1}c - C < 1$  Then

$$|E_c| \ge (\frac{1}{2}\alpha c + \frac{\alpha - 1}{2}z)(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1}c + 1 - z) + \sum_{i=0}^{C} z_i = \frac{\alpha^2}{2(\alpha - 1)}c^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2}c + \frac{\alpha - 1}{2}z(1 - z) + \sum_{i=0}^{C} z_i.$$

Since  $0 \le z(1-z)$  and  $z_i > 0$  we have

$$|E_c| > \frac{\alpha^2}{2(\alpha - 1)}c^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2}c.$$

2 For  $\alpha > 2$  we have  $\frac{\alpha^2}{2(\alpha-1)} > 2$  and  $\frac{\alpha}{2} > 1$ . Hence  $E_c$  has more than  $2c^2 + c$  elements.  $\frac{1+\sqrt{8d+1}}{4}$  is greater root of the polynomial  $2x^2 + x - d \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ . Thus  $2c^2 + c > d$  and  $|E_c| > d$ .  $\Box$ 

If we use  $\binom{2d}{d} \ge 2^d$  we get

**Proposition 3.5** Let n, p, r and d are as above. Let S be a finite set of integers with cardinality d + 1. Assume that (n, b - b') = 1 for all distinct  $b, b' \in S$ ; and that  $(x + b)^n = x^n - 1 \pmod{n, x^r - 1}$  for all  $b \in S$ . If  $d \ge \log^2 n$  then n is a power of p.

Remark. Using bound

$$\binom{2d}{d} \ge \frac{\sqrt{5}}{4} \frac{2^{2d}}{\sqrt{d+\frac{1}{4}}}$$

we can show that if we assume that  $n \ge 2^{13}$  then it suffices to take  $d \ge \frac{1}{8} \log^2(n)$ . Using  $d_r(n)|d_r(n,p)$  and  $d_r(n,p)|\phi(r) \le r-1$  we get

**Theorem 3.6** Let n and r be positive integers such that  $d_r(n) \ge \log^2 n$ . Let  $s = \lceil \sqrt{\frac{r}{2}} \log n \rceil$  Assume that every prime divisor of n is greater than s and that  $(x+b)^n = x^n + b \pmod{n, x^r - 1}$  for all  $b \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots s\}$ . Then n is a power of a prime.

**Proof**. Let  $p \leq \sqrt{n}$  be a prime divisor of n. Let  $d = d_r(p, n)$ . By previous theorem it suffices to show that  $\binom{s+d}{s} = \binom{s+d}{d} \ge n^{\sqrt{d/2}} \ge n^{(-1+\sqrt{8d+1})/4}$ . If  $d \le s$  then this follows from  $\log^2 n \le d_r(n) \le d$ .

If d > s then  $\log {\binom{s+d}{s}} \ge \log {\binom{2s}{s}} \ge s \ge \sqrt{\frac{r}{2}} \log n \ge \sqrt{\frac{d}{2}} \log n = \log n^{\sqrt{d/2}}$ . 

#### How to find required r? 4

So, for given integer n, we want to find an integer r such that  $d_r(n) > \log^2 n$ . We also want to find such an r as small as possible and as soon as possible.

If the conjecture about distribution of Sophie Germain primes holds, then it suffice to seek r between co-Sophie Germain primes. What we can do if this conjecture does not hold? Here are some possible ways.

1) **PRIMES** Copying [1] we can use results from [5], [2] to find a prime rin the range  $O(\log^3 n)$  such that  $d_r(n)$  has a prime factor  $q \ge \log^2 n$ .

**Remark.** If we copy the proof of Lemma 4.2 from [1], we are able to prove that r lies in range  $O(\log^{3+\varepsilon} n)$ . To lose  $\varepsilon$  it suffices to bound the number of prime divisors of an integer m by  $c \log m / \log \log m$ .

As we showed, it is not necessary for  $d_r(n)$  to have large prime factor. Thus, it is possible that we can find better r.

2)(SQUAREFREE) COMPOSITES Maybe we can use the Chinese Remainder Theorem to get required r as a product of some small primes.

3) POWERS OF PRIMES If  $n \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$  then for  $r = 2^{\lceil 2 \log \log n \rceil + 2}$ we have  $d_r(n) = 2^{\lceil 2 \log \log n \rceil} \ge \log^2 n$  and  $r < 8 \log^2 n$ . So for half of odd integers we have instantly very small r. So it seems appropriate to seek r between prime powers.

**Lemma 4.1** Let n be an odd integer. Let  $\nu_2(n,k)$  be an integer such that  $2^{\nu_2(n,k)}||n^{2^k}-1$ . Then

1.  $\nu_2(n,k) = k - 1 + \nu_2(n,1),$ 

2. for  $l \ge \nu_2(n,1)$  we have  $d_{2^l}(n) = 2^{l+1-\nu_2(n,1)}$ .

## Proof.

1. by induction on k. Using  $n^{2^{k+1}} - 1 = (n^{2^k} - 1)(n^{2^k} + 1)$  and  $2||n^{2^k} + 1$ ,

2. follows immediately from 1.

**Lemma 4.2** Let p be an odd prime. Let n be an integer coprime to p. Let  $\alpha = d_p(n)$  and  $m = n^{\alpha}$ . Let  $\nu_p(n,k)$  be an integer such that  $p^{\nu_p(n,k)} || m^{p^k} - 1$ . Then

- 1.  $\nu_p(n,k) = k + \nu_p(n,0),$
- 2. for  $l \ge \nu_p(n,0)$  we have  $d_{p^l}(n) = \alpha p^{l-\nu_p(n,0)}$ .

