Pontryagin-van Kampen Duality and Fourier Transform in Hyperfinite Ambience Gordon's Conjectures

Pavol Zlatoš

Faculty of Mathematics, Physics and Informatics Comenius University, Bratislava

December 2012

ション ふゆ マ キャット キャット しょう

1 Pontryagin-van Kampen Duality

1 Pontryagin-van Kampen Duality

2 Fourier Transform



1 Pontryagin-van Kampen Duality

2 Fourier Transform

3 Nonstandard Analysis



1 Pontryagin-van Kampen Duality

2 Fourier Transform

3 Nonstandard Analysis

Pontryagin-van Kampen Duality and Fourier Transform in Hyperfinite Ambience

ション ふゆ マ キャット キャット しょう

PONTRYAGIN-VAN KAMPEN DUALITY FOURIER TRANSFORM NONSTANDARD ANALYSIS PVK DUALITY & FT IN HF AMBIENCE

Pontryagin-van Kampen Duality 1

 $G = (G, +, \tau)$ is a locally compact abelian group (LCA group)

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モー・ モー・ うへぐ

 $G = (G, +, \tau)$ is a locally compact abelian group (LCA group) $\mathbb{T} = \{c \in \mathbb{C}; |c| = 1\}$ – the (compact) group of complex units

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

 $G = (G, +, \tau)$ is a locally compact abelian group (LCA group) $\mathbb{T} = \{c \in \mathbb{C}; |c| = 1\}$ – the (compact) group of complex units $\widehat{G} = \operatorname{Hom}(G, \mathbb{T}) \cap \mathcal{C}(G)$ all continuous *characters* (homomorphisms) $G \to \mathbb{T}$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

 $G = (G, +, \tau)$ is a locally compact abelian group (LCA group) $\mathbb{T} = \{c \in \mathbb{C}; |c| = 1\}$ – the (compact) group of complex units $\widehat{G} = \operatorname{Hom}(G, \mathbb{T}) \cap \mathcal{C}(G)$ all continuous *characters* (homomorphisms) $G \to \mathbb{T}$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

pointwise multiplication in \widehat{G} :

$$\begin{split} G &= (G, +, \tau) \text{ is a locally compact abelian group (LCA group)} \\ \mathbb{T} &= \{c \in \mathbb{C}; \ |c| = 1\} - \text{the (compact) group of complex units} \\ \widehat{G} &= \operatorname{Hom}(G, \mathbb{T}) \cap \mathcal{C}(G) \\ \text{all continuous characters (homomorphisms)} \ G \to \mathbb{T} \\ \text{pointwise multiplication in } \widehat{G}: \\ \widehat{G} &= \widehat{G} \end{split}$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

 $(\gamma \cdot \chi)(x) = \gamma(x) \chi(x)$ for $\gamma, \chi \in \widehat{G}, x \in G$

$$\begin{split} G &= (G, +, \tau) \text{ is a locally compact abelian group (LCA group)} \\ \mathbb{T} &= \{c \in \mathbb{C}; \ |c| = 1\} - \text{the (compact) group of complex units} \\ \widehat{G} &= \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{T}) \cap \mathcal{C}(G) \\ \text{all continuous characters (homomorphisms)} \ G \to \mathbb{T} \\ \text{pointwise multiplication in } \widehat{G}: \\ &\quad (\gamma \cdot \chi)(x) = \gamma(x) \, \chi(x) \qquad \text{for } \gamma, \chi \in \widehat{G}, \ x \in G \end{split}$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Bohr sets in \widehat{G} , for $A \subseteq G$, $0 < \alpha \leq \pi$,

 $G = (G, +, \tau)$ is a locally compact abelian group (LCA group) $\mathbb{T} = \{c \in \mathbb{C}; |c| = 1\}$ – the (compact) group of complex units $\widehat{G} = \operatorname{Hom}(G, \mathbb{T}) \cap \mathcal{C}(G)$ all continuous *characters* (homomorphisms) $G \to \mathbb{T}$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

pointwise multiplication in \widehat{G} :

 $(\gamma \cdot \chi)(x) = \gamma(x) \chi(x) \quad \text{for } \gamma, \chi \in \widehat{G}, \ x \in G$ Bohr sets in \widehat{G} , for $A \subseteq G, \ 0 < \alpha \le \pi$, $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(A) = \left\{ \chi \in \widehat{G}; \ \forall a \in A : |\arg \chi(a)| \le \alpha \right\}$

 $G = (G, +, \tau)$ is a locally compact abelian group (LCA group) $\mathbb{T} = \{c \in \mathbb{C}; |c| = 1\}$ – the (compact) group of complex units $\widehat{G} = \operatorname{Hom}(G, \mathbb{T}) \cap \mathcal{C}(G)$ all continuous *characters* (homomorphisms) $G \to \mathbb{T}$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

pointwise multiplication in \widehat{G} : $(\gamma \cdot \chi)(x) = \gamma(x) \chi(x)$ for $\gamma, \chi \in \widehat{G}, x \in G$ Bohr sets in \widehat{G} , for $A \subseteq G, 0 < \alpha \leq \pi$, $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(A) = \{\chi \in \widehat{G}; \forall a \in A : |\arg \chi(a)| \leq \alpha\}$ similarly, for $\Gamma \subseteq \widehat{G}$, we have Bohr sets in G

 $G = (G, +, \tau)$ is a locally compact abelian group (LCA group) $\mathbb{T} = \{c \in \mathbb{C}; |c| = 1\}$ – the (compact) group of complex units $\widehat{G} = \operatorname{Hom}(G, \mathbb{T}) \cap \mathcal{C}(G)$ all continuous *characters* (homomorphisms) $G \to \mathbb{T}$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

pointwise multiplication in \widehat{G} :

 $(\gamma \cdot \chi)(x) = \gamma(x) \chi(x) \quad \text{for } \gamma, \chi \in \widehat{G}, \ x \in G$ Bohr sets in \widehat{G} , for $A \subseteq G, \ 0 < \alpha \le \pi$, $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(A) = \left\{ \chi \in \widehat{G}; \ \forall a \in A : |\arg \chi(a)| \le \alpha \right\}$ similarly, for $\Gamma \subseteq \widehat{G}$, we have Bohr sets in G $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(\Gamma) = \left\{ x \in G; \ \forall \gamma \in \Gamma : |\arg \gamma(x)| \le \alpha \right\}$ PONTRYAGIN-VAN KAMPEN DUALITY FOURIER TRANSFORM NONSTANDARD ANALYSIS PVK DUALITY & FT IN HF AMBIENCE

Pontryagin-van Kampen Duality 2



• \widehat{G} is an **LCA group** with pointwise multiplication and compact-open topology – **dual group** of G

• \widehat{G} is an **LCA group** with pointwise multiplication and compact-open topology – **dual group** of G

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

• neighborhood bases of the trivial character $1_G \in \widehat{G}$

• \widehat{G} is an **LCA group** with pointwise multiplication and compact-open topology – **dual group** of G

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

• neighborhood bases of the trivial character $1_G \in \widehat{G}$

• \widehat{G} is an LCA group with pointwise multiplication and compact-open topology – dual group of G

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

• neighborhood bases of the trivial character $1_G \in \widehat{G}$ $\{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(A); 0 \in A \subseteq G \text{ is compact and } 0 < \alpha < 2\pi/3\}$

• \widehat{G} is an LCA group with pointwise multiplication and compact-open topology – dual group of G

うつん 川田 スポット エット スロッ

• neighborhood bases of the trivial character $1_G \in \widehat{G}$ $\{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(A); 0 \in A \subseteq G \text{ is compact and } 0 < \alpha < 2\pi/3\}$

Examples.

• $\widehat{G \times H} \cong \widehat{G} \times \widehat{H}$

- \widehat{G} is an LCA group with pointwise multiplication and compact-open topology dual group of G
- neighborhood bases of the trivial character $1_G \in \widehat{G}$ $\{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(A); 0 \in A \subseteq G \text{ is compact and } 0 < \alpha < 2\pi/3\}$

Examples.

- $\widehat{G \times H} \cong \widehat{G} \times \widehat{H}$
- $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$: $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ corresponds to the character $k \mapsto e^{2\pi i a k/n}$

- \widehat{G} is an LCA group with pointwise multiplication and compact-open topology dual group of G
- neighborhood bases of the trivial character $1_G \in \widehat{G}$ $\{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(A); 0 \in A \subseteq G \text{ is compact and } 0 < \alpha < 2\pi/3\}$

Examples.

- $\widehat{G \times H} \cong \widehat{G} \times \widehat{H}$
- $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$: $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ corresponds to the character $k \mapsto e^{2\pi i a k/n}$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

• $\widehat{G} \cong G$ for each finite abelian group G

- \widehat{G} is an LCA group with pointwise multiplication and compact-open topology dual group of G
- neighborhood bases of the trivial character $1_G \in \widehat{G}$ $\{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(A); 0 \in A \subseteq G \text{ is compact and } 0 < \alpha < 2\pi/3\}$

Examples.

- $\widehat{G \times H} \cong \widehat{G} \times \widehat{H}$
- $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$: $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ corresponds to the character $k \mapsto e^{2\pi i a k/n}$
- $\widehat{G} \cong G$ for each finite abelian group G
- $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \cong \mathbb{T}$: $c \in \mathbb{T}$ corresponds to the character $n \mapsto c^n$

- \widehat{G} is an LCA group with pointwise multiplication and compact-open topology dual group of G
- neighborhood bases of the trivial character $1_G \in \widehat{G}$ $\{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(A); 0 \in A \subseteq G \text{ is compact and } 0 < \alpha < 2\pi/3\}$

Examples.

- $\widehat{G \times H} \cong \widehat{G} \times \widehat{H}$
- $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$: $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ corresponds to the character $k \mapsto e^{2\pi i a k/n}$
- $\widehat{G} \cong G$ for each finite abelian group G
- $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \cong \mathbb{T}$: $c \in \mathbb{T}$ corresponds to the character $n \mapsto c^n$
- $\widehat{\mathbb{T}} \cong \mathbb{Z}$: $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ corresponds to the character $c \to c^n$

- \widehat{G} is an LCA group with pointwise multiplication and compact-open topology dual group of G
- neighborhood bases of the trivial character $1_G \in \widehat{G}$ $\{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(A); 0 \in A \subseteq G \text{ is compact and } 0 < \alpha < 2\pi/3\}$

Examples.

- $\widehat{G \times H} \cong \widehat{G} \times \widehat{H}$
- $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$: $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ corresponds to the character $k \mapsto e^{2\pi i a k/n}$
- $\widehat{G} \cong G$ for each finite abelian group G
- $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \cong \mathbb{T}$: $c \in \mathbb{T}$ corresponds to the character $n \mapsto c^n$
- $\widehat{\mathbb{T}} \cong \mathbb{Z}$: $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ corresponds to the character $c \to c^n$
- $\widehat{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathbb{R}$: $a \in \mathbb{R}$ corresponds to the character $t \mapsto e^{iat}$

PONTRYAGIN-VAN KAMPEN DUALITY FOURIER TRANSFORM NONSTANDARD ANALYSIS PVK DUALITY & FT IN HF AMBIENCE

Pontryagin-van Kampen Duality 3



・ロト ・ 日 ・ モー・ モー・ うへぐ

Pontryagin-van Kampen duality theorem.

Pontryagin-van Kampen duality theorem.

The natural mapping $G \to \widehat{\widehat{G}}$, assigning to $x \in G$ the character $x : \widehat{G} \to \mathbb{T}$, given by $x(\gamma) = \gamma(x)$ for $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$, is isomorphism of topological groups $G \cong \widehat{\widehat{G}}$.

Pontryagin-van Kampen duality theorem.

The natural mapping $G \to \widehat{G}$, assigning to $x \in G$ the character $x : \widehat{G} \to \mathbb{T}$, given by $x(\gamma) = \gamma(x)$ for $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$, is isomorphism of topological groups $G \cong \widehat{\widehat{G}}$.

Consequences.

• G is discrete (compact) iff \hat{G} is compact (discrete)

Pontryagin-van Kampen duality theorem.

The natural mapping $G \to \widehat{G}$, assigning to $x \in G$ the character $x : \widehat{G} \to \mathbb{T}$, given by $x(\gamma) = \gamma(x)$ for $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$, is isomorphism of topological groups $G \cong \widehat{\widehat{G}}$.

Consequences.

• G is discrete (compact) iff \widehat{G} is compact (discrete)

• G is metrizable (σ -compact) iff \widehat{G} is σ -compact (metrizable)

Pontryagin-van Kampen duality theorem.

The natural mapping $G \to \widehat{G}$, assigning to $x \in G$ the character $x : \widehat{G} \to \mathbb{T}$, given by $x(\gamma) = \gamma(x)$ for $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$, is isomorphism of topological groups $G \cong \widehat{\widehat{G}}$.

Consequences.

- G is discrete (compact) iff \widehat{G} is compact (discrete)
- G is metrizable (σ -compact) iff \widehat{G} is σ -compact (metrizable)
- Subgroup $D \leq \widehat{G}$ is dense in \widehat{G} iff the characters $\gamma \in D$ separate points in G

Pontryagin-van Kampen duality theorem.

The natural mapping $G \to \widehat{G}$, assigning to $x \in G$ the character $x : \widehat{G} \to \mathbb{T}$, given by $x(\gamma) = \gamma(x)$ for $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$, is isomorphism of topological groups $G \cong \widehat{\widehat{G}}$.

Consequences.

- G is discrete (compact) iff \widehat{G} is compact (discrete)
- G is metrizable (σ -compact) iff \widehat{G} is σ -compact (metrizable)
- Subgroup $D \leq \widehat{G}$ is dense in \widehat{G} iff the characters $\gamma \in D$ separate points in G
- G is connected iff \hat{G} has no nontrivial compact subgroups

Pontryagin-van Kampen duality theorem.

The natural mapping $G \to \widehat{G}$, assigning to $x \in G$ the character $x : \widehat{G} \to \mathbb{T}$, given by $x(\gamma) = \gamma(x)$ for $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$, is isomorphism of topological groups $G \cong \widehat{\widehat{G}}$.

Consequences.

