

Lévy group and density measures

Martin Sleziak and Miloš Ziman

September 3–5, 2007

Overview

Main topics:

- ▶ density measures
- ▶ Lévy group

They have applications e.g. in number theory and, more recently, theory of social choice.

We will show that a normalized finitely additive measure on \mathbb{N} extends density if and only if it is preserved by permutations from the Lévy group. We will also present a new characterization of the Lévy group via statistical convergence.

Asymptotic density

The asymptotic density of $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is defined by

$$d(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(n)}{n}$$

if this limit exists, where

$$A(n) = |A \cap \{1, 2, \dots, n\}|.$$

\mathcal{D} = the set of all subsets of \mathbb{N} having asymptotic density

Drawback: Some sets do not have asymptotic density.
Is it possible to extend d to a finitely additive measure?

Density measure

We will call a finitely additive normalized measure on \mathbb{N} briefly a *measure*.

Definition

A *density measure* is a finitely additive measure on \mathbb{N} which extends the asymptotic density; i.e., it is a function $\mu : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) $\mu(\mathbb{N}) = 1$;
- (b) $\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$ for all disjoint $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{N}$;
- (c) $\mu|_{\mathcal{D}} = d$.

References for density measures

The term density measures was probably coined by Dorothy Maharam [M].

Density measures were studied by many authors, e.g.

- ▶ Blass, Frankiewicz, Plebanek and Ryll–Nardzewski [BFPRN]
- ▶ van Douwen [vD]
- ▶ Šalát and Tijdeman in [ŠT].

Existence of density measures

Existence of density measures is usually proved using either Hahn-Banach theorem or ultrafilters.

If \mathcal{F} is any free ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} then

$$\mu_{\mathcal{F}}(A) = \mathcal{F}\text{-}\lim \frac{A(n)}{n}$$

is a density measure

$$\mathcal{F}\text{-}\lim a_n = L \Leftrightarrow \{n \in \mathbb{N}; |a_n - L| < \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{F} \text{ for each } \varepsilon > 0$$

Lévy group

Definition

The *Lévy group* \mathcal{G} is the group of all permutations π of \mathbb{N} satisfying

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{k; k \leq n < \pi(k)\}|}{n} = 0. \quad (1.1)$$

$$\pi \in \mathcal{G} \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(n) - (\pi A)(n)}{n} = 0 \text{ for all } A \subseteq \mathbb{N} \quad (1.2)$$

Equivalent characterization of \mathcal{G}

$$\pi \in \mathcal{G} \Leftrightarrow \limstat_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi(n)}{n} = 1 \quad (1.3)$$

Recall that $\limstat_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = L$ iff for every $\varepsilon > 0$ the set

$$A_\varepsilon = \{n; |x_n - L| \geq \varepsilon\}$$

has zero asymptotic density ($d(A_\varepsilon) = 0$).

\mathcal{F} -lim for $\mathcal{F} = \{A \subseteq \mathbb{N}; d(A) = 1\}$

\mathcal{G} -invariance

Theorem

A measure μ on \mathbb{N} is a density measure if and only if it is \mathcal{G} -invariant, i.e., $\mu(A) = \mu(\pi A)$ for all $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and all permutations $\pi \in \mathcal{G}$.

\mathcal{G} -invariance

We use van Douwen's result [vD, Theorem 1.12]:

Theorem

A measure μ on \mathbb{N} is a density measure if and only if $\mu(A) = \mu(\pi A)$ for all $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and all permutations $\pi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi(n)}{n} = 1. \quad (2.1)$$

$$(2.1) \Rightarrow (1.3)$$

\mathcal{G} -invariant \Rightarrow density measure

This implication follows also from a result of Blümlinger and Obata [BO, Theorem 2].

\mathcal{G} -invariance

The proof of the opposite implication uses the following result (Fridy [F, Theorem 1] or Šalát [Š, Lemma 1.1]):

Theorem

A sequence (x_n) is statistically convergent to $L \in \mathbb{R}$ if and only if there exists a set A such that $d(A) = 1$ and the sequence x_n converges to L along the set A , i.e., L is limit of the subsequence $(x_n)_{n \in A}$.