## Proof.

1. by induction on k. Using

$$m^{p^{k+1}} - 1 = (m^{p^k} - 1)((m^{p^k})^{p-1} + (m^{p^k})^{p-2} + \dots + (m^{p^k}) + 1)$$

and

$$p||(m^{p^{\kappa}})^{p-1} + (m^{p^{\kappa}})^{p-2} + \dots + (m^{p^{\kappa}}) + 1,$$

2. follows immediately from 1.

So we can do following: If n > 2 is even then it is composite. We find  $\nu_2(n,1)$ . If  $2^{\nu_2(n,1)} \leq \log n$  then we have  $r = 2^l$  for some l. Else we look into primes  $\langle \log n$ . If p|n then n is composite. We find  $\nu_p(n,0)$ . If  $p^{\nu_p(n,0)} \leq \log n$  we have  $r = p^l$  for some l.

If all primes  $p < \log n$  fail (**is it possible**?), then n could be suitable for some test based on other tests (see [3] [9]). (If a prime  $p < \log n$  fails then  $p^2 | n^{d_p(n)} - 1$ . For random n this occurs with

(If a prime  $p < \log n$  fails then  $p^2 | n^{d_p(n)} - 1$ . For random *n* this occurs with probability  $(p-1)/p^2 < 1/p$ . Thus *n* for which all primes  $p < \log n$  fail seems to be very rare.)

# 5 Conjecture

In [7] authors stated conjecture (in slightly different form):

**Conjecture** If n is an integer and r is a prime such that

$$(x-1)^n \equiv x^n - 1 \pmod{n, x^r - 1}$$

then n is prime or  $n^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{r}$ .

They also showed that if this conjecture holds then there is a practical deterministic polynomial time algorithm for primality testing.

In this section we present a modified version of this conjecture and show that if there is a positive answer to the Question 2 then this modified conjecture is true.

**Modified Conjecture** There exists a real number B and b such that following statement is true:

Let n and r be coprime integers such that

$$(x-1)^n \equiv x^n - 1 \pmod{n, x^r - 1}$$

Let p > r be a prime dividing n and  $d = d_r(n, p)$ . If  $d \ge B \log^b n$  then n is power of p.

Here we start an attempt to prove this modified conjecture. Main idea lies in following two lemmas:

**Lemma 5.1** Let n and r are coprime integers. Let a be an integer. Assume that  $(x-1)^n \equiv x^n - 1 \pmod{n, x^r - 1}$ . Then

- 1.  $(x^a 1)^n \equiv x^{an} 1 \pmod{n, x^r 1}$ ,
- 2. if  $r \not| a$  then  $\Phi_a^n(x) \equiv \Phi_a(x^n) \pmod{n, \Phi_r(x)}$ ,
- 3. if (r, a) = 1 then  $\Phi_a^n(x) \equiv \Phi_a(x^n) \pmod{n, \frac{x^r 1}{x 1}}$ ,
- 4. if (r,a) = 1 then  $\Phi_a^n(x) \equiv \Phi_a(x^n) \pmod{n, x^r 1} \Leftrightarrow \Phi_a^n(1) \equiv \Phi_a(1^n) \pmod{n}$ .

**Lemma 5.2** Let n and r are coprime integers. Let p be a prime such that p|n and r < p. Let  $d = d_r(n, p)$  and  $h(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$  be an irreducible divisor of  $x^r - 1$ . Let S be a subgroup of  $((\mathbb{Z}_p[x]/(h(x)))^*, \cdot)$  generated by the set  $\{\Phi_a(x) + (h(x)); r \not| a\}$ . Assume that  $(x - 1)^n \equiv x^n - 1 \pmod{n, x^r - 1}$ . Then S has at least  $\operatorname{PC}_d - 2$  elements.

From these two lemmas we get:

**Proposition 5.3** Let n and r are coprime integers. Let  $p < \sqrt{n}$  be a prime such that p|n and r < p. Let  $d = d_r(n,p)$ . Assume that  $(x - 1)^n \equiv x^n - 1 \pmod{n}$ ,  $x^r - 1$  and  $\operatorname{PC}_d > n^{(1+\sqrt{8d+1})/4}$ . Then n is a power of p.

Thus, if answer to Question 2 is positive then Modified Conjecture holds for  $b > (a - 1/2)^{-1}$ .

# References

- [1] M. Agrawal, N. Kayal and N. Saxena : PRIMES is in P. http://www.cse.iitk.ac.in/primality.html.
- [2] R. C. Baker and G. Harman : The Brun-Titchmarsh Theorem on average. In Proceedings of a conference in Honor of Heini Halberstam, Volume 1, pages 39-103, 1996.
- [3] D. Bernstein : An exposition of the Agrawal-Kayal-Saxena primality proving theorem. http://cr.yp.to/papers.html
- [4] P. Berrizbeitia : Sharpening Primes is in P for a large family of numbers. http://xxx.langl.gov/pdf/math.nt/0211334
- [5] R. Bhattacharjee and P. Pandey : Primality testing. http://www.cse.iitk.ac.in/research/btp2001/primality.html.
- [6] E. Fouvry : Theoreme de Brun-Titchmarsh; application au theoreme de Fermat. *Invent. Math.* 79 (1985) 383-407.
- [7] N. Kayal and N. Saxena : Towards a deterministic polynomial-time test. http://www.cse.iitk.ac.in/btp2002/primality.html.

- [8] J. F. Voloch : On some subgroups of the multiplicative group of finite rings.
- [9] P. Berrizbeitia, T. G. Berry and J. Tena-Ayuso : A Generalization of the Proth Theorem. http://www.ldc.usb.ve/ berry/preprints.html.

Authors' address:

Comenius University Department of Algebra and Number Theory Mlynská dolina 842 15 Bratislava, Slovakia e-mail: Martin.Macaj@fmph.uniba.sk