

## **Haworth, McCoy: Baire spaces**

Various details, problems etc., found when reading [HM].

### **Introduction**

## **1 Basic properties of Baire spaces**

1.1 Nowhere dense sets

1.2 First and second category sets

1.3 Baire spaces

1.4 Isolated points and Baire spaces

## **2 Concepts related to Baire spaces**

2.1 Baire spaces in the strong sense

2.2 Baire Category Theorem

2.3 Complete type properties which imply Baire

## 2.4 Minimal spaces

### 2.4.1 Proposition 2.11

I have doubts about the proof of [HM, Proposition 2.11].

**Proposition 2.4.1.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a Baire space and  $\mathcal{T}^*$  be a topology on  $X$  contained in  $\mathcal{T}$ . If there exists a  $p \in X$  such that  $\{U \in \mathcal{T} \mid p \notin U\} \subseteq \mathcal{T}^*$ , then  $(X, \mathcal{T}^*)$  is a Baire space.*

Namely I see the following problems in the proof:

- “So  $U = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} N_i$  where each  $N_i$  is **closed** and nowhere dense in  $(X, \mathcal{T}^*)$ .”

We only know this for  $\overline{U}$ . Or, we know that  $U \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} N_i$ .

- How do we get contradiction in the second part of the proof if  $U - N_n = \emptyset$ ?

At the moment I neither have a counterexample, nor am I able to correct the proof in the full generality.

However, knowing this for the case that  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is  $T_1$  seems to be sufficient for the rest of this section of this book. Here's the proof for that case. (I've added the assumption that  $\{p\}$  is closed in  $(X, \mathcal{T})$ .)

**Proposition 2.4.2.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a Baire space and  $\mathcal{T}^*$  be a topology on  $X$  contained in  $\mathcal{T}$ . If there exists a  $p \in X$  such that  $\{p\}$  is closed in  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  and  $\{U \in \mathcal{T} \mid p \notin U\} \subseteq \mathcal{T}^*$ , then  $(X, \mathcal{T}^*)$  is a Baire space.*

*Proof.* Notice that the induced topology on the subset  $X \setminus \{p\}$  is the same for both topologies  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  and  $(X, \mathcal{T}^*)$ . Indeed, let  $V$  be open in the topology induced on  $X \setminus \{p\}$  by  $\mathcal{T}$ . If  $V$  is open in  $\mathcal{T}$ , then it is open in  $\mathcal{T}^*$ , since  $p \notin V$ . The remaining possibility is that  $V = U \setminus \{p\} = U \cap (X \setminus \{p\})$  for some set  $U$  which is open in  $\mathcal{T}$ . But, since  $X \setminus \{p\}$  is open, this implies that  $V$  is open in  $\mathcal{T}$ , which is the case we have already solved.<sup>1</sup>

The subspace  $X \setminus \{p\}$  is an open subspace of  $(X, \mathcal{T})$ , thus it is a Baire space by [HM, Proposition 1.14].<sup>2</sup>

If the point  $p$  is not isolated in  $(X, \mathcal{T}^*)$ , then  $X \setminus \{p\}$  is dense in  $(X, \mathcal{T})$ . Consequently,  $(X, \mathcal{T}^*)$  is a Baire space by [HM, Theorem 1.15].<sup>3</sup>

If  $p$  is isolated in  $(X, \mathcal{T}^*)$ , then  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}^*$ . □

### 2.4.2 Minimal Hausdorff spaces

The following construction is used in proof of [HM, Proposition 2.14].

**Lemma 2.4.3.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a topological space,  $p \in X$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  and open filter in  $X$  such that  $p$  is unique adherent point of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Let us define  $\mathcal{T}^* = \mathcal{M} \cup \mathcal{N}$ , where  $\mathcal{M} = \{U \in \mathcal{T} \mid p \notin U\}$  and  $\mathcal{N} = \{U \cup V \mid p \in U \in \mathcal{T}, V \in \mathcal{F}\}$ . (I.e. the neighborhood basis for the new topology is changed only at the point  $p$ .)*

<sup>1</sup>Note that we have used the assumption that  $\{p\}$  is closed to show that the subspace topology is the same.