Basic idea of the proof

If π fulfills (1.3)

$$\pi \in \mathcal{G} \Leftrightarrow \limstat_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi(n)}{n} = 1$$

it can be modified to ψ fulfilling (2.1)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(n)}{n} = 1$$

and πA and ψA differ only in a set of zero density.

$$\mu(A) = \mu(\psi A) = \mu(\pi A)$$

Lévy group and invariance of density measures

Proposition

If π is a permutation such that every density measure is π -invariant, i.e., $\mu(\pi A) = \mu(A)$ for every $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and every density measure μ , then $\pi \in \mathcal{G}$.

An interesting density measure

Blümlinger [B]:

$$2\mathcal{F} = \{B \subseteq \mathbb{N}; B \supseteq 2A \text{ for some } A \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

(the ultrafilter given by the base $\{2A; A \in \mathcal{F}\}$)

$$\mu(A) = 2 (2\mathcal{F})\text{-}\lim \frac{A(n)}{n} - \mathcal{F}\text{-}\lim \frac{A(n)}{n}$$

is a density measure

Let $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \{2^{2^i}, 2^{2^i} + 1, \dots, 2 \cdot 2^{2^i} - 1\}$ and $\{2^{2^i}; i \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \mathcal{F}$.

Then $\mu(A) = 1$ and $\overline{d}(A) = \frac{1}{2}$.

An interesting density measure

A negative answer van Douwen [vD, Question 7A.1]:

Does $\mu(A) \leq \overline{d}(A)$ hold for every density measure?

Counterexample to the following claim of Lauwers [L, p.46]:

Every density measure can be expressed in the form

$$\mu_\varphi(A) = \int_{\beta\mathbb{N}^*} \mathcal{F}\text{-}\lim \frac{A(n)}{n} d\varphi(\mathcal{F}), \quad A \subseteq \mathbb{N} \quad (3.1)$$

for some probability Borel measure φ on the set of all free ultrafilters $\beta\mathbb{N}^$.*

An interesting density measure

Šalát and Tijdeman [ŠT]: Has every density measure the following properties?

- a) If $A(n) \leq B(n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$ (where $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{N}$).
- b) If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(n)}{B(tn)} = 1$ then $\mu(A) = t\mu(B)$ (where $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$).

Answer to both these questions is negative.






- a) If $\mu(A) > \bar{d}(A)$ and $d(B) \in (\bar{d}(A), \mu(A))$ then $B(n) > A(n)$ for $n > n_0$, but $\mu(A) > d(B) = \mu(B)$.
- b) In the preceding example we have $\mu(A) = 1$ and $\mu(2A) = 0$.

Thanks for your attention!

The preprint [SZ] presented here, as well as the text of this talk and these slides can be found at:

<http://thales.doa.fmph.uniba.sk/sleziak/papers/>

Email: sleziak@fmph.uniba.sk

-  M. Blümlinger.
 Lévy group action and invariant measures on $\beta\mathbb{N}$.
Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 348(12):5087–5111, 1996.
-  A. Blass, R. Frankiewicz, G. Plebanek, and C. Ryll-Nardzewski.
 A note on extensions of asymptotic density.
Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 129(11):3313–3320, 2001.
-  M. Blümlinger and N. Obata.
 Permutations preserving Cesáro mean, densities of natural numbers and uniform distribution of sequences.
Ann. Inst. Fourier, 41:665–678, 1991.
-  J. A. Fridy.
 On statistical convergence.
Analysis, 5:301–313, 1985.
-  L. Lauwers.

Intertemporal objective functions: strong Pareto versus anonymity.

Mathematical Social Sciences, 35:37–55, 1998.



D. Maharam.

Finitely additive measures on the integers.

Sankhya, Ser. A, 38:44–59, 1976.



T. Šalát.

On statistically convergent sequences of real numbers.

Mathematica Slovaca, 30:139–150, 1980.



T. Šalát and R. Tijdeman.

Asymptotic densities of sets of positive integers.

Mathematica Slovaca, 33:199–207, 1983.



M. Sleziak and M. Ziman.

Density measures.

submitted.



E. K. van Douwen.

Finitely additive measures on \mathbb{N} .

Topology and its Applications, 47:223–268, 1992.