- G is discrete (compact) iff \widehat{G} is compact (discrete)
- G is metrizable (σ -compact) iff \widehat{G} is σ -compact (metrizable)
- Subgroup $D \leq \widehat{G}$ is dense in \widehat{G} iff the characters $\gamma \in D$ separate points in G
- G is connected iff \hat{G} has no nontrivial compact subgroups
- G is totally disconnected iff each $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$ generates a relatively compact subgroup

PONTRYAGIN-VAN KAMPEN DUALITY FOURIER TRANSFORM NONSTANDARD ANALYSIS PVK DUALITY & FT IN HF AMBIENCE

Fourier Transform 1

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへで

Fourier Transform 1

 $\boldsymbol{m}_{G}, \ \boldsymbol{m}_{\widehat{G}}$ denote Haar measures on $G, \ \widehat{G},$ resp.

 $\boldsymbol{m}_{G}, \ \boldsymbol{m}_{\widehat{G}}$ denote Haar measures on $G, \ \widehat{G},$ resp.

•
$$\widehat{f}(\gamma) = \int f \cdot \overline{\gamma} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_G = \int f(x) \, \overline{\gamma(x)} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_G(x)$$

for $f \in \mathrm{L}^1(G) = \mathrm{L}^1(G, \boldsymbol{m}_G), \ \gamma \in \widehat{G}$

 $\boldsymbol{m}_{G}, \ \boldsymbol{m}_{\widehat{G}}$ denote Haar measures on $G, \ \widehat{G},$ resp.

•
$$\widehat{f}(\gamma) = \int f \cdot \overline{\gamma} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_G = \int f(x) \, \overline{\gamma(x)} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_G(x)$$

for $f \in \mathrm{L}^1(G) = \mathrm{L}^1(G, \boldsymbol{m}_G), \ \gamma \in \widehat{G}$

• \widehat{f} is the Fourier transform of f,

 $\boldsymbol{m}_{G}, \ \boldsymbol{m}_{\widehat{G}}$ denote Haar measures on $G, \ \widehat{G},$ resp.

•
$$\widehat{f}(\gamma) = \int f \cdot \overline{\gamma} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_G = \int f(x) \, \overline{\gamma(x)} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_G(x)$$

for $f \in \mathrm{L}^1(G) = \mathrm{L}^1(G, \boldsymbol{m}_G), \ \gamma \in \widehat{G}$

• \widehat{f} is the Fourier transform of f,

 $m_G, m_{\widehat{G}}$ denote *Haar measures* on G, \widehat{G} , resp.

•
$$\widehat{f}(\gamma) = \int f \cdot \overline{\gamma} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_G = \int f(x) \, \overline{\gamma(x)} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_G(x)$$

for $f \in \mathrm{L}^1(G) = \mathrm{L}^1(G, \boldsymbol{m}_G), \ \gamma \in \widehat{G}$

• \widehat{f} is the Fourier transform of f, $\|\widehat{f}\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_{1}$

 $m_G, m_{\widehat{G}}$ denote *Haar measures* on G, \widehat{G} , resp.

•
$$\widehat{f}(\gamma) = \int f \cdot \overline{\gamma} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_G = \int f(x) \, \overline{\gamma(x)} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_G(x)$$

for $f \in \mathrm{L}^1(G) = \mathrm{L}^1(G, \boldsymbol{m}_G), \ \gamma \in \widehat{G}$

• \widehat{f} is the Fourier transform of f, $\|\widehat{f}\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_{1}$

•
$$f \mapsto \mathcal{F}(f) = \hat{f}$$
 defines bounded linear operator
 $\mathcal{F}: \mathrm{L}^{1}(G) \to \mathrm{C}_{0}(\hat{G})$

 $\boldsymbol{m}_{G}, \ \boldsymbol{m}_{\widehat{G}}$ denote *Haar measures* on $G, \ \widehat{G},$ resp.

•
$$\widehat{f}(\gamma) = \int f \cdot \overline{\gamma} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_G = \int f(x) \, \overline{\gamma(x)} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_G(x)$$

for $f \in \mathrm{L}^1(G) = \mathrm{L}^1(G, \boldsymbol{m}_G), \ \gamma \in \widehat{G}$

- \widehat{f} is the Fourier transform of f, $\|\widehat{f}\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_{1}$
- $f \mapsto \mathcal{F}(f) = \hat{f}$ defines bounded linear operator $\mathcal{F}: \mathrm{L}^{1}(G) \to \mathrm{C}_{0}(\hat{G})$
- $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_G$ is called the **Fourier transform** on G

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

 $\boldsymbol{m}_{G}, \ \boldsymbol{m}_{\widehat{G}}$ denote *Haar measures* on $G, \ \widehat{G},$ resp.

•
$$\widehat{f}(\gamma) = \int f \cdot \overline{\gamma} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_G = \int f(x) \, \overline{\gamma(x)} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_G(x)$$

for $f \in \mathrm{L}^1(G) = \mathrm{L}^1(G, \boldsymbol{m}_G), \ \gamma \in \widehat{G}$

- \widehat{f} is the Fourier transform of f, $\|\widehat{f}\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_{1}$
- $f \mapsto \mathcal{F}(f) = \hat{f}$ defines bounded linear operator $\mathcal{F} : \mathrm{L}^{1}(G) \to \mathrm{C}_{0}(\hat{G})$
- $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_G$ is called the **Fourier transform** on G

• $L^1(G)$ is associative algebra under convolution

 $\boldsymbol{m}_{G}, \ \boldsymbol{m}_{\widehat{G}}$ denote *Haar measures* on $G, \ \widehat{G},$ resp.

•
$$\widehat{f}(\gamma) = \int f \cdot \overline{\gamma} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_G = \int f(x) \, \overline{\gamma(x)} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_G(x)$$

for $f \in \mathrm{L}^1(G) = \mathrm{L}^1(G, \boldsymbol{m}_G), \ \gamma \in \widehat{G}$

- \widehat{f} is the Fourier transform of f, $\|\widehat{f}\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_{1}$
- $f \mapsto \mathcal{F}(f) = \hat{f}$ defines bounded linear operator $\mathcal{F} : \mathrm{L}^{1}(G) \to \mathrm{C}_{0}(\hat{G})$
- $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_G$ is called the **Fourier transform** on G

• $L^1(G)$ is associative algebra under convolution

 $\boldsymbol{m}_{G}, \ \boldsymbol{m}_{\widehat{G}}$ denote *Haar measures* on $G, \ \widehat{G},$ resp.

•
$$\widehat{f}(\gamma) = \int f \cdot \overline{\gamma} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_G = \int f(x) \, \overline{\gamma(x)} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_G(x)$$

for $f \in \mathrm{L}^1(G) = \mathrm{L}^1(G, \boldsymbol{m}_G), \ \gamma \in \widehat{G}$

- \widehat{f} is the Fourier transform of f, $\|\widehat{f}\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_{1}$
- $f \mapsto \mathcal{F}(f) = \hat{f}$ defines bounded linear operator $\mathcal{F} : \mathrm{L}^{1}(G) \to \mathrm{C}_{0}(\hat{G})$
- $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_G$ is called the **Fourier transform** on G

• $L^{1}(G)$ is associative algebra under convolution $(f * g)(x) = \int f(x - t) g(t) d\mathbf{m}_{G}(t)$

 $\boldsymbol{m}_{G}, \ \boldsymbol{m}_{\widehat{G}}$ denote *Haar measures* on $G, \ \widehat{G},$ resp.

•
$$\widehat{f}(\gamma) = \int f \cdot \overline{\gamma} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_G = \int f(x) \, \overline{\gamma(x)} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_G(x)$$

for $f \in \mathrm{L}^1(G) = \mathrm{L}^1(G, \boldsymbol{m}_G), \ \gamma \in \widehat{G}$

- \widehat{f} is the Fourier transform of f, $\|\widehat{f}\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_{1}$
- $f \mapsto \mathcal{F}(f) = \hat{f}$ defines bounded linear operator $\mathcal{F}: \mathrm{L}^{1}(G) \to \mathrm{C}_{0}(\hat{G})$
- $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_G$ is called the **Fourier transform** on G
- $L^{1}(G)$ is associative algebra under convolution $(f * g)(x) = \int f(x - t) g(t) d\mathbf{m}_{G}(t)$
- $C_0(\widehat{G})$ is associative algebra under pointwise multiplication

 $\boldsymbol{m}_{G}, \ \boldsymbol{m}_{\widehat{G}}$ denote *Haar measures* on $G, \ \widehat{G},$ resp.

•
$$\widehat{f}(\gamma) = \int f \cdot \overline{\gamma} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_G = \int f(x) \, \overline{\gamma(x)} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_G(x)$$

for $f \in \mathrm{L}^1(G) = \mathrm{L}^1(G, \boldsymbol{m}_G), \ \gamma \in \widehat{G}$

- \widehat{f} is the Fourier transform of f, $\|\widehat{f}\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_{1}$
- $f \mapsto \mathcal{F}(f) = \hat{f}$ defines bounded linear operator $\mathcal{F}: \mathrm{L}^{1}(G) \to \mathrm{C}_{0}(\hat{G})$
- $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_G$ is called the **Fourier transform** on G
- $L^{1}(G)$ is associative algebra under convolution $(f * g)(x) = \int f(x - t) g(t) d\mathbf{m}_{G}(t)$
- $C_0(\widehat{G})$ is associative algebra under pointwise multiplication

 $\boldsymbol{m}_{G}, \ \boldsymbol{m}_{\widehat{G}}$ denote *Haar measures* on $G, \ \widehat{G},$ resp.

•
$$\widehat{f}(\gamma) = \int f \cdot \overline{\gamma} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_G = \int f(x) \, \overline{\gamma(x)} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_G(x)$$

for $f \in \mathrm{L}^1(G) = \mathrm{L}^1(G, \boldsymbol{m}_G), \ \gamma \in \widehat{G}$

- \widehat{f} is the Fourier transform of f, $\|\widehat{f}\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_{1}$
- $f \mapsto \mathcal{F}(f) = \hat{f}$ defines bounded linear operator $\mathcal{F}: \mathrm{L}^{1}(G) \to \mathrm{C}_{0}(\hat{G})$
- $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_G$ is called the **Fourier transform** on G
- $L^{1}(G)$ is associative algebra under convolution $(f * g)(x) = \int f(x - t) g(t) d\mathbf{m}_{G}(t)$
- $C_0(\widehat{G})$ is associative algebra under pointwise multiplication $(\varphi \cdot \psi)(\gamma) = \varphi(\gamma) \psi(\gamma)$

 $\boldsymbol{m}_{G}, \ \boldsymbol{m}_{\widehat{G}}$ denote *Haar measures* on $G, \ \widehat{G},$ resp.

•
$$\widehat{f}(\gamma) = \int f \cdot \overline{\gamma} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_G = \int f(x) \, \overline{\gamma(x)} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_G(x)$$

for $f \in \mathrm{L}^1(G) = \mathrm{L}^1(G, \boldsymbol{m}_G), \ \gamma \in \widehat{G}$

- \widehat{f} is the Fourier transform of f, $\|\widehat{f}\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_{1}$
- $f \mapsto \mathcal{F}(f) = \hat{f}$ defines bounded linear operator $\mathcal{F} : \mathrm{L}^{1}(G) \to \mathrm{C}_{0}(\hat{G})$
- $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_G$ is called the **Fourier transform** on G
- $L^{1}(G)$ is associative algebra under convolution $(f * g)(x) = \int f(x - t) g(t) d\mathbf{m}_{G}(t)$
- $C_0(\widehat{G})$ is associative algebra under pointwise multiplication $(\varphi \cdot \psi)(\gamma) = \varphi(\gamma) \, \psi(\gamma)$
- $\mathcal{F}(f * g) = \mathcal{F}(f) \mathcal{F}(g),$

 $\boldsymbol{m}_{G}, \ \boldsymbol{m}_{\widehat{G}}$ denote *Haar measures* on $G, \ \widehat{G},$ resp.

•
$$\widehat{f}(\gamma) = \int f \cdot \overline{\gamma} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_G = \int f(x) \, \overline{\gamma(x)} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_G(x)$$

for $f \in \mathrm{L}^1(G) = \mathrm{L}^1(G, \boldsymbol{m}_G), \ \gamma \in \widehat{G}$

- \widehat{f} is the Fourier transform of f, $\|\widehat{f}\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_{1}$
- $f \mapsto \mathcal{F}(f) = \hat{f}$ defines bounded linear operator $\mathcal{F} : \mathrm{L}^{1}(G) \to \mathrm{C}_{0}(\hat{G})$
- $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_G$ is called the **Fourier transform** on G
- $L^{1}(G)$ is associative algebra under convolution $(f * g)(x) = \int f(x - t) g(t) d\mathbf{m}_{G}(t)$
- $C_0(\widehat{G})$ is associative algebra under pointwise multiplication $(\varphi \cdot \psi)(\gamma) = \varphi(\gamma) \, \psi(\gamma)$
- $\mathcal{F}(f * g) = \mathcal{F}(f) \mathcal{F}(g),$

 $\boldsymbol{m}_{G}, \ \boldsymbol{m}_{\widehat{G}}$ denote *Haar measures* on $G, \ \widehat{G},$ resp.