<sup>2</sup>Every open subspace of a Baire space is a Baire space.

<sup>3</sup>Every space which contains a dense Baire subspace is a Baire space.

- a) The system  $\mathcal{T}^*$  is a topology on  $X$ .
- b) If  $\mathcal{F}$  does not converge to  $p$ , then  $\mathcal{T}^*$  is strictly weaker (coarser) than  $\mathcal{T}$ .
- c) If  $\mathcal{T}$  is Hausdorff, then so is  $\mathcal{T}^*$ .
- d) If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a regular filter and  $\mathcal{T}$  is regular, then so is  $\mathcal{T}^*$ .
- e) If  $\mathcal{T}$  is Urysohn filter and  $\mathcal{T}$  is Urysohn, then so is  $\mathcal{T}^*$ .

A system  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{T} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ , is an *open filter base*, if, for each  $U, V \in \mathcal{B}$ , there exists  $W \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $W \subseteq U \cap V$ .

An open filter base  $\mathcal{B}$  is *regular* if, whenever  $U$  is a member of  $\mathcal{B}$  then there exists a member  $V$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  with  $\text{cl } V \subset U$ .

An open filter base  $\mathcal{B}$  is *Urysohn* provided that for every  $y \in X$ , if  $y$  is not an adherent point of  $\mathcal{B}$ , then there is an open set  $U$  containing  $y$  and a set  $V \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $\text{cl } U \cap \text{cl } V = \emptyset$ .

An Urysohn space, or  $T_{2\frac{1}{2}}$  space, is a topological space in which any two distinct points can be separated by closed neighborhoods.

A system  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ , is an *open filter base*, if:

- $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$ ;
- $A, B \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}$ ;
- $A \in \mathcal{F}, A \subseteq B \in \mathcal{T} \Rightarrow B \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Clearly, if  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$  is an open filter base, then  $\mathcal{F} = \{F \in \mathcal{T}; (\exists B \in \mathcal{B}) B \subseteq F\}$  is an open filter such that  $\mathcal{B}$  converges to  $x$  if and only if  $\mathcal{F}$  converges to  $x$ . The cluster points of  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  are the same, too.

*Proof.* a) Clearly,  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $X \in \mathcal{N}$ .

*Intersection.*  $U_1, U_2 \in \mathcal{M} \Rightarrow U_1 \cap U_2 \in \mathcal{M}$

$p \in U_1 \in \mathcal{T}$ ,  $p \in U_2 \in \mathcal{T} \Rightarrow p \in U_1 \cap U_2 \in \mathcal{T}$  and also  $V_1, V_2 \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow V_1, V_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ .

*Arbitrary union.* Suppose  $W_i \in \mathcal{T}^*$  for each  $i \in I$ . If each  $W_i$  belongs to  $\mathcal{M}$ , then so does  $\bigcup_{i \in I} W_i$ .

Now suppose that there is at least one  $i_0$  such that  $W_{i_0} = U_{i_0} \cup V_{i_0}$  with  $p \in U_{i_0}$  and  $V_{i_0} \in \mathcal{F}$ . We can rewrite each  $W_i$  as  $W_i = U_i \cup V_i$  where  $U_i \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $V_i$  is either  $\emptyset$  or a non-empty open set from  $\mathcal{F}$ , depending on whether  $W_i$  belongs to  $\mathcal{M}$  or  $\mathcal{N}$ .

Then we get  $\bigcup_{i \in I} W_i = U \cup V$ , where  $U = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$  and  $V = \bigcup_{i \in I} V_i$ . We have  $p \in U_{i_0} \subseteq U$  and  $U \in \mathcal{T}$ . Also, since  $V_{i_0} \subseteq V$ , we have  $V \in \mathcal{F}$ . Thus  $W = U \cup V \in \mathcal{N}$ .

b) It is obvious that every set from  $\mathcal{T}^*$  is open in  $\mathcal{T}$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{T}^* \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ .

The filter  $\mathcal{F}$  does not converge to  $p$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ , but it does in  $\mathcal{T}^*$ . Hence the topology  $\mathcal{T}^*$  is strictly weaker than  $\mathcal{T}$ .

c) Let  $x \in X$  and  $x \neq p$ . Since  $x$  is not a cluster point of  $\mathcal{F}$ , there is a  $V \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $x \notin \overline{V}$ . This implies that  $x$  has a neighborhood  $U_1$  such that  $U_1 \cap V = \emptyset$ .

We also know, that  $\mathcal{T}$  is Hausdorff, and therefore there are  $U_2, U \in \mathcal{T}$  such that  $x \in U_2$ ,  $p \in U$  and  $U_2 \cap U = \emptyset$ . Then the sets  $U_1 \cap U_2$  and  $U \cup V$  are  $\mathcal{T}^*$ -open neighborhoods of  $x$  and  $p$ , respectively. These neighborhoods are disjoint.

d) We want to show that for each point of  $X$  and each neighborhood  $W$  of this point in  $\mathcal{T}^*$  there is a smaller closed neighborhood, which is contained in  $W$ .

If  $p \in U \cup V$  where  $U \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $V \in \mathcal{F}$ , then there are  $U', V'$  such that  $\text{cl } U' \subseteq U$  and  $\text{cl } V' \subseteq V$ . Thus we have  $p \in U' \cup V' \subseteq \text{cl } U' \cup \text{cl } V'$ . The later set is closed in  $\mathcal{T}^*$ , too, since the complement is a  $\mathcal{T}$ -open set which does not contain  $p$ .

Now  $x \in U \in \mathcal{T}$ . Since  $x$  is not a cluster point of  $V$  then there is a set  $V \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $x \notin \text{cl } V$ . This implies that there is a neighborhood  $U_1 \subseteq U$  of  $x$  such that  $U_1 \cap V = \emptyset$  and  $\text{cl } U_1 \subseteq U$ . Then  $(X \setminus \text{cl } U_1) \cup V$  is a  $\mathcal{T}^*$ -open subset, which has empty intersection with  $U_1$ . This implies that the  $\mathcal{T}^*$ -closure of  $U_1$  has empty intersection with this set, too, therefore it is a subset of  $\text{cl } U_1$  and, consequently, of  $U$ .

TODO Remaining possibility  $x \in V \in \mathcal{F}$  (i.e.  $x \in U \cup V$ ); it is similar, since  $V$  is  $\mathcal{T}$ -open

e) TODO □

The following result is mentioned e.g. in [B, p.146, Exercise 9.18], [W, Exercise 17M].

**Corollary 2.4.4.** *Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff space.  $X$  is minimal Hausdorff  $\Leftrightarrow$  every open filter with unique cluster point converges.*

Recall, that a Hausdorff space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is minimal Hausdorff if and only if for every Hausdorff topology  $\mathcal{T}'$  on  $X$  we have  $\mathcal{T}' \subseteq \mathcal{T} \Rightarrow \mathcal{T}' = \mathcal{T}$ . (Equivalently: Every one-to-one continuous map of  $X$  to a Hausdorff space is a homeomorphism.)

*Proof.*  $\Rightarrow$  Suppose that there is an open filter  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$ , which has unique cluster point but does not converge. Then Lemma 2.4.3 yields a topology  $\mathcal{T}^*$  which is strictly weaker than  $\mathcal{T}$  and Hausdorff.

$\Leftarrow$  Suppose that  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  has the property, that every open filter with unique cluster point converges. Suppose that there is a topology  $\mathcal{T}'$  which is strictly coarser than  $\mathcal{T}$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{T}' \subsetneq \mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{T}'$  is Hausdorff.

This implies, that there is a set  $V \in \mathcal{T} \setminus \mathcal{T}'$ . In particular,  $\text{Int}' V \subsetneq V$ , where  $\text{Int}' V$  denotes the interior of  $V$  in the topology  $\mathcal{T}'$ .

Let us choose any point  $p \in V \setminus \text{Int}' V$ . In particular, the choice of  $p$  means that for every  $\mathcal{T}'$ -neighborhood  $U$  of  $p$  we have  $U \setminus V \neq \emptyset$ .

Now, since  $\mathcal{T}'$  is Hausdorff, for every  $x \neq p$  we have  $U_x, V_x \in \mathcal{T}'$  such that  $p \in U_x$ ,  $x \in V_x$  and  $U_x \cap V_x = \emptyset$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  is the smallest open filter containing  $\{U_x; x \in X \setminus \{p\}\}$ . (An explicit description of  $\mathcal{F}$  would be that  $\mathcal{F}$  consists of all open supersets of finite intersections of sets from given sets. Note also that all sets  $U_x$  are  $\mathcal{T}$ -open, too.)

In  $(X, \mathcal{T})$ , we have  $\{p\} = \bigcap_{x \in X} \overline{U}_x$ . (It is clear that  $p$  belongs to this set and that  $x \notin \overline{U}_x$  for  $x \neq p$ .) This implies that  $p$  is unique cluster point of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{F}$  converges to  $p$ , which implies  $V \in \mathcal{F}$ . This contradicts the fact, that  $\mathcal{F}$  contains no  $\mathcal{T}'$ -open neighborhoods of  $p$ . □

### 2.4.3 More on minimal Hausdorff spaces

compact Hausdorff  $\Rightarrow$  minimal Hausdorff  $\Rightarrow$  H-closed  $\Rightarrow$  Hausdorff

**H-closed spaces.** A Hausdorff topological space  $X$  is called *H-closed* or *absolutely closed* if it is closed in any Hausdorff space, which contains  $X$  as a subspace. Similarly, *P-closed* spaces can be defined for any topological property  $P$ .

E.g., it is well-known that every compact Hausdorff space is H-closed.

Every minimal Hausdorff space is H-closed. To show this we will use a characterization of H-closed spaces using open filters (see e.g. [W, Problem 17K]. Lemma 2.4.7 and Lemma 2.4.8 are taken from [W, Problem 17K], too.).

**Lemma 2.4.5.** *Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff space.  $X$  is H-closed if and only if every open filter in  $X$  has a cluster point.*

*Proof.*  $\Rightarrow$  Suppose that  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is a H-closed space and that  $\mathcal{F}$  is an open filter on  $X$  with no cluster point.

For  $p \notin X$  we define topology  $\mathcal{T}^* = \mathcal{M} \cup \mathcal{N}$  on  $X \cup \{p\}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M} &= \{U \subseteq X; U \in \mathcal{T}\} \\ \mathcal{N} &= \{\{p\} \cup F; F \in \mathcal{F}\}\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to show that:

- $\mathcal{T}^*$  is a topology on  $X$ . (The proof is similar to the proof given in Lemma 2.4.3).
- $X$  is a subspace of  $X \cup \{p\}$ .
- $X$  is not closed in  $X \cup \{p\}$ . (Since every set in  $\mathcal{F}$  is non-empty, we see that every  $\mathcal{T}^*$ /neighborhood  $\{p\} \cup F$  of the point  $p$  has a non-empty intersection with  $X$ .)

If we show that  $(X \cup \{p\}, \mathcal{T}^*)$  is Hausdorff, we obtain a contradiction and we are done.

If  $x, y \in X$  are two distinct points, they can be separated in  $X \cup \{p\}$  by the same open sets as in  $X$ .

Now let  $x \in X$ . We want to show that  $x$  and  $p$  can be separated by sets from  $\mathcal{T}^*$ . Since  $x$  is not a cluster point of  $F$ , there exists a set  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $x \notin \text{cl } F$ . This means that  $x$  has an open neighborhood  $U$  such that  $U \cap F = \emptyset$ . Then the sets  $U$  and  $F \cup \{p\}$  are  $\mathcal{T}^*$ -neighborhoods of  $x$  and  $p$  which separate these two points.

$\Leftarrow$  Let  $X$  be a space which is not H-closed. This means that there is some Hausdorff space  $Y$  such that  $X$  is a subspace of  $Y$  and  $X$  is not closed in  $Y$ . Let  $p \in \overline{X} \setminus X$  and let  $\mathcal{N}_p$  denotes the set of all neighborhoods of  $p$  in  $Y$ . Then  $\mathcal{F} = \{U \cap X; U \in \mathcal{N}_p\}$  is an open filter on  $X$ . (Every set  $U \cap X$  is non-empty, since  $p \in \overline{X}$ .)