•
$$\widehat{f}(\gamma) = \int f \cdot \overline{\gamma} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_G = \int f(x) \, \overline{\gamma(x)} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_G(x)$$

for $f \in \mathrm{L}^1(G) = \mathrm{L}^1(G, \boldsymbol{m}_G), \ \gamma \in \widehat{G}$

- \widehat{f} is the Fourier transform of f, $\|\widehat{f}\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_{1}$
- $f \mapsto \mathcal{F}(f) = \hat{f}$ defines bounded linear operator $\mathcal{F} : \mathrm{L}^{1}(G) \to \mathrm{C}_{0}(\hat{G})$
- $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_G$ is called the **Fourier transform** on G
- $L^{1}(G)$ is associative algebra under convolution $(f * g)(x) = \int f(x - t) g(t) d\mathbf{m}_{G}(t)$
- $C_0(\widehat{G})$ is associative algebra under pointwise multiplication $(\varphi \cdot \psi)(\gamma) = \varphi(\gamma) \, \psi(\gamma)$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

• $\mathcal{F}(f * g) = \mathcal{F}(f) \mathcal{F}(g), \qquad \widehat{f * g} = \widehat{f} \ \widehat{g}$

PONTRYAGIN-VAN KAMPEN DUALITY FOURIER TRANSFORM NONSTANDARD ANALYSIS PVK DUALITY & FT IN HF AMBIENCE

Fourier Transform 2

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへで

For finite abelian group G:



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲国▶ ▲国▶ - 国 - のへで

For finite abelian group G:

•
$$\mathcal{F}(f)(\gamma) = \widehat{f}(\gamma) = d_G \sum_{x \in G} f(x) \,\overline{\gamma}(x) = \langle f, \gamma \rangle_G$$

 $d_G>0$ is normalizing coefficient, typically $d_G=1 \text{ or } 1/|G|$ or $1/\sqrt{|G|}$

For finite abelian group G:

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ □ のへぐ

For finite abelian group G:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回 のへぐ

For finite abelian group G:

- *F*(f)(γ) = *f*(γ) = d_G Σ_{x∈G} f(x) *γ*(x) = ⟨f, γ⟩_G d_G > 0 is normalizing coefficient, typically d_G = 1 or 1/|G| or 1/√|G|
 ⟨f,g⟩_G = d_G Σ_{x∈G} f(x) *g*(x) - scalar product on C^G ⟨γ, χ⟩_G = |G| d_G δ_{γ,χ} - characters are orthogonal
- $\mathcal{F}_G: \mathbb{C}^G \to \mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$ is linear isomorphism
- Fourier inversion formula and Plancherel identity
 - $$\begin{split} f &= d_{\widehat{G}} \sum_{\gamma \in \widehat{G}} \widehat{f}(\gamma) \, \gamma & \langle f, g \rangle_G = \big\langle \widehat{f}, \, \widehat{g} \big\rangle_{\widehat{G}} \\ \text{hold once } d_G \, d_{\widehat{G}} &= 1/|G| \end{split}$$

For finite abelian group G:

- *F*(*f*)(*γ*) = *f*(*γ*) = *d*_G ∑_{x∈G} *f*(*x*) *γ*(*x*) = ⟨*f*, *γ*⟩_G *d*_G > 0 is normalizing coefficient, typically *d*_G = 1 or 1/|*G*| or 1/√|*G*|
 ⟨*f*, *g*⟩_G = *d*_G ∑_{x∈G} *f*(*x*) *g*(*x*) - scalar product on ℂ^G ⟨*γ*, *χ*⟩_G = |*G*| *d*_G δ_{*γ*,*χ*} - characters are orthogonal *F*_G : ℂ^G → ℂ^Ĝ is linear isomorphism
- Fourier inversion formula and Plancherel identity
- $f = d_{\widehat{G}} \sum_{\gamma \in \widehat{G}} \widehat{f}(\gamma) \gamma \qquad \langle f, g \rangle_G = \langle \widehat{f}, \widehat{g} \rangle_{\widehat{G}}$ hold once $d_G d_{\widehat{G}} = 1/|G|$ • For $G = \mathbb{Z}_n$: $\widehat{f}(a) = d_G \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f(k) e^{-2\pi i a k/n}$

For finite abelian group G:

- *F*(*f*)(*γ*) = *f*(*γ*) = *d*_G ∑_{x∈G} *f*(*x*) *γ*(*x*) = ⟨*f*, *γ*⟩_G *d*_G > 0 is normalizing coefficient, typically *d*_G = 1 or 1/|*G*| or 1/√|*G*|
 ⟨*f*, *g*⟩_G = *d*_G ∑_{x∈G} *f*(*x*) *g*(*x*) - scalar product on ℂ^G ⟨*γ*, *χ*⟩_G = |*G*| *d*_G δ_{*γ*,*χ*} - characters are orthogonal *F*_G : ℂ^G → ℂ^Ĝ is linear isomorphism
- Fourier inversion formula and Plancherel identity
 - $$\begin{split} f &= d_{\widehat{G}} \sum_{\gamma \in \widehat{G}} \widehat{f}(\gamma) \gamma & \langle f, g \rangle_G = \left\langle \widehat{f}, \, \widehat{g} \right\rangle_{\widehat{G}} \\ \text{hold once } d_G \, d_{\widehat{G}} &= 1/|G| \end{split}$$
- For $G = \mathbb{Z}_n$: $\widehat{f}(a) = d_G \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_n} f(k) e^{-2\pi i ak/n}$
- For $G = \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n$: $\widehat{f}(a,b) = d_G \sum_{(k,l) \in \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n} f(k,l) e^{-2\pi i (ak+bl)/mn}$

PONTRYAGIN-VAN KAMPEN DUALITY FOURIER TRANSFORM NONSTANDARD ANALYSIS PVK DUALITY & FT IN HF AMBIENCE

Fourier Transform 3

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへで

In what follows $1 \le p, q \le \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

In what follows $1 \le p, q \le \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$

- For 1 ≤ p < ∞, L¹(G) ∩ L^p(G) is dense in L^p(G) w.r.t. the L^p-norm
- for $1 \le p \le 2$, the restricted Fourier transform $\mathcal{F} \upharpoonright (\mathcal{L}^1(G) \cap \mathcal{L}^p(G))$ satisfies $\|\widehat{f}\|_q \le \|f\|_p$ for $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(G) \cap \mathcal{L}^p(G)$

In what follows $1 \le p, q \le \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$

- For 1 ≤ p < ∞, L¹(G) ∩ L^p(G) is dense in L^p(G) w.r.t. the L^p-norm
- for $1 \le p \le 2$, the restricted Fourier transform $\mathcal{F} \upharpoonright (\mathcal{L}^1(G) \cap \mathcal{L}^p(G))$ satisfies $\|\widehat{f}\|_q \le \|f\|_p$ for $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(G) \cap \mathcal{L}^p(G)$
- it extends to the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}: L^p(G) \to L^q(\widehat{G})$

In what follows $1 \le p, q \le \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$

- For $1 \le p < \infty$, $L^1(G) \cap L^p(G)$ is dense in $L^p(G)$ w.r.t. the L^p -norm
- for $1 \le p \le 2$, the restricted Fourier transform $\mathcal{F} \upharpoonright (\mathcal{L}^1(G) \cap \mathcal{L}^p(G))$ satisfies $\|\widehat{f}\|_q \le \|f\|_p$ for $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(G) \cap \mathcal{L}^p(G)$
- it extends to the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}: L^p(G) \to L^q(\widehat{G})$
- for p = 2 we get the Fourier-Plancherel transform which is an isometric isomorphism of Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{F} : L^2(G) \to L^2(\widehat{G})$

In what follows $1 \le p, q \le \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$

- For $1 \le p < \infty$, $L^1(G) \cap L^p(G)$ is dense in $L^p(G)$ w.r.t. the L^p -norm
- for $1 \le p \le 2$, the restricted Fourier transform $\mathcal{F} \upharpoonright (\mathcal{L}^1(G) \cap \mathcal{L}^p(G))$ satisfies $\|\widehat{f}\|_q \le \|f\|_p$ for $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(G) \cap \mathcal{L}^p(G)$
- it extends to the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}: L^p(G) \to L^q(\widehat{G})$
- for p = 2 we get the Fourier-Plancherel transform which is an isometric isomorphism of Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{F}: L^2(G) \to L^2(\widehat{G})$
- proper normalization of Haar measures m_G , $m_{\widehat{G}}$ ensures **Plancherel identity** and **Fourier inversion formula** $\langle f, g \rangle_G = \int f \cdot \overline{g} \, \mathrm{d} m_G = \int \widehat{f} \cdot \overline{\widehat{g}} \, \mathrm{d} m_{\widehat{G}} = \langle \widehat{f}, \widehat{g} \rangle_{\widehat{G}}$ $f(x) = \int_{\widehat{G}} \widehat{f}(\gamma) \, \gamma(x) \, \mathrm{d} m_{\widehat{G}}(\gamma)$

PONTRYAGIN-VAN KAMPEN DUALITY FOURIER TRANSFORM NONSTANDARD ANALYSIS PVK DUALITY & FT IN HF AMBIENCE

Fourier Transform 4

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへで

M(G) is the Banach space of all complex-valued regular Borel measures μ on G with bounded total variation $\|\mu\|$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モ ト ・ モ ・ うへぐ

M(G) is the Banach space of all complex-valued regular Borel measures μ on G with bounded total variation $\|\mu\|$

M(G) is nonseparable in general;
 m_G ∈ M(G) only if G is compact

M(G) is the Banach space of all complex-valued regular Borel measures μ on G with bounded total variation $\|\mu\|$

- M(G) is nonseparable in general;
 m_G ∈ M(G) only if G is compact
- M(G) is the dual of $C_0(G)$: each $\mu \in M(G)$ defines bounded linear functional $g \mapsto \int g \, d\mu$ on $C_0(G)$ (Riesz representation theorem)

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

M(G) is the Banach space of all complex-valued regular Borel measures μ on G with bounded total variation $\|\mu\|$

- M(G) is nonseparable in general;
 m_G ∈ M(G) only if G is compact
- M(G) is the dual of $C_0(G)$: each $\mu \in M(G)$ defines bounded linear functional $g \mapsto \int g \, d\mu$ on $C_0(G)$ (Riesz representation theorem)
- $L^{1}(G)$ can be identified with the Banach subspace $\{\mu \in M(G); \ \mu \text{ is absolutely continuous w.r.t. } \boldsymbol{m}_{G}\}$ $f \in L^{1}(G)$ defines the functional $g \mapsto \int gf \, d\boldsymbol{m}_{G}$ on $C_{0}(G)$ $(d\mu = f \, d\boldsymbol{m}_{G}; \text{ Radon-Nikodym theorem})$

M(G) is the Banach space of all complex-valued regular Borel measures μ on G with bounded total variation $\|\mu\|$

- M(G) is nonseparable in general;
 m_G ∈ M(G) only if G is compact
- M(G) is the dual of $C_0(G)$: each $\mu \in M(G)$ defines bounded linear functional $g \mapsto \int g \, d\mu$ on $C_0(G)$ (Riesz representation theorem)
- $L^{1}(G)$ can be identified with the Banach subspace $\{\mu \in M(G); \ \mu \text{ is absolutely continuous w.r.t. } \boldsymbol{m}_{G}\}$ $f \in L^{1}(G)$ defines the functional $g \mapsto \int gf \, d\boldsymbol{m}_{G}$ on $C_{0}(G)$ $(d\mu = f \, d\boldsymbol{m}_{G}; \text{ Radon-Nikodym theorem})$

(日)、(型)、(E)、(E)、(E)、(O)へ(C)

• Fourier transform $\mathcal{F} : L^1(G) \to C_0(\widehat{G})$ extends to Fourier-Stieltjes transform $\mu \mapsto \mathcal{F}(\mu) = \widehat{\mu}$ $\mathcal{F} : M(G) \to C_{bu}(\widehat{G})$, given by $\widehat{\mu}(\gamma) = \int \overline{\gamma} \, d\mu$

M(G) is the Banach space of all complex-valued regular Borel measures μ on G with bounded total variation $\|\mu\|$

- M(G) is nonseparable in general;
 m_G ∈ M(G) only if G is compact
- M(G) is the dual of $C_0(G)$: each $\mu \in M(G)$ defines bounded linear functional $g \mapsto \int g \, d\mu$ on $C_0(G)$ (Riesz representation theorem)
- $L^{1}(G)$ can be identified with the Banach subspace $\{\mu \in M(G); \ \mu \text{ is absolutely continuous w.r.t. } \boldsymbol{m}_{G}\}$ $f \in L^{1}(G)$ defines the functional $g \mapsto \int gf \, d\boldsymbol{m}_{G}$ on $C_{0}(G)$ $(d\mu = f \, d\boldsymbol{m}_{G}; \text{ Radon-Nikodym theorem})$
- Fourier transform *F* : L¹(G) → C₀(*Ĝ*) extends to Fourier-Stieltjes transform μ → *F*(μ) = μ̂ *F* : M(G) → C_{bu}(*Ĝ*), given by μ̂(γ) = ∫ γ̄ dμ *F*(μ * ν) = *F*(μ) *F*(ν), μ̂*ν = μ̂ν

Fourier Transform 5

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへで

Fourier Transform 5

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モー・ モー・ うへぐ

Fourier inversion formula $f = \int \hat{f}(\gamma) \gamma \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_{\widehat{G}}(\gamma)$ does not always hold.

ショック 川田 マード・ オロット キョックタイ

Fourier inversion formula $f = \int \hat{f}(\gamma) \gamma \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_{\widehat{G}}(\gamma)$ does not always hold.

Scalar product of characters $\langle \gamma, \chi \rangle_G = \int \gamma \cdot \overline{\chi} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_G$ is not defined unless *G* is compact.

Fourier inversion formula $f = \int \hat{f}(\gamma) \gamma \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_{\widehat{G}}(\gamma)$ does not always hold.

Scalar product of characters $\langle \gamma, \chi \rangle_G = \int \gamma \cdot \overline{\chi} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_G$ is not defined unless *G* is compact.

For finite G all the above spaces coincide with \mathbb{C}^G , the scalar product is everywhere defined, and the Fourier inversion formula holds.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Fourier inversion formula $f = \int \hat{f}(\gamma) \gamma \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_{\widehat{G}}(\gamma)$ does not always hold.

Scalar product of characters $\langle \gamma, \chi \rangle_G = \int \gamma \cdot \overline{\chi} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_G$ is not defined unless *G* is compact.

For finite G all the above spaces coincide with \mathbb{C}^G , the scalar product is everywhere defined, and the Fourier inversion formula holds.

Fast Fourier Transform gives extremely powerful and fast algorithms for FT on finite abelian groups.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Fourier inversion formula $f = \int \hat{f}(\gamma) \gamma \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_{\widehat{G}}(\gamma)$ does not always hold.

Scalar product of characters $\langle \gamma, \chi \rangle_G = \int \gamma \cdot \overline{\chi} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_G$ is not defined unless *G* is compact.

For finite G all the above spaces coincide with \mathbb{C}^G , the scalar product is everywhere defined, and the Fourier inversion formula holds.