We will show that this open filter has no cluster point in  $X$ . Indeed, let  $x \in X$ . In the Hausdorff space  $Y$  we have neighborhoods  $V \ni x$  and  $U \ni p$  such that  $V \cap Y = \emptyset$ . Then  $V \cap X$  is a neighborhood of  $x$  in  $X$  such that

$(V \cap X) \cap (U \cap X) = \emptyset$ , which shows that  $x \notin \overline{U \cap X}$  and  $x$  is not a cluster point of  $\mathcal{F}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.6.** *Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff space.  $X$  is H-closed if and only if every open cover  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $X$  contains a finite subsystem  $\mathcal{D}$  such that  $\bigcup\{\overline{D}; D \in \mathcal{D}\} = X$ , i.e., the closures of the sets from  $\mathcal{D}$  cover  $X$ .*

*Proof.*  $\Rightarrow$  We assume that there is an open cover  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $\bigcup\{\overline{D}; D \in \mathcal{D}\} \subsetneq X$  for every finite incollection  $\mathcal{D}$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ . We will show that

$$\mathcal{B} = \{X \setminus \overline{U}; U \in \mathcal{C}\}$$

is an open filter base with no cluster point.

The fact that closures of no finite incollection of  $\mathcal{C}$  cover  $X$  means that  $\mathcal{B}$  has finite intersection property. All sets in  $\mathcal{B}$  are open, hence  $\mathcal{B}$  is an open filter base.

If  $x \in X$  then there exists  $U \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $x \in U$ . We have  $U \cap (X \setminus \overline{U}) = \emptyset$ . This shows that  $x$  is not a cluster point of  $\mathcal{B}$ .

$\Leftarrow$  Suppose that  $X$  is not H-closed. This implies the existence of an open filter  $\mathcal{F}$  which has no cluster point. Let

$$\mathcal{C} = \{X \setminus \overline{F}; F \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

Let us show first that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a cover. Indeed, any point  $x \in X$  is not a cluster point of  $\mathcal{F}$ . This implies existence of an  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $x \notin \overline{F}$ , i.e.  $x \in X \setminus \overline{F}$ .

Now if we have a finite subsystem

$$\mathcal{D} = \{X \setminus \overline{F_i}; i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

then

$$\bigcup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \overline{D} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \overline{X \setminus \overline{F_i}} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n (X \setminus \text{Int } \overline{F_i}) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n (X \setminus F_i) = X \setminus \bigcap_{i=1}^n \overline{F_i} \subseteq X \setminus \bigcap_{i=1}^n F_i \subsetneq X.$$

(The last inclusion follows from the fact that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n F_i$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$ , hence it is non-empty.)

We have shown that closures for no finite subsystem  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  cover the space  $X$ .  $\square$

We have already mentioned that every compact space is H-closed. Both 2.4.6 and 2.4.7 show that H-closedness is, in some sense, similar to compactness.

It is very natural to ask whether every H-closed space is compact.

**Lemma 2.4.7.** *Let  $X$  be an H-closed space.  $X$  is compact if and only if  $X$  is regular.*

*Proof.*  $\Rightarrow$  Every compact Hausdorff space is completely regular.

$\Leftarrow$  If we have open cover of  $X$ , then for each  $x \in X$  there is (at least one) set  $U_x$  from this cover such that  $x \in U_x$ . I.e. we have an open cover  $\mathcal{C} = \{U_x; x \in X\}$ . Since  $X$  is regular, for every  $x$  we have an open set  $V_x$  such that

$$x \in V_x \subseteq \overline{V_x} \subseteq U_x.$$

This yields an open cover  $\mathcal{C}' = \{V_x; x \in X\}$ .

By Lemma 2.4.7 there exist a finite set  $x_1 \dots x_n$  such that the closures  $\overline{V}_{x_i}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  cover  $X$ . We get

$$X \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \overline{V_{x_i}} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{x_i},$$

hence  $\{U_{x_i}; i = 1, \dots, n\}$  is a finite subcover.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.8.** *Every minimal Hausdorff space is H-closed.*

*Proof.* TODO  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.9.** *Every minimal Hausdorff space is semiregular.*

*Proof.* TODO ???  $\square$

**Proposition 2.4.10.**  *$X$  is minimal Hausdorff  $\Leftrightarrow X$  is H-closed and semiregular.*

*Dôkaz.*  $\Rightarrow$   
 $\Leftarrow$  TODO  $\square$

### 3 Characterizations of Baire spaces

#### 3.1 Blumberg type theorems

#### 3.2 Covering and filter characterizations

#### 3.3 Characterizations of Baire spaces involving pseudo-complete spaces

#### 3.4 The Banach-Mazur game

#### 3.5 Countably-Baire spaces

### 4 The dynamics of Baire spaces

#### 4.1 Images and inverse images of Baire spaces

#### 4.2 Baire spaces extensions

$\mathcal{B} = \{U^*; U \text{ is open in } X\}$  is a base for a topology on  $X(F)$ . Since  $X^* = X(F)$ , we see that  $\mathcal{B}$  covers  $X(F)$ . If  $x \in U^* \cap V^*$ , then also  $x \in (U \cap V)^*$ . Since  $(U \cap V)^* = U^* \cap V^*$ , we see that  $(U \cap V)^* \subseteq U^*$  and  $(U \cap V)^* \subseteq V^*$ .

**$X$  is a dense subspace of  $X(F)$ .** Since  $\emptyset^* = \emptyset$ , every non-empty basic set has the form  $U^*$  for some  $U \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $U^* \cap X = U$  is non-empty.

**Every open filter is contained in an open ultrafilter.** TODO finite intersection property should be sufficient

TODO Zorn

**If  $A$  is an open dense subset in  $X$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is an open ultrafilter on  $X$ , then  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ .** This follows from the fact that the system  $\mathcal{F} \cup \{A\}$  has f.i.p.

More generally: If  $A$  is an open set which intersects every element of  $\mathcal{F}$  (where  $\mathcal{F}$  is an open ultrafilter), then  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ .

**If  $f$  is an open continuous function from  $X$  into  $Y$ , then there is an open continuous function  $g$  from  $X(F)$  into  $X(G)$  such that  $g|_X = f$ .** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be any map. If we are given a set  $F$  of open filters on  $X$ , we can define the corresponding set of open filters on  $Y$  as

$$G := \{f[\mathcal{F}]; \mathcal{F} \in F\},$$

where

$$f[\mathcal{F}] = \{B \subseteq Y; B \text{ is open and } f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

If  $f$  is continuous then each  $f[\mathcal{F}]$  is indeed an open filter.

Now we can define  $g: X(F) \rightarrow Y(G)$  as  $g(x) = f(x)$  for  $x \in X$  and  $g(\mathcal{F}) = f[\mathcal{F}]$  for  $\mathcal{F} \in F$ . It is clear that  $g|_X = f$ .

This map is also *continuous*. It suffices to notice that for an open subset  $V \subseteq Y$  we have

$$g(\mathcal{F}) \in V^* \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{F} \in f^{-1}(V)$$

which implies that

$$g^{-1}(V^*) = (f^{-1}(V))^*.$$

TODO open map ??? !!!

**Set of all open ultrafilters is admissible.** TODO filter base

**Theorem 4.14.** If  $F$  is an admissible set of open filters on  $X$ , then  $X(F)$  is a Baire space (in fact  $X(F)$  is  $\alpha$ -favorable).

We will describe the tactic (stationary strategy) for the second player. Suppose that the player I has chosen a non-empty open set  $U_n$ . This open set contains some set of the form  $W_n^*$ , where  $W_n$  is a non-empty open subset of  $X$ . The player II can simply choose  $V_n = W_n^*$ .

For any run of the game we get a system  $\{W_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of open subsets of  $X$  such that  $W_{n+1} \subseteq W_n$ . There are two possibilities.