Fast Fourier Transform gives extremely powerful and fast algorithms for FT on finite abelian groups.

Computations of FT on the $L^{p}(G)$ s and M(G) are frequently based on discrete approximations of G, sometimes by finite abelian groups.

・ロト ・西ト ・ヨト ・ヨー うらぐ

Fourier inversion formula $f = \int \widehat{f}(\gamma) \gamma \, \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{m}_{\widehat{C}}(\gamma)$ does not always hold.

Scalar product of characters $\langle \gamma, \chi \rangle_G = \int \gamma \cdot \overline{\chi} \, \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{m}_G$ is not defined unless G is compact.

For finite G all the above spaces coincide with \mathbb{C}^G , the scalar product is everywhere defined, and the Fourier inversion formula holds.

Fast Fourier Transform gives extremely powerful and fast algorithms for FT on finite abelian groups.

Computations of FT on the $L^p(G)$ s and M(G) are frequently based on discrete approximations of G, sometimes by finite abelian groups.

Isn't there some "universal extension" of all the spaces $L^p(G)$ (1 and M(G), and a uniform scheme defining theFourier transform on this extension, covering all the particular cases, like if G were finite? (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Nonstandard Analysis 1

Nonstandard analysis offers solution and additional insights.

Nonstandard analysis offers solution and additional insights.

NSA is a method based on application of mathematical logic to other parts of mathematics, invented by **A. Robinson** (1960s).

Nonstandard analysis offers solution and additional insights.

NSA is a method based on application of mathematical logic to other parts of mathematics, invented by **A. Robinson** (1960s).

T. Tao: One of the features of NSA, as opposed to its standard counterparts, is that it efficiently conceals with all the epsilons and deltas, that are so prevalent in standard analysis... As a consequence, analysis acquires a much more algebraic flavour when viewed through the nonstandard lens.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Nonstandard analysis offers solution and additional insights.

NSA is a method based on application of mathematical logic to other parts of mathematics, invented by **A. Robinson** (1960s).

T. Tao: One of the features of NSA, as opposed to its standard counterparts, is that it efficiently conceals with all the epsilons and deltas, that are so prevalent in standard analysis... As a consequence, analysis acquires a much more algebraic flavour when viewed through the nonstandard lens.

P. Vopěnka: Odmítnutí Newtonova a Lebnizova pojetí infinitesimálního kalkulu matematiky 19. a 20. století – vyvolané ať již jejich neochotou či neschopností domyslet a dotvořit základní pojmy, o než se původní pojetí tohoto kalkulu opíralo – bylo jedním z největších omylů nejen matematiky, ale evropské vědy vůbec.

Nonstandard Analysis 2

Merits and contributions of NSA (among other things):

Merits and contributions of NSA (among other things):

• rehabilitation of the original infinitesimal calculus with infinitely small and infinitely big numerical magnitudes

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モ ト ・ モ ・ うへぐ

Merits and contributions of NSA (among other things):

• rehabilitation of the original infinitesimal calculus with infinitely small and infinitely big numerical magnitudes

• continuity: $f(x+d) \approx f(x)$ for $d \approx 0$

Merits and contributions of NSA (among other things):

• rehabilitation of the original infinitesimal calculus with infinitely small and infinitely big numerical magnitudes

• continuity: $f(x+d) \approx f(x)$ for $d \approx 0$

•
$$f'(x) \approx \frac{f(x+d) - f(x)}{d}$$
, where $0 \neq d \approx 0$

Merits and contributions of NSA (among other things):

- rehabilitation of the original infinitesimal calculus with infinitely small and infinitely big numerical magnitudes
- continuity: $f(x+d) \approx f(x)$ for $d \approx 0$

•
$$f'(x) \approx \frac{f(x+d) - f(x)}{d}$$
, where $0 \neq d \approx 0$

•
$$\int_a^b f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \approx \sum_{k=1}^n f(x_k) \, d$$
, $a + (k-1)d \leq x_k \leq a + kd$,
for "infinite" $n \in \mathbb{N}, d = (b-a)/n \approx 0$

Merits and contributions of NSA (among other things):

- rehabilitation of the original infinitesimal calculus with infinitely small and infinitely big numerical magnitudes
- continuity: $f(x+d) \approx f(x)$ for $d \approx 0$

•
$$f'(x) \approx \frac{f(x+d) - f(x)}{d}$$
, where $0 \neq d \approx 0$

•
$$\int_a^b f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \approx \sum_{k=1}^n f(x_k) \, d$$
, $a + (k-1)d \leq x_k \leq a + kd$,
for "infinite" $n \in \mathbb{N}, d = (b-a)/n \approx 0$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

• extension of all domains of mathematical objects by abundance of new ideal elements

Merits and contributions of NSA (among other things):

- rehabilitation of the original infinitesimal calculus with infinitely small and infinitely big numerical magnitudes
- continuity: $f(x+d) \approx f(x)$ for $d \approx 0$

•
$$f'(x) \approx \frac{f(x+d) - f(x)}{d}$$
, where $0 \neq d \approx 0$

- $\int_a^b f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \approx \sum_{k=1}^n f(x_k) \, d$, $a + (k-1)d \le x_k \le a + kd$, for "infinite" $n \in \mathbb{N}, d = (b-a)/n \approx 0$
- extension of all domains of mathematical objects by abundance of new ideal elements
- extended domains have the same mathematical properties w.r.t. original first-order language (*transfer principle*)

Merits and contributions of NSA (among other things):

- rehabilitation of the original infinitesimal calculus with infinitely small and infinitely big numerical magnitudes
- continuity: $f(x+d) \approx f(x)$ for $d \approx 0$

•
$$f'(x) \approx \frac{f(x+d) - f(x)}{d}$$
, where $0 \neq d \approx 0$

•
$$\int_a^b f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \approx \sum_{k=1}^n f(x_k) \, d$$
, $a + (k-1)d \leq x_k \leq a + kd$,
for "infinite" $n \in \mathbb{N}, d = (b-a)/n \approx 0$

- extension of all domains of mathematical objects by abundance of new ideal elements
- extended domains have the same mathematical properties w.r.t. original first-order language (*transfer principle*)
- every consistent "not too big" system of standard formulas is satisfied by some object (*saturation*)

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Nonstandard Analysis 3

Nonstandard Analysis 3

In particular:



In particular:

• $*\mathbb{N}$ – hypernatural numbers: $\mathbb{N} \prec *\mathbb{N}, *\mathbb{N} \smallsetminus \mathbb{N} \neq \emptyset$

In particular:

• \mathbb{N} - hypernatural numbers: $\mathbb{N} \prec \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N} \neq \emptyset$

• *
$$\mathbb{R}$$
 - hyperreal numbers: $\mathbb{R} \prec *\mathbb{R}$, * $\mathbb{R} \smallsetminus \mathbb{R} \neq \emptyset$
 $\mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in *\mathbb{R}; \exists r \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0 : |x| \leq r\}$
 $\mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in *\mathbb{R}; \forall r \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0 : |x| \leq r\}$
finite and infinitesimal hyperreals, resp.

In particular:

- \mathbb{N} hypernatural numbers: $\mathbb{N} \prec \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N} \neq \emptyset$
- * \mathbb{R} hyperreal numbers: $\mathbb{R} \prec *\mathbb{R}$, * $\mathbb{R} \smallsetminus \mathbb{R} \neq \emptyset$ $\mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in *\mathbb{R}; \exists r \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0 : |x| \leq r\}$ $\mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in *\mathbb{R}; \forall r \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0 : |x| \leq r\}$ finite and infinitesimal hyperreals, resp.
- * \mathbb{C} hypercomplex numbers: $\mathbb{C} \prec *\mathbb{C}, \ *\mathbb{C} \smallsetminus \mathbb{C} \neq \emptyset$ $\mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{C} = \{x \in *\mathbb{C}; \ \exists r \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0 : |x| \leq r\}$ $\mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{C} = \{x \in *\mathbb{C}; \ \forall r \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0 : |x| \leq r\}$ finite and infinitesimal hypercomplex numbers, resp.

In particular:

- \mathbb{N} hypernatural numbers: $\mathbb{N} \prec \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N} \neq \emptyset$
- * \mathbb{R} hyperreal numbers: $\mathbb{R} \prec *\mathbb{R}$, * $\mathbb{R} \smallsetminus \mathbb{R} \neq \emptyset$ $\mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in *\mathbb{R}; \exists r \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0 : |x| \le r\}$ $\mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in *\mathbb{R}; \forall r \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0 : |x| \le r\}$ finite and infinitesimal hyperreals, resp.
- * \mathbb{C} hypercomplex numbers: $\mathbb{C} \prec *\mathbb{C}, \ *\mathbb{C} \smallsetminus \mathbb{C} \neq \emptyset$ $\mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{C} = \{x \in *\mathbb{C}; \ \exists r \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0 : |x| \le r\}$ $\mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{C} = \{x \in *\mathbb{C}; \ \forall r \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0 : |x| \le r\}$ finite and infinitesimal hypercomplex numbers, resp.
- for each finite hyperreal or hypercomplex number x there is unique real or complex number $^{\circ}x = \operatorname{st} x$, called *shadow* or *standard part* of x, such that $x \approx ^{\circ}x$

In particular:

- \mathbb{N} hypernatural numbers: $\mathbb{N} \prec \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N} \neq \emptyset$
- * \mathbb{R} hyperreal numbers: $\mathbb{R} \prec *\mathbb{R}$, * $\mathbb{R} \smallsetminus \mathbb{R} \neq \emptyset$ $\mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in *\mathbb{R}; \exists r \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0 : |x| \le r\}$ $\mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in *\mathbb{R}; \forall r \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0 : |x| \le r\}$ finite and infinitesimal hyperreals, resp.
- * \mathbb{C} hypercomplex numbers: $\mathbb{C} \prec *\mathbb{C}, \ *\mathbb{C} \smallsetminus \mathbb{C} \neq \emptyset$ $\mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{C} = \{x \in *\mathbb{C}; \ \exists r \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0 : |x| \le r\}$ $\mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{C} = \{x \in *\mathbb{C}; \ \forall r \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0 : |x| \le r\}$ finite and infinitesimal hypercomplex numbers, resp.
- for each finite hyperreal or hypercomplex number x there is unique real or complex number $^{\circ}x = \operatorname{st} x$, called *shadow* or *standard part* of x, such that $x \approx ^{\circ}x$
- $\bullet \ \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R}\cong\mathbb{R}, \quad \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{C}\cong\mathbb{C}$

Nonstandard Analysis 4

Nonstandard Analysis 4

In general:



In general:

• every "standard" mathematical object M has its "nonstandard" extension $^*M \succ M$

In general:

• every "standard" mathematical object M has its "nonstandard" extension $^*M \succ M$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲国▶ ▲国▶ - 国 - のへで

In general:

• every "standard" mathematical object M has its "nonstandard" extension ${}^*M \succ M$ the embedding $M \to {}^*M$ is onto iff M is finite

In general:

- every "standard" mathematical object M has its "nonstandard" extension ${}^*\!M \succ M$ the embedding $M \to {}^*\!M$ is onto iff M is finite
- the whole universe \mathcal{U} of "standard" mathematical objects is embedded into the universe * \mathcal{U} of "nonstandard" (internal) objects

In general:

- every "standard" mathematical object M has its "nonstandard" extension ${}^*\!M \succ M$ the embedding $M \to {}^*\!M$ is onto iff M is finite
- the whole universe \mathcal{U} of "standard" mathematical objects is embedded into the universe * \mathcal{U} of "nonstandard" (internal) objects

In general:

- every "standard" mathematical object M has its "nonstandard" extension ${}^*\!M \succ M$ the embedding $M \to {}^*\!M$ is onto iff M is finite
- the whole universe \mathcal{U} of "standard" mathematical objects is embedded into the universe * \mathcal{U} of "nonstandard" (internal) objects (standard, internal and external objects)

In general:

- every "standard" mathematical object M has its "nonstandard" extension ${}^*\!M \succ M$ the embedding $M \to {}^*\!M$ is onto iff M is finite
- the whole universe \mathcal{U} of "standard" mathematical objects is embedded into the universe * \mathcal{U} of "nonstandard" (internal) objects (standard, internal and external objects)

うつん 川田 スポット エット スロッ

• every standard set M can be embedded into a hyperfinite set $H \subseteq {}^*M$

In general:

- every "standard" mathematical object M has its "nonstandard" extension ${}^*\!M \succ M$ the embedding $M \to {}^*\!M$ is onto iff M is finite
- the whole universe \mathcal{U} of "standard" mathematical objects is embedded into the universe * \mathcal{U} of "nonstandard" (internal) objects (standard, internal and external objects)

うつん 川田 スポット エット スロッ

- every standard set M can be embedded into a hyperfinite set $H \subseteq {}^*M$
- every standard vector space V over a field K can be embedded into a hyperfinite dimensional vector space $H \subseteq {}^*V$ over *K

PONTRYAGIN-VAN KAMPEN DUALITY FOURIER TRANSFORM NONSTANDARD ANALYSIS PVK DUALITY & FT IN HF AMBIENCE

Nonstandard Analysis 5

On the other hand, not every standard group can be embedded into a hyperfinite group.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モー・ モー・ うへぐ

On the other hand, not every standard group can be embedded into a hyperfinite group.

In general, algebraic structure can be obstacle to embedability into hyperfinite objects.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モ ト ・ モ ・ うへぐ

On the other hand, not every standard group can be embedded into a hyperfinite group.

In general, algebraic structure can be obstacle to embedability into hyperfinite objects.

However, every standard abelian group can be embedded into a hyperfinite abelian group.

On the other hand, not every standard group can be embedded into a hyperfinite group.

In general, algebraic structure can be obstacle to embedability into hyperfinite objects.

However, every standard abelian group can be embedded into a hyperfinite abelian group.

D. Zeilberger: Continuous analysis and geometry are just degenerate approximations to the discrete world [...] While discrete analysis is conceptually simpler (and truer) than continuous analysis, technically it is (usually) much more difficult. Granted, real geometry and analysis were necessary simplifications to enable humans to make progress in science and mathematics [...]

PONTRYAGIN-VAN KAMPEN DUALITY FOURIER TRANSFORM NONSTANDARD ANALYSIS PVK DUALITY & FT IN HF AMBIENCE

Nonstandard Analysis 6

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モー・ モー・ うへぐ

Every completely regular topological space \mathbf{X} can be represented by a triplet $(X, E, X_{\rm f})$, where

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モー・ モー・ うへぐ

Every completely regular topological space \mathbf{X} can be represented by a triplet $(X, E, X_{\rm f})$, where

• X is an ambient hyperfinite set

Every completely regular topological space \mathbf{X} can be represented by a triplet $(X, E, X_{\rm f})$, where

- X is an ambient hyperfinite set
- E: equivalence relation of infinitesimal nearness on X,

Every completely regular topological space \mathbf{X} can be represented by a triplet $(X, E, X_{\rm f})$, where

- X is an ambient hyperfinite set
- E: equivalence relation of infinitesimal nearness on X,

Every completely regular topological space \mathbf{X} can be represented by a triplet $(X, E, X_{\rm f})$, where

- X is an ambient hyperfinite set
- E: equivalence relation of infinitesimal nearness on X,
 x ≈ y iff (x, y) ∈ E

Every completely regular topological space \mathbf{X} can be represented by a triplet $(X, E, X_{\rm f})$, where

- X is an ambient hyperfinite set
- E: equivalence relation of infinitesimal nearness on X,
 x ≈ y iff (x, y) ∈ E
- $\operatorname{mon}(x) = E[x] = \{y \in X; x \approx y\}$ monad of $x \in X$

Every completely regular topological space \mathbf{X} can be represented by a triplet $(X, E, X_{\rm f})$, where

- X is an ambient hyperfinite set
- E: equivalence relation of infinitesimal nearness on X, $x \approx y$ iff $(x, y) \in E$
- $\operatorname{mon}(x) = E[x] = \{y \in X; x \approx y\}$ **monad** of $x \in X$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

• X_f: set of "finite" or "nearstandard", i.e., accessible elements of X;

Every completely regular topological space \mathbf{X} can be represented by a triplet $(X, E, X_{\rm f})$, where

- X is an ambient hyperfinite set
- E: equivalence relation of infinitesimal nearness on X, $x \approx y$ iff $(x, y) \in E$
- $\operatorname{mon}(x) = E[x] = \{y \in X; x \approx y\}$ **monad** of $x \in X$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

• X_f: set of "finite" or "nearstandard", i.e., accessible elements of X;

Every completely regular topological space \mathbf{X} can be represented by a triplet $(X, E, X_{\rm f})$, where

- X is an ambient hyperfinite set
- E: equivalence relation of infinitesimal nearness on X, $x \approx y$ iff $(x, y) \in E$
- $mon(x) = E[x] = \{y \in X; x \approx y\}$ **monad** of $x \in X$

• X_f: set of "finite" or "nearstandard", i.e., accessible elements of X;

 $y \approx x \in X_{\mathrm{f}} \Rightarrow y \in X_{\mathrm{f}}, \text{ i.e. } X_{\mathrm{f}} = E[X_{\mathrm{f}}]$

Every completely regular topological space \mathbf{X} can be represented by a triplet $(X, E, X_{\rm f})$, where

- X is an ambient hyperfinite set
- E: equivalence relation of infinitesimal nearness on X, $x \approx y$ iff $(x, y) \in E$
- $mon(x) = E[x] = \{y \in X; x \approx y\}$ **monad** of $x \in X$
- X_f: set of "finite" or "nearstandard", i.e., accessible elements of X;
 X = X = X = X = E[X]

 $y \approx x \in X_{\mathrm{f}} \Rightarrow y \in X_{\mathrm{f}}$, i.e. $X_{\mathrm{f}} = E[X_{\mathrm{f}}]$

• $\mathbf{X} \cong X_{\mathrm{f}}/E = X^{\flat}$ – nonstandard hull or observable trace of (X, E, X_{f})

Every completely regular topological space \mathbf{X} can be represented by a triplet $(X, E, X_{\rm f})$, where

- X is an ambient hyperfinite set
- E: equivalence relation of infinitesimal nearness on X, $x \approx y$ iff $(x, y) \in E$
- $mon(x) = E[x] = \{y \in X; x \approx y\}$ **monad** of $x \in X$
- X_f: set of "finite" or "nearstandard", i.e., accessible elements of X;
 X = X = X = X = E[X]

 $y \approx x \in X_{\mathrm{f}} \Rightarrow y \in X_{\mathrm{f}}$, i.e. $X_{\mathrm{f}} = E[X_{\mathrm{f}}]$

• $\mathbf{X} \cong X_{\mathrm{f}}/E = X^{\flat}$ – nonstandard hull or observable trace of (X, E, X_{f})

Every completely regular topological space \mathbf{X} can be represented by a triplet $(X, E, X_{\rm f})$, where

- X is an ambient hyperfinite set
- E: equivalence relation of infinitesimal nearness on X, $x \approx y$ iff $(x, y) \in E$
- $mon(x) = E[x] = \{y \in X; x \approx y\}$ **monad** of $x \in X$
- X_f: set of "finite" or "nearstandard", i.e., accessible elements of X;
 y ≈ x ∈ X_f ⇒ y ∈ X_f, i.e. X_f = E[X_f]
- X ≅ X_f/E = X^b nonstandard hull or observable trace of (X, E, X_f)
 °x = mon(x) ∈ X the image of x ∈ X_f in X = X_f/E

Every completely regular topological space \mathbf{X} can be represented by a triplet $(X, E, X_{\rm f})$, where

- X is an ambient hyperfinite set
- E: equivalence relation of infinitesimal nearness on X, $x \approx y$ iff $(x, y) \in E$
- $mon(x) = E[x] = \{y \in X; x \approx y\}$ **monad** of $x \in X$
- X_f: set of "finite" or "nearstandard", i.e., accessible elements of X;
 u ~ x ∈ X₀ ⇒ u ∈ X₀ i o X₀ − E[X₀]
 - $y \approx x \in X_{\mathrm{f}} \Rightarrow y \in X_{\mathrm{f}}$, i.e. $X_{\mathrm{f}} = E[X_{\mathrm{f}}]$
- X ≅ X_f/E = X^b nonstandard hull or observable trace of (X, E, X_f)
 °x = mon(x) ∈ X the image of x ∈ X_f in X = X_f/E
- X_f and E are external sets; X_f is union and E is intersection of "not too many" internal sets (Σ⁰₁ and Π⁰₁)

PONTRYAGIN-VAN KAMPEN DUALITY FOURIER TRANSFORM NONSTANDARD ANALYSIS PVK DUALITY & FT IN HF AMBIENCE

Nonstandard Analysis 7

Topology of both X and X is more intuitively described in terms of \approx .

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モー・ モー・ うへぐ

Topology of both X and X is more intuitively described in terms of \approx .

• $Y \subseteq X$ is open iff $\forall x \in Y \exists^{int} A : mon(x) \subseteq A \subseteq Y$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モ ト ・ モ ・ うへぐ

Topology of both X and **X** is more intuitively described in terms of \approx .

- $Y \subseteq X$ is open iff $\forall x \in Y \exists^{int} A : mon(x) \subseteq A \subseteq Y$
- S-continuity: $x \approx y \Rightarrow f(x) \approx f(y)$

Topology of both X and **X** is more intuitively described in terms of \approx .

- $Y \subseteq X$ is open iff $\; \forall \, x \in Y \, \exists^{\mathrm{int}} A \, : \, \mathrm{mon}(x) \subseteq A \subseteq Y$
- S-continuity: $x \approx y \Rightarrow f(x) \approx f(y)$
- **X** is discrete iff E is internal on $X_{\rm f}$ (then $E = {\rm Id}_X$, w.l.o.g.)

ショック 川田 マード・ オロット キョックタイ

Topology of both X and **X** is more intuitively described in terms of \approx .

- $Y \subseteq X$ is open iff $\forall x \in Y \exists^{int} A : mon(x) \subseteq A \subseteq Y$
- S-continuity: $x \approx y \Rightarrow f(x) \approx f(y)$
- **X** is discrete iff E is internal on $X_{\rm f}$ (then $E = {\rm Id}_X$, w.l.o.g.)
- **X** is connected iff for any $x, y \in X_{\rm f}$ there is internal sequence (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) (with $n \in {}^*\mathbb{N}$) s.t. $x_k \in X_{\rm f}$, $x = x_0, x_n = y$, and $x_k \approx x_{k+1}$ for k < n

Topology of both X and **X** is more intuitively described in terms of \approx .

- $Y \subseteq X$ is open iff $\forall x \in Y \exists^{int} A : mon(x) \subseteq A \subseteq Y$
- S-continuity: $x \approx y \Rightarrow f(x) \approx f(y)$
- **X** is discrete iff E is internal on $X_{\rm f}$ (then $E = {\rm Id}_X$, w.l.o.g.)
- **X** is connected iff for any $x, y \in X_{\rm f}$ there is internal sequence (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) (with $n \in {}^*\mathbb{N}$) s.t. $x_k \in X_{\rm f}$, $x = x_0, x_n = y$, and $x_k \approx x_{k+1}$ for k < n
- X is locally compact iff every hyperfinite set *H* ⊆ *X*_f of pairwise discernible elements is finite

Topology of both X and **X** is more intuitively described in terms of \approx .

- $Y \subseteq X$ is open iff $\forall x \in Y \exists^{int} A : mon(x) \subseteq A \subseteq Y$
- S-continuity: $x \approx y \Rightarrow f(x) \approx f(y)$
- **X** is discrete iff E is internal on $X_{\rm f}$ (then $E = {\rm Id}_X$, w.l.o.g.)
- **X** is connected iff for any $x, y \in X_{\rm f}$ there is internal sequence (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) (with $n \in {}^*\mathbb{N}$) s.t. $x_k \in X_{\rm f}$, $x = x_0, x_n = y$, and $x_k \approx x_{k+1}$ for k < n
- X is locally compact iff every hyperfinite set *H* ⊆ *X*_f of pairwise discernible elements is finite

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

• **X** is compact iff, additionally, $X_{\rm f}$ is internal (then $X_{\rm f} = X$, w.l.o.g.)

Topology of both X and **X** is more intuitively described in terms of \approx .

- $Y \subseteq X$ is open iff $\; \forall \, x \in Y \, \exists^{\mathrm{int}} A \, : \, \mathrm{mon}(x) \subseteq A \subseteq Y$
- S-continuity: $x \approx y \Rightarrow f(x) \approx f(y)$
- **X** is discrete iff E is internal on $X_{\rm f}$ (then $E = {\rm Id}_X$, w.l.o.g.)
- **X** is connected iff for any $x, y \in X_{\rm f}$ there is internal sequence (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) (with $n \in {}^*\mathbb{N}$) s.t. $x_k \in X_{\rm f}$, $x = x_0, x_n = y$, and $x_k \approx x_{k+1}$ for k < n
- X is locally compact iff every hyperfinite set *H* ⊆ *X*_f of pairwise discernible elements is finite
- **X** is compact iff, additionally, $X_{\rm f}$ is internal (then $X_{\rm f} = X$, w.l.o.g.)
- for **X** locally compact, compact subsets of **X** are exactly $A^{\flat} = \{ {}^{\circ}a; a \in A \}$ for internal $A \subseteq X_{\mathrm{f}}$ ("pushing-down" A)

PONTRYAGIN-VAN KAMPEN DUALITY FOURIER TRANSFORM NONSTANDARD ANALYSIS PVK DUALITY & FT IN HF AMBIENCE

Nonstandard Analysis 8

Internal function $f : X \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}$ represents a function $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbb{C}$ only if f is S-continuous and finite on $X_{\mathbf{f}}$:

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モ ト ・ モ ・ うへぐ

Internal function $f : X \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}$ represents a function $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbb{C}$ only if f is S-continuous and finite on $X_{\mathbf{f}}$:

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モ ト ・ モ ・ うへぐ

• $x \approx y \Rightarrow f(x) \approx f(y)$ for $x, y \in X_{\mathrm{f}}$

Internal function $f: X \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}$ represents a function $\mathbf{f}: \mathbf{X} \to \mathbb{C}$ only if f is S-continuous and finite on $X_{\mathbf{f}}$:

- $x \approx y \Rightarrow f(x) \approx f(y)$ for $x, y \in X_{\mathrm{f}}$
- $|f(x)| < \infty$, i.e., $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{C}$ for $x \in X_{\mathrm{f}}$

Internal function $f: X \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}$ represents a function $\mathbf{f}: \mathbf{X} \to \mathbb{C}$ only if f is S-continuous and finite on $X_{\mathbf{f}}$:

- $x \approx y \Rightarrow f(x) \approx f(y)$ for $x, y \in X_{\mathrm{f}}$
- $|f(x)| < \infty$, i.e., $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{C}$ for $x \in X_{\mathrm{f}}$
- then $\mathbf{f}(^{\circ}x) = {}^{\circ}f(x) = \operatorname{st} f(x)$ and \mathbf{f} is continuous

Internal function $f : X \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}$ represents a function $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbb{C}$ only if f is S-continuous and finite on $X_{\mathbf{f}}$:

- $x \approx y \Rightarrow f(x) \approx f(y)$ for $x, y \in X_{\mathrm{f}}$
- $|f(x)| < \infty$, i.e., $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{C}$ for $x \in X_{\mathrm{f}}$
- then $\mathbf{f}(^{\circ}x) = {}^{\circ}f(x) = \operatorname{st} f(x)$ and \mathbf{f} is continuous
- $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, E, X_{\mathrm{f}})$ is called **lifting** of $\mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{C}(\mathbf{X})$

Internal function $f : X \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}$ represents a function $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbb{C}$ only if f is S-continuous and finite on $X_{\mathbf{f}}$:

- $x \approx y \Rightarrow f(x) \approx f(y)$ for $x, y \in X_{\mathrm{f}}$
- $|f(x)| < \infty$, i.e., $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{C}$ for $x \in X_{\mathrm{f}}$
- then $\mathbf{f}(^{\circ}x) = {}^{\circ}f(x) = \operatorname{st} f(x)$ and \mathbf{f} is continuous
- $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, E, X_{\mathbf{f}})$ is called **lifting** of $\mathbf{f} \in C(\mathbf{X})$
- $\mathbf{f} = f^{\flat} = {}^{\circ}(f \upharpoonright X_{\mathbf{f}}), \ \mathbf{f}$ is obtained by "pushing-down" f