Either  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_n \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $W_n \subseteq W_n^* \subseteq U_n$ , this implies that  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n \neq \emptyset$  and player II wins.

The second possibility is that  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_n = \emptyset$ . In this case, there exists an open filter  $\mathcal{F} \in F$  such that  $\{W_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ . (This follows from the fact that  $F$  is admissible.) So we get for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  that  $W_n \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F} \in W_n^* \Rightarrow \mathcal{F} \in U_n$ . Hence  $\mathcal{F} \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n$  and the intersection  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n$  is non-empty.

### Almost compact spaces

**if  $F$  is the set of all open filters or the set of all open ultrafilters on a space  $X$ , then  $X(F)$  is a generalized absolutely closed space.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be any open ultrafilter on  $X$ . First we show that  $\{A \cap X; A \in \mathcal{F}\}$  has the finite intersection property.

Suppose that  $A, B$  are two open subsets of  $X(F)$  which belong to  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$  and there exists a point  $x \in A \cap B$ . (The point  $x$  belongs to  $X(F)$ , so it is an ultrafilter on  $x$ .) From this we have existence of sets  $U_A, U_B$ , which are open in  $X$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} & x \in U_A^* \subseteq A, x \in U_B^* \subseteq B \\ & \Rightarrow U_A^* \cap U_B^* = (U_A \cap U_B)^* \neq \emptyset \\ & \Rightarrow U_A \cap U_B \neq \emptyset \\ & \Rightarrow A \cap B \cap X \neq \emptyset \end{aligned}$$

The same argument works for finitely many sets instead of two sets.

This shows that  $\{A \cap X; A \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is a system of open subset of  $X$  that has finite intersection property. Therefore there exists an open ultrafilter  $y \in X(F)$  such that  $\{A \cap X; A \in \mathcal{F}\} \subseteq y$ .

Now if  $y \in U^*$ , then  $U$  belongs to  $y$ , which implies  $U \cap A \cap X \neq \emptyset$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ . From this we get  $U^* \cap A \neq \emptyset$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ . This means that  $y$  is a cluster point of the open ultrafilter  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Filters generated by the countably infinite point finite monotone open bases.** TODO

**The product  $\prod_a sX_a$  is a Baire space.** TODO

**If  $X$  is first countable, then  $sX$  is first countable.** TODO

## Contents

<b>1 Basic properties of Baire spaces</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Nowhere dense sets . . . . .	1
1.2 First and second category sets . . . . .	1
1.3 Baire spaces . . . . .	1
1.4 Isolated points and Baire spaces . . . . .	1
<b>2 Concepts related to Baire spaces</b>	<b>1</b>
2.1 Baire spaces in the strong sense . . . . .	1
2.2 Baire Category Theorem . . . . .	1
2.3 Complete type properties which imply Baire . . . . .	1
2.4 Minimal spaces . . . . .	2
2.4.1 Proposition 2.11 . . . . .	2
2.4.2 Minimal Hausdorff spaces . . . . .	3
2.4.3 More on minimal Hausdorff spaces . . . . .	5
<b>3 Characterizations of Baire spaces</b>	<b>9</b>
3.1 Blumberg type theorems . . . . .	9
3.2 Covering and filter characterizations . . . . .	9
3.3 Characterizations of Baire spaces involving pseudo-complete spaces	9
3.4 The Banach-Mazur game . . . . .	9
3.5 Countably-Baire spaces . . . . .	9
<b>4 The dynamics of Baire spaces</b>	<b>9</b>
4.1 Images and inverse images of Baire spaces . . . . .	9
4.2 Baire spaces extensions . . . . .	9

## References

[B] N. Bourbaki. *Elements of Mathematics. General Topology. Chapters I-IV.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.

- [HM] R.C. Haworth and R.A. McCoy. *Baire spaces*. PWN, Warszawa, 1977.  
Dissertationes Mathematicae CXLI.
- [SS] L. A. Steen and J. A. Seebach. *Counterexamples in Topology*. Springer Verlag, New York, 1978.
- [W] S. Willard. *General topology*. Addison-Wesley, Massachussets, 1970.