Internal function $f : X \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}$ represents a function $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbb{C}$ only if f is S-continuous and finite on $X_{\mathbf{f}}$:

- $x \approx y \Rightarrow f(x) \approx f(y)$ for $x, y \in X_{\mathrm{f}}$
- $|f(x)| < \infty$, i.e., $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{C}$ for $x \in X_{\mathrm{f}}$
- then $\mathbf{f}(^{\circ}x) = {}^{\circ}f(x) = \operatorname{st} f(x)$ and \mathbf{f} is continuous
- $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, E, X_{\mathbf{f}})$ is called **lifting** of $\mathbf{f} \in C(\mathbf{X})$
- $\mathbf{f} = f^{\flat} = {}^{\circ}(f \upharpoonright X_{\mathbf{f}}), \ \mathbf{f}$ is obtained by "pushing-down" f
- $\mathbf{f} \in C_u(\mathbf{X})$ iff \mathbf{f} has (everywhere) S-continuous lifting $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, E)$

・ロト ・ 理 ・ モ ・ ・ モ ・ ・ シック

Internal function $f : X \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}$ represents a function $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbb{C}$ only if f is S-continuous and finite on $X_{\mathbf{f}}$:

- $x \approx y \Rightarrow f(x) \approx f(y)$ for $x, y \in X_{\mathrm{f}}$
- $|f(x)| < \infty$, i.e., $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{C}$ for $x \in X_{\mathrm{f}}$
- then $\mathbf{f}(^{\circ}x) = {}^{\circ}f(x) = \operatorname{st} f(x)$ and \mathbf{f} is continuous
- $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, E, X_{\mathbf{f}})$ is called **lifting** of $\mathbf{f} \in C(\mathbf{X})$
- $\mathbf{f} = f^{\flat} = {}^{\circ}(f \upharpoonright X_{\mathbf{f}}), \ \mathbf{f}$ is obtained by "pushing-down" f
- $\mathbf{f} \in C_u(\mathbf{X})$ iff \mathbf{f} has (everywhere) S-continuous lifting $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, E)$

ショック 川田 マード・ オロット キョックタイ

• $\mathbf{f} \in C_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbf{X})$ iff \mathbf{f} has lifting $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{b}}(X, E, X_{\mathrm{f}})$, i.e., $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, E, X_{\mathrm{f}})$ and $\|f\|_{\infty} = \max_{x \in X} |f(x)| < \infty$

Internal function $f : X \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}$ represents a function $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbb{C}$ only if f is S-continuous and finite on $X_{\mathbf{f}}$:

- $x \approx y \Rightarrow f(x) \approx f(y)$ for $x, y \in X_{\mathrm{f}}$
- $|f(x)| < \infty$, i.e., $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{C}$ for $x \in X_{\mathrm{f}}$
- then $\mathbf{f}(^{\circ}x) = {}^{\circ}f(x) = \operatorname{st} f(x)$ and \mathbf{f} is continuous
- $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, E, X_{\mathbf{f}})$ is called **lifting** of $\mathbf{f} \in C(\mathbf{X})$
- $\mathbf{f} = f^{\flat} = {}^{\circ}(f \upharpoonright X_{\mathbf{f}}), \ \mathbf{f}$ is obtained by "pushing-down" f
- $\mathbf{f} \in C_u(\mathbf{X})$ iff \mathbf{f} has (everywhere) S-continuous lifting $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, E)$
- $\mathbf{f} \in C_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbf{X})$ iff \mathbf{f} has lifting $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{b}}(X, E, X_{\mathrm{f}})$, i.e., $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, E, X_{\mathrm{f}})$ and $\|f\|_{\infty} = \max_{x \in X} |f(x)| < \infty$
- $\mathbf{f} \in C_0(\mathbf{X})$ iff \mathbf{f} has lifting $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(X, E, X_f)$, i.e., $f \in \mathcal{C}_b(X, E, X_f)$ and $f(x) \approx 0$ for $x \in X \smallsetminus X_f$

PONTRYAGIN-VAN KAMPEN DUALITY FOURIER TRANSFORM NONSTANDARD ANALYSIS PVK DUALITY & FT IN HF AMBIENCE

Nonstandard Analysis 9

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モー・ モー・ うへぐ

Not all internal functions $f: X \to {}^*\mathbb{C}$ represent standard functions $\mathbf{X} \to \mathbb{C}$.

Not all internal functions $f: X \to {}^*\mathbb{C}$ represent standard functions $\mathbf{X} \to \mathbb{C}$.

Some of them represent standard objects of different nature: cosets of functions in Lebesgue L^p spaces, measures, distributions, etc.

PONTRYAGIN-VAN KAMPEN DUALITY FOURIER TRANSFORM NONSTANDARD ANALYSIS PVK DUALITY & FT IN HF AMBIENCE

Nonstandard Analysis 10

Loeb measure.



Loeb measure.

X is hyperfinite set, $d: X \to {}^*\mathbb{R}$ is internal, $d(x) \ge 0$ for $x \in X$; typically, d(x) = d is uniform (constant) on X

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲国▶ ▲国▶ - 国 - のへで

Loeb measure.

X is hyperfinite set, $d: X \to {}^*\mathbb{R}$ is internal, $d(x) \ge 0$ for $x \in X$; typically, d(x) = d is uniform (constant) on X

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

• d defines (hyper)discrete weighted counting measure $\nu_d(A) = \sum_{a \in A} d(a)$ on the Boolean algebra $*\mathcal{P}(X)$ of all internal subsets of X

Loeb measure.

X is hyperfinite set, $d: X \to {}^*\mathbb{R}$ is internal, $d(x) \ge 0$ for $x \in X$; typically, d(x) = d is uniform (constant) on X

- d defines (hyper)discrete weighted counting measure $\nu_d(A) = \sum_{a \in A} d(a)$ on the Boolean algebra $*\mathcal{P}(X)$ of all internal subsets of X
- $A \mapsto {}^{\circ}\nu_d(A)$, where ${}^{\circ}\nu_d(A) = \infty$ if $\nu_d(A) \notin \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}$, induces the **Loeb measure** λ_d on X, defined on (the completion of) the σ -algebra generated by internal subsets of X (*a la* Caratheodory)

Loeb measure.

X is hyperfinite set, $d: X \to {}^*\mathbb{R}$ is internal, $d(x) \ge 0$ for $x \in X$; typically, d(x) = d is uniform (constant) on X

- d defines (hyper)discrete weighted counting measure $\nu_d(A) = \sum_{a \in A} d(a)$ on the Boolean algebra $*\mathcal{P}(X)$ of all internal subsets of X
- $A \mapsto {}^{\circ}\nu_d(A)$, where ${}^{\circ}\nu_d(A) = \infty$ if $\nu_d(A) \notin \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}$, induces the **Loeb measure** λ_d on X, defined on (the completion of) the σ -algebra generated by internal subsets of X (*a la* Caratheodory)
- $S \subseteq X$ is λ_d -measurable with finite measure $\lambda_d(S)$ iff $\sup\{{}^{\circ}\nu_d(A); A \subseteq S, A \text{ is internal}\}$ $= \inf\{{}^{\circ}\nu_d(B); S \subseteq B \subseteq X, B \text{ is internal}\}$ and both are finite

PONTRYAGIN-VAN KAMPEN DUALITY FOURIER TRANSFORM NONSTANDARD ANALYSIS PVK DUALITY & FT IN HF AMBIENCE

Nonstandard Analysis 11

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Every standard locally compact measurable space $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{B}, \mathbf{m})$, s.t. ..., can be represented as the observable trace $\mathbf{X} = X_{\rm f}/E$ of some "topological Loeb quadruplet" $(X, E, X_{\rm f}, d)$, with hyperfinite X and uniform d, in such a way that

• $\nu_d(A) = d |A| < \infty$ for all internal $A \subseteq X_f$

Every standard locally compact measurable space $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{B}, \mathbf{m})$, s.t. ..., can be represented as the observable trace $\mathbf{X} = X_{\rm f}/E$ of some "topological Loeb quadruplet" $(X, E, X_{\rm f}, d)$, with hyperfinite X and uniform d, in such a way that

- $\nu_d(A) = d |A| < \infty$ for all internal $A \subseteq X_{\mathrm{f}}$
- then, for $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{m}(\mathbf{A}) &= \lambda_d \{ x \in X_{\mathrm{f}}; \ ^{\circ}x \in \mathbf{A} \} \\ &= \sup \big\{ ^{\circ}(d \mid A \mid); \ A^{\flat} \subseteq \mathbf{A}, \ A \subseteq X \text{ is internal} \big\} \end{aligned}$$

Every standard locally compact measurable space $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{B}, \mathbf{m})$, s.t. ..., can be represented as the observable trace $\mathbf{X} = X_{\rm f}/E$ of some "topological Loeb quadruplet" $(X, E, X_{\rm f}, d)$, with hyperfinite X and uniform d, in such a way that

- $\nu_d(A) = d |A| < \infty$ for all internal $A \subseteq X_{\mathrm{f}}$
- then, for $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{B}$, $\boldsymbol{m}(\mathbf{A}) = \lambda_d \{ x \in X_{\mathrm{f}}; \ ^\circ x \in \mathbf{A} \}$ $= \sup \{ \circ (d |A|); \ A^{\flat} \subseteq \mathbf{A}, \ A \subseteq X \text{ is internal} \}$
- f: X → C is measurable iff it has internal lifting
 f: X → *C, i.e.,

Every standard locally compact measurable space $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{B}, \mathbf{m})$, s.t. ..., can be represented as the observable trace $\mathbf{X} = X_{\rm f}/E$ of some "topological Loeb quadruplet" $(X, E, X_{\rm f}, d)$, with hyperfinite X and uniform d, in such a way that

- $\nu_d(A) = d |A| < \infty$ for all internal $A \subseteq X_{\mathrm{f}}$
- then, for $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{B}$, $\boldsymbol{m}(\mathbf{A}) = \lambda_d \{ x \in X_{\mathrm{f}}; \ ^\circ x \in \mathbf{A} \}$ $= \sup \{ \circ(d |A|); \ A^{\flat} \subseteq \mathbf{A}, \ A \subseteq X \text{ is internal} \}$
- $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbb{C}$ is measurable iff it has internal lifting $f : X \to {}^*\mathbb{C}$, i.e.,

•
$$\mathbf{f}(^{\circ}x) = ^{\circ}f(x)$$
 a.e. on X_{f} w.r.t. λ_d

Every standard locally compact measurable space $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{B}, \mathbf{m})$, s.t. ..., can be represented as the observable trace $\mathbf{X} = X_{\rm f}/E$ of some "topological Loeb quadruplet" $(X, E, X_{\rm f}, d)$, with hyperfinite X and uniform d, in such a way that

- $\nu_d(A) = d |A| < \infty$ for all internal $A \subseteq X_{\mathrm{f}}$
- then, for $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{B}$, $\boldsymbol{m}(\mathbf{A}) = \lambda_d \{ x \in X_{\mathrm{f}}; \ ^\circ x \in \mathbf{A} \}$ $= \sup \{ \circ(d |A|); \ A^{\flat} \subseteq \mathbf{A}, \ A \subseteq X \text{ is internal} \}$
- $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbb{C}$ is measurable iff it has internal lifting $f : X \to {}^*\mathbb{C}$, i.e.,

•
$$\mathbf{f}(^{\circ}x) = ^{\circ}f(x)$$
 a.e. on X_{f} w.r.t. λ_d

Every standard locally compact measurable space $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{B}, \mathbf{m})$, s.t. ..., can be represented as the observable trace $\mathbf{X} = X_{\rm f}/E$ of some "topological Loeb quadruplet" $(X, E, X_{\rm f}, d)$, with hyperfinite X and uniform d, in such a way that

- $\nu_d(A) = d |A| < \infty$ for all internal $A \subseteq X_{\mathrm{f}}$
- then, for $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{B}$, $\boldsymbol{m}(\mathbf{A}) = \lambda_d \{ x \in X_{\mathrm{f}}; \ ^\circ x \in \mathbf{A} \}$ $= \sup \{ \circ(d |A|); \ A^{\flat} \subseteq \mathbf{A}, \ A \subseteq X \text{ is internal} \}$
- f: X → C is measurable iff it has internal lifting
 f: X → *C, i.e.,

PONTRYAGIN-VAN KAMPEN DUALITY FOURIER TRANSFORM NONSTANDARD ANALYSIS PVK DUALITY & FT IN HF AMBIENCE

Nonstandard Analysis 12

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モー・ モー・ うへぐ

Internal function $f: X \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}$ is called *S***-integrable** w.r.t. X_{f} and d (even for nonconstant d) if

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モー・ モー・ うへぐ

Internal function $f: X \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}$ is called *S***-integrable** w.r.t. X_{f} and d (even for nonconstant d) if

•
$$||f||_{1,d} = \sum_{x \in X} |f(x)| d(x) < \infty$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Internal function $f: X \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}$ is called *S***-integrable** w.r.t. X_{f} and d (even for nonconstant d) if

- $||f||_{1,d} = \sum_{x \in X} |f(x)| d(x) < \infty$
- $\sum_{z \in Z} |f(z)| d(z) \approx 0$ for internal $Z \subseteq X \smallsetminus X_{\mathrm{f}}$

Internal function $f: X \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}$ is called *S***-integrable** w.r.t. X_{f} and d (even for nonconstant d) if

- $||f||_{1,d} = \sum_{x \in X} |f(x)| d(x) < \infty$
- $\sum_{z \in Z} |f(z)| d(z) \approx 0$ for internal $Z \subseteq X \smallsetminus X_{\mathrm{f}}$
- $\sum_{a \in A} |f(a)| d(a) \approx 0$ for internal $A \subseteq X$ s.t. $\nu_d(A) \approx 0$

Internal function $f: X \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}$ is called *S*-integrable w.r.t. X_{f} and d (even for nonconstant d) if

•
$$||f||_{1,d} = \sum_{x \in X} |f(x)| d(x) < \infty$$

• $\sum_{z \in Z} |f(z)| d(z) \approx 0$ for internal $Z \subseteq X \smallsetminus X_{\mathrm{f}}$
• $\sum_{a \in A} |f(a)| d(a) \approx 0$ for internal $A \subseteq X$ s.t. $\nu_d(A) \approx 0$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モー・ モー・ うへぐ

• then
$$\int_{X_{\mathrm{f}}} {}^{\circ} f \, \mathrm{d}\lambda_d \approx \sum_{x \in X} f(x) \, d(x)$$

Internal function $f: X \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}$ is called *S***-integrable** w.r.t. X_{f} and d (even for nonconstant d) if

• $\|f\|_{1,d} = \sum_{x \in X} |f(x)| d(x) < \infty$ • $\sum_{z \in Z} |f(z)| d(z) \approx 0$ for internal $Z \subseteq X \smallsetminus X_{\mathrm{f}}$ • $\sum_{a \in A} |f(a)| d(a) \approx 0$ for internal $A \subseteq X$ s.t. $\nu_d(A) \approx 0$ • then $\int_{X_{\mathrm{f}}} {}^{\circ} f \, \mathrm{d}\lambda_d \approx \sum_{x \in X} f(x) \, d(x)$

• f is S^p -integrable if f^p is S-integrable $(1 \le p < \infty)$

Internal function $f: X \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}$ is called *S***-integrable** w.r.t. X_{f} and d (even for nonconstant d) if

• $\|f\|_{1,d} = \sum_{x \in X} |f(x)| d(x) < \infty$ • $\sum_{z \in Z} |f(z)| d(z) \approx 0$ for internal $Z \subseteq X \smallsetminus X_{\mathrm{f}}$ • $\sum_{a \in A} |f(a)| d(a) \approx 0$ for internal $A \subseteq X$ s.t. $\nu_d(A) \approx 0$ • then $\int_{X_{\mathrm{f}}} {}^{\circ} f \, \mathrm{d}\lambda_d \approx \sum_{x \in X} f(x) \, d(x)$

• f is S^p -integrable if f^p is S-integrable $(1 \le p < \infty)$

Internal function $f: X \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}$ is called *S***-integrable** w.r.t. X_{f} and d (even for nonconstant d) if

- $\|f\|_{1,d} = \sum_{x \in X} |f(x)| d(x) < \infty$ • $\sum_{z \in Z} |f(z)| d(z) \approx 0$ for internal $Z \subseteq X \smallsetminus X_{\mathrm{f}}$ • $\sum_{a \in A} |f(a)| d(a) \approx 0$ for internal $A \subseteq X$ s.t. $\nu_d(A) \approx 0$ • then $\int_{X_{\mathrm{f}}} {}^{\circ} f \, \mathrm{d}\lambda_d \approx \sum_{x \in X} f(x) \, d(x)$
- f is S^p -integrable if f^p is S-integrable $(1 \le p < \infty)$

Let, additionally, $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{B}, \mathbf{m})$ be represented by $(X, E, X_{\mathrm{f}}, d)$, and $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbb{C}$. Then

Internal function $f: X \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}$ is called *S***-integrable** w.r.t. X_{f} and d (even for nonconstant d) if

- $||f||_{1,d} = \sum_{x \in X} |f(x)| d(x) < \infty$ • $\sum_{z \in Z} |f(z)| d(z) \approx 0$ for internal $Z \subseteq X \smallsetminus X_{\mathrm{f}}$ • $\sum_{a \in A} |f(a)| d(a) \approx 0$ for internal $A \subseteq X$ s.t. $\nu_d(A) \approx 0$ • then $\int_{X_{\mathrm{f}}} {}^{\circ} f \, \mathrm{d}\lambda_d \approx \sum_{x \in X} f(x) \, d(x)$
- f is S^p -integrable if f^p is S-integrable $(1 \le p < \infty)$

Let, additionally, $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{B}, \mathbf{m})$ be represented by $(X, E, X_{\mathrm{f}}, d)$, and $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbb{C}$. Then

• $\mathbf{f} \in L^1(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{m})$ iff \mathbf{f} has S-integrable lifting

Internal function $f: X \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}$ is called *S***-integrable** w.r.t. X_{f} and d (even for nonconstant d) if

- $\|f\|_{1,d} = \sum_{x \in X} |f(x)| d(x) < \infty$ • $\sum_{z \in Z} |f(z)| d(z) \approx 0$ for internal $Z \subseteq X \smallsetminus X_{\mathrm{f}}$ • $\sum_{a \in A} |f(a)| d(a) \approx 0$ for internal $A \subseteq X$ s.t. $\nu_d(A) \approx 0$ • then $\int_{X_{\mathrm{f}}} {}^{\circ} f \, \mathrm{d}\lambda_d \approx \sum_{x \in X} f(x) \, d(x)$
- f is S^p -integrable if f^p is S-integrable $(1 \le p < \infty)$

Let, additionally, $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{B}, \mathbf{m})$ be represented by $(X, E, X_{\mathrm{f}}, d)$, and $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbb{C}$. Then

- $\mathbf{f} \in L^1(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{m})$ iff \mathbf{f} has S-integrable lifting
- $\mathbf{f} \in L^p(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{m})$ iff \mathbf{f} has S^p -integrable lifting

Internal function $f: X \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}$ is called *S***-integrable** w.r.t. X_{f} and d (even for nonconstant d) if

- $\|f\|_{1,d} = \sum_{x \in X} |f(x)| d(x) < \infty$ • $\sum_{z \in Z} |f(z)| d(z) \approx 0$ for internal $Z \subseteq X \smallsetminus X_{\mathrm{f}}$ • $\sum_{a \in A} |f(a)| d(a) \approx 0$ for internal $A \subseteq X$ s.t. $\nu_d(A) \approx 0$ • then $\int_{X_{\mathrm{f}}} {}^{\circ} f \, \mathrm{d}\lambda_d \approx \sum_{x \in X} f(x) \, d(x)$
- f is S^p -integrable if f^p is S-integrable $(1 \le p < \infty)$

Let, additionally, $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{B}, \mathbf{m})$ be represented by $(X, E, X_{\mathrm{f}}, d)$, and $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbb{C}$. Then

- $\mathbf{f} \in L^1(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{m})$ iff \mathbf{f} has S-integrable lifting
- $\mathbf{f} \in L^p(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{m})$ iff \mathbf{f} has S^p -integrable lifting
- $\mathcal{L}^p(X, X_{\mathrm{f}}, d) = \left\{ f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^X; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{L}^p(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{m}) \right\}$

・ロト ・西ト ・ヨト ・ヨー うらぐ

PONTRYAGIN-VAN KAMPEN DUALITY FOURIER TRANSFORM NONSTANDARD ANALYSIS PVK DUALITY & FT IN HF AMBIENCE

Nonstandard Analysis 13

If $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(X, X_f, d)$ is S-integrable lifting of $\mathbf{f} \in L^1(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{m})$ then

If $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(X, X_f, d)$ is S-integrable lifting of $\mathbf{f} \in L^1(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{m})$ then

•
$$\int_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{f} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m} = \int_{X_{\mathbf{f}}} {}^{\circ} f \, \mathrm{d}\lambda_d \approx \sum_{x \in X} f(x) \, d(x)$$

If $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(X, X_f, d)$ is S-integrable lifting of $\mathbf{f} \in L^1(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{m})$ then

•
$$\int_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{f} \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{m} = \int_{X_{\mathrm{f}}} {}^{\circ} f \, \mathrm{d}\lambda_{d} \approx \sum_{x \in X} f(x) \, d(x)$$

•
$$\|\mathbf{f}\|_{1} = \int_{\mathbf{X}} |\mathbf{f}| \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{m} = \int_{X_{\mathrm{f}}} {}^{\circ} |f| \, \mathrm{d}\lambda_{d}$$

$$\approx \sum_{x \in X} |f(x)| \, d(x) = \|f\|_{1,d}$$

If $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(X, X_f, d)$ is S-integrable lifting of $\mathbf{f} \in L^1(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{m})$ then

•
$$\int_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{f} \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{m} = \int_{X_{\mathrm{f}}} {}^{\circ} f \, \mathrm{d}\lambda_{d} \approx \sum_{x \in X} f(x) \, d(x)$$

•
$$\|\mathbf{f}\|_{1} = \int_{\mathbf{X}} |\mathbf{f}| \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{m} = \int_{X_{\mathrm{f}}} {}^{\circ} |f| \, \mathrm{d}\lambda_{d}$$

$$\approx \sum_{x \in X} |f(x)| \, d(x) = \|f\|_{1,d}$$

If $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(X, X_f, d)$ is S-integrable lifting of $\mathbf{f} \in L^1(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{m})$ then

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モー・ モー・ うへぐ

•
$$\int_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{f} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m} = \int_{X_{\mathrm{f}}} {}^{\circ} f \, \mathrm{d}\lambda_{d} \approx \sum_{x \in X} f(x) \, d(x)$$

•
$$\|\mathbf{f}\|_{1} = \int_{\mathbf{X}} |\mathbf{f}| \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m} = \int_{X_{\mathrm{f}}} {}^{\circ} |f| \, \mathrm{d}\lambda_{d}$$

$$\approx \sum_{x \in X} |f(x)| \, d(x) = \|f\|_{1,d}$$

Remarks.

If $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(X, X_f, d)$ is S-integrable lifting of $\mathbf{f} \in L^1(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{m})$ then

•
$$\int_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{f} \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{m} = \int_{X_{\mathrm{f}}} {}^{\circ} f \, \mathrm{d}\lambda_{d} \approx \sum_{x \in X} f(x) \, d(x)$$

• $\|\mathbf{f}\|_{1} = \int_{\mathbf{X}} |\mathbf{f}| \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{m} = \int_{X_{\mathrm{f}}} {}^{\circ} |f| \, \mathrm{d}\lambda_{d}$
 $\approx \sum_{x \in X} |f(x)| \, d(x) = \|f\|_{1,d}$

Remarks.

Not every S^p -integrable function is in $\mathcal{L}^p(X, X_f, d)$!

If $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(X, X_f, d)$ is S-integrable lifting of $\mathbf{f} \in L^1(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{m})$ then

•
$$\int_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{f} \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{m} = \int_{X_{\mathrm{f}}} {}^{\circ} f \, \mathrm{d}\lambda_{d} \approx \sum_{x \in X} f(x) \, d(x)$$

•
$$\|\mathbf{f}\|_{1} = \int_{\mathbf{X}} |\mathbf{f}| \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{m} = \int_{X_{\mathrm{f}}} {}^{\circ} |f| \, \mathrm{d}\lambda_{d}$$

$$\approx \sum_{x \in X} |f(x)| \, d(x) = \|f\|_{1,d}$$

Remarks.

Not every S^p -integrable function is in $\mathcal{L}^p(X, X_f, d)$!

 $\mathcal{L}^p(X, X_f, d)$ is dense in the subspace of S^p -integrable functions w.r.t. some "week topology".

If $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(X, X_f, d)$ is S-integrable lifting of $\mathbf{f} \in L^1(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{m})$ then

•
$$\int_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{f} \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{m} = \int_{X_{\mathrm{f}}} {}^{\circ} f \, \mathrm{d}\lambda_{d} \approx \sum_{x \in X} f(x) \, d(x)$$

• $\|\mathbf{f}\|_{1} = \int_{\mathbf{X}} |\mathbf{f}| \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{m} = \int_{X_{\mathrm{f}}} {}^{\circ} |f| \, \mathrm{d}\lambda_{d}$
 $\approx \sum_{x \in X} |f(x)| \, d(x) = \|f\|_{1,d}$

Remarks.

Not every S^p -integrable function is in $\mathcal{L}^p(X, X_f, d)$!

 $\mathcal{L}^p(X, X_{\mathrm{f}}, d)$ is dense in the subspace of S^p -integrable functions w.r.t. some "week topology".

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

 $\mathcal{L}^p(X, X_{\mathrm{f}}, d)$ is the closure of $\mathcal{C}_{00}(X, E, X_{\mathrm{f}})$ w.r.t. the *p*-norm

$$||f||_{p,d} = \left(\sum_{x \in X} |f(x)|^p d(x)\right)^{1/p}$$

PONTRYAGIN-VAN KAMPEN DUALITY FOURIER TRANSFORM NONSTANDARD ANALYSIS PVK DUALITY & FT IN HF AMBIENCE

Nonstandard Analysis 14

 $\mathcal{M}(X, X_{\mathrm{f}}, d)$ consist of all internal functions $g: X \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}$ s.t. (the third condition defining S-integrability is omitted)

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モー・ モー・ うへぐ

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モー・ モー・ うへぐ

 $\mathcal{M}(X, X_{\mathrm{f}}, d)$ consist of all internal functions $g: X \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}$ s.t. (the third condition defining S-integrability is omitted)

•
$$\left\|g\right\|_{1,d} = \sum_{x \in X} \left|g(x)\right| d(x) < \infty$$

 $\mathcal{M}(X, X_{\mathrm{f}}, d)$ consist of all internal functions $g: X \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}$ s.t. (the third condition defining S-integrability is omitted)

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

•
$$||g||_{1,d} = \sum_{x \in X} |g(x)| d(x) < \infty$$

• $\sum_{z \in Z} |g(z)| d(z) \approx 0$ for internal $Z \subseteq X \smallsetminus X_{\mathrm{f}}$

 $\mathcal{M}(X, X_{\mathrm{f}}, d)$ consist of all internal functions $g: X \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}$ s.t. (the third condition defining S-integrability is omitted)

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

•
$$||g||_{1,d} = \sum_{x \in X} |g(x)| d(x) < \infty$$

• $\sum_{z \in Z} |g(z)| d(z) \approx 0$ for internal $Z \subseteq X \smallsetminus X_{\mathrm{f}}$

 $\mathcal{M}(X, X_{\mathrm{f}}, d)$ consist of all internal functions $g: X \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}$ s.t. (the third condition defining S-integrability is omitted)

•
$$||g||_{1,d} = \sum_{x \in X} |g(x)| d(x) < \infty$$

• $\sum_{z \in Z} |g(z)| d(z) \approx 0$ for internal $Z \subseteq X \smallsetminus X_{\mathrm{f}}$

Each $g \in \mathcal{M}(X, X_{\mathrm{f}}, d)$ represents a complex-valued regular Borel measure with finite variation $\mu \in \mathrm{M}(\mathbf{X})$

 $\mathcal{M}(X, X_{\mathrm{f}}, d)$ consist of all internal functions $g: X \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}$ s.t. (the third condition defining S-integrability is omitted)

•
$$||g||_{1,d} = \sum_{x \in X} |g(x)| d(x) < \infty$$

• $\sum_{z \in Z} |g(z)| d(z) \approx 0$ for internal $Z \subseteq X \smallsetminus X_{\mathrm{f}}$

Each $g \in \mathcal{M}(X, X_{\mathrm{f}}, d)$ represents a complex-valued regular Borel measure with finite variation $\mu \in \mathrm{M}(\mathbf{X})$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

• $\|\mu\| \approx \|g\|_{\scriptscriptstyle 1,d} < \infty$ (variation of μ)

 $\mathcal{M}(X, X_{\mathrm{f}}, d)$ consist of all internal functions $g: X \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}$ s.t. (the third condition defining S-integrability is omitted)

•
$$||g||_{1,d} = \sum_{x \in X} |g(x)| d(x) < \infty$$

• $\sum_{z \in Z} |g(z)| d(z) \approx 0$ for internal $Z \subseteq X \smallsetminus X_{\mathrm{f}}$

Each $g \in \mathcal{M}(X, X_{\mathrm{f}}, d)$ represents a complex-valued regular Borel measure with finite variation $\mu \in \mathrm{M}(\mathbf{X})$

• $\|\mu\| \approx \|g\|_{\scriptscriptstyle 1,d} < \infty$ (variation of μ)

•
$$\int \mathbf{f} d\mu \approx \sum_{x \in X} f(x) g(x) d(x)$$

for $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(X, E, X_f)$, $\mathbf{f} = f^{\flat} \in C_0(\mathbf{X})$
(Riesz rep. thm. + Radon-Nikodym thm. " $d\mu \approx g d(x)$ ")

 $\mathcal{M}(X, X_{\mathrm{f}}, d)$ consist of all internal functions $g: X \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}$ s.t. (the third condition defining S-integrability is omitted)

•
$$||g||_{1,d} = \sum_{x \in X} |g(x)| d(x) < \infty$$

• $\sum_{z \in Z} |g(z)| d(z) \approx 0$ for internal $Z \subseteq X \smallsetminus X_{\mathrm{f}}$

Each $g \in \mathcal{M}(X, X_{\mathrm{f}}, d)$ represents a complex-valued regular Borel measure with finite variation $\mu \in \mathrm{M}(\mathbf{X})$

• $\|\mu\| \approx \|g\|_{\scriptscriptstyle 1,d} < \infty$ (variation of μ)

•
$$\int \mathbf{f} d\mu \approx \sum_{x \in X} f(x) g(x) d(x)$$

for $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(X, E, X_f)$, $\mathbf{f} = f^{\flat} \in C_0(\mathbf{X})$
(Riesz rep. thm. + Radon-Nikodym thm. " $d\mu \approx g d(x)$ ")

 $\mathcal{M}(X, X_{\mathrm{f}}, d)$ consist of all internal functions $g: X \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}$ s.t. (the third condition defining S-integrability is omitted)

•
$$||g||_{1,d} = \sum_{x \in X} |g(x)| d(x) < \infty$$

• $\sum_{z \in Z} |g(z)| d(z) \approx 0$ for internal $Z \subseteq X \smallsetminus X_{\mathrm{f}}$

Each $g \in \mathcal{M}(X, X_{\mathrm{f}}, d)$ represents a complex-valued regular Borel measure with finite variation $\mu \in \mathrm{M}(\mathbf{X})$

• $\|\mu\| \approx \|g\|_{\scriptscriptstyle 1,d} < \infty$ (variation of μ)

•
$$\int \mathbf{f} \, d\mu \approx \sum_{x \in X} f(x) g(x) d(x)$$

for $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(X, E, X_f), \ \mathbf{f} = f^{\flat} \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbf{X})$
(Riesz rep. thm. + Radon-Nikodym thm. " $d\mu \approx g d(x)$ ")

Every regular complex-valued Borel measure with finite variation $\mu \in M(\mathbf{X})$ is obtained in this way from some $g \in \mathcal{M}(X, X_{\mathrm{f}}, d); g$ is called **lifting** of μ w.r.t. X_{f}, E, d .



Any group triplet (G, G_0, G_f) , where



・ロト ・ 日 ・ モー・ モー・ うへぐ

Any group triplet (G, G_0, G_f) , where

• G is an internal ambient group

Any group triplet (G, G_0, G_f) , where

- G is an internal ambient group
- $G_{\rm f} \leq G$ is a Σ_1^0 -subgroup of finite elements of G

Any group triplet (G, G_0, G_f) , where

- G is an internal ambient group
- $G_{\rm f} \leq G$ is a Σ_1^0 -subgroup of finite elements of G
- $G_0 \triangleleft G_f$ is a Π^0_1 -subgroup of infinitesimal elements of G

(日)、(型)、(E)、(E)、(E)、(O)へ(C)

Any group triplet (G, G_0, G_f) , where

- G is an internal ambient group
- $G_{\rm f} \leq G$ is a Σ_1^0 -subgroup of finite elements of G
- $G_0 \triangleleft G_f$ is a Π^0_1 -subgroup of infinitesimal elements of G

(日)、(型)、(E)、(E)、(E)、(O)へ(C)

Any group triplet (G, G_0, G_f) , where

- G is an internal ambient group
- $G_{\rm f} \leq G$ is a Σ_1^0 -subgroup of finite elements of G
- $G_0 \triangleleft G_f$ is a Π^0_1 -subgroup of infinitesimal elements of G

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

gives rise to a "topological triplet" (G, E_{G_0}, G_f) , where

Any group triplet (G, G_0, G_f) , where

- G is an internal ambient group
- $G_{\rm f} \leq G$ is a Σ_1^0 -subgroup of finite elements of G
- $G_0 \lhd G_{\rm f}$ is a Π^0_1 -subgroup of infinitesimal elements of G

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

gives rise to a "topological triplet" (G, E_{G_0}, G_f) , where

•
$$E_{G_0} = \{(x,y) \in G \times G; xy^{-1} \in G_0\}$$

Any group triplet (G, G_0, G_f) , where

- G is an internal ambient group
- $G_{\rm f} \leq G$ is a Σ_1^0 -subgroup of finite elements of G
- $G_0 \lhd G_f$ is a Π^0_1 -subgroup of infinitesimal elements of G

gives rise to a "topological triplet" (G, E_{G_0}, G_f) , where

•
$$E_{G_0} = \{(x, y) \in G \times G; xy^{-1} \in G_0\}$$

• and topological group $G^{\flat} = G_{\rm f}/G_0$ – observable trace

Any group triplet (G, G_0, G_f) , where

- G is an internal ambient group
- $G_{\rm f} \leq G$ is a Σ_1^0 -subgroup of finite elements of G
- $G_0 \triangleleft G_{\rm f}$ is a Π^0_1 -subgroup of infinitesimal elements of G

gives rise to a "topological triplet" (G, E_{G_0}, G_f) , where

•
$$E_{G_0} = \{(x, y) \in G \times G; xy^{-1} \in G_0\}$$

• and topological group $G^{\flat} = G_{\rm f}/G_0$ – observable trace

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

• $G^{\flat} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ is locally compact iff for any internal sets $A, B, \mathrm{s.t.}$ $G_0 \subseteq A \subseteq B \subseteq G_{\mathrm{f}}$, there is a finite set $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_k\} \subseteq B$ s.t. $B \subseteq A X = \bigcup_{i=1}^k A x_i$

Any group triplet (G, G_0, G_f) , where

- G is an internal ambient group
- $G_{\rm f} \leq G$ is a Σ_1^0 -subgroup of finite elements of G
- $G_0 \triangleleft G_{\rm f}$ is a Π^0_1 -subgroup of infinitesimal elements of G

gives rise to a "topological triplet" (G, E_{G_0}, G_f) , where

•
$$E_{G_0} = \{(x, y) \in G \times G; xy^{-1} \in G_0\}$$

- and topological group $G^{\flat} = G_{\rm f}/G_0$ observable trace
- $G^{\flat} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ is locally compact iff for any internal sets $A, B, \mathrm{s.t.}$ $G_0 \subseteq A \subseteq B \subseteq G_{\mathrm{f}},$ there is a finite set $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_k\} \subseteq B$ s.t. $B \subseteq A X = \bigcup_{i=1}^k A x_i$

•
$$G^{\flat} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$$
 is abelian iff $[G_{\mathrm{f}}, G_{\mathrm{f}}] \subseteq G_0$, i.e.,
 $\forall x, y \in G_{\mathrm{f}} : [x, y] = xyx^{-1}y^{-1} \in G_0$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへで

E. I. Gordon [1992]:

Every LCA group **G** can be represented as observable trace $\mathbf{G} \cong G^{\flat} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ of some group triplet (G, G_0, G_{f}) with **hyperfinite** abelian ambient group G.

E. I. Gordon [1992]: Every LCA group **G** can be represented as observable trace $\mathbf{G} \cong G^{\flat} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ of some group triplet (G, G_0, G_{f}) with **hyperfinite** abelian ambient group *G*. In standard terms:

ショック 川田 マード・ オロット キョックタイ

E. I. Gordon [1992]: Every LCA group **G** can be represented as observable trace $\mathbf{G} \cong G^{\flat} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ of some group triplet (G, G_0, G_{f}) with **hyperfinite** abelian ambient group *G*. In standard terms: Every LCA group **G** can be approximated by finite abelian groups.

ショック 川田 マード・ オロット キョックタイ

E. I. Gordon [1992]: Every LCA group **G** can be represented as observable trace $\mathbf{G} \cong G^{\flat} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ of some group triplet (G, G_0, G_{f}) with **hyperfinite** abelian ambient group *G*. In standard terms: Every LCA group **G** can be approximated by finite abelian groups.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

However small compact neighborhood U of 0 and

E. I. Gordon [1992]: Every LCA group **G** can be represented as observable trace $\mathbf{G} \cong G^{\flat} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ of some group triplet (G, G_0, G_{f}) with **hyperfinite** abelian ambient group *G*. In standard terms: Every LCA group **G** can be approximated by finite abelian groups.

ショック 川田 マード・ オロット キョックタイ

However small compact neighborhood U of 0 and however big compact set K in \mathbf{G} ,

E. I. Gordon [1992]: Every LCA group **G** can be represented as observable trace $\mathbf{G} \cong G^{\flat} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ of some group triplet (G, G_0, G_{f}) with **hyperfinite** abelian ambient group *G*. In standard terms: Every LCA group **G** can be approximated by finite abelian groups.

However small compact neighborhood U of 0 and however big compact set K in \mathbf{G} , there is a finite abelian (U, K)-approximation (F, j) of \mathbf{G} :

E. I. Gordon [1992]: Every LCA group **G** can be represented as observable trace $\mathbf{G} \cong G^{\flat} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ of some group triplet (G, G_0, G_{f}) with **hyperfinite** abelian ambient group *G*. In standard terms: Every LCA group **G** can be approximated by finite abelian groups.

However small compact neighborhood U of 0 and however big compact set K in \mathbf{G} , there is a finite abelian (U, K)-approximation (F, j) of \mathbf{G} :

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

• F is finite abelian group

E. I. Gordon [1992]: Every LCA group **G** can be represented as observable trace $\mathbf{G} \cong G^{\flat} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ of some group triplet (G, G_0, G_{f}) with **hyperfinite** abelian ambient group *G*. In standard terms: Every LCA group **G** can be approximated by finite abelian groups.

However small compact neighborhood U of 0 and however big compact set K in \mathbf{G} , there is a finite abelian (U, K)-approximation (F, j) of \mathbf{G} :

- F is finite abelian group
- $j: F \to \mathbf{G}$ is injective mapping

E. I. Gordon [1992]: Every LCA group **G** can be represented as observable trace $\mathbf{G} \cong G^{\flat} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ of some group triplet (G, G_0, G_{f}) with **hyperfinite** abelian ambient group *G*. In standard terms: Every LCA group **G** can be approximated by finite abelian groups.

However small compact neighborhood U of 0 and however big compact set K in \mathbf{G} , there is a finite abelian (U, K)-approximation (F, j) of \mathbf{G} :

- F is finite abelian group
- $j: F \to \mathbf{G}$ is injective mapping

E. I. Gordon [1992]: Every LCA group **G** can be represented as observable trace $\mathbf{G} \cong G^{\flat} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ of some group triplet (G, G_0, G_{f}) with **hyperfinite** abelian ambient group *G*. In standard terms: Every LCA group **G** can be approximated by finite abelian groups.

However small compact neighborhood U of 0 and however big compact set K in \mathbf{G} , there is a finite abelian (U, K)-approximation (F, j) of \mathbf{G} :

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

- F is finite abelian group
- $j: F \to \mathbf{G}$ is injective mapping

•
$$K \subseteq j(F) + U = \bigcup_{a \in F} j(a) + U$$

E. I. Gordon [1992]: Every LCA group **G** can be represented as observable trace $\mathbf{G} \cong G^{\flat} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ of some group triplet (G, G_0, G_{f}) with **hyperfinite** abelian ambient group *G*. In standard terms: Every LCA group **G** can be approximated by finite abelian groups.

However small compact neighborhood U of 0 and however big compact set K in \mathbf{G} , there is a finite abelian (U, K)-approximation (F, j) of \mathbf{G} :

- F is finite abelian group
- $j: F \to \mathbf{G}$ is injective mapping

•
$$K \subseteq j(F) + U = \bigcup_{a \in F} j(a) + U$$

• $\forall a, b \in F : j(a), j(b), j(a+b) \in K \Rightarrow$
 $\Rightarrow j(a) + j(b) - j(a+b) \in U$



• In case of HF ambient group G, $G^{\flat} = G_{\rm f}/G_0$ is locally compact iff for any internal sets A, B, $G_0 \subseteq A \subseteq B \subseteq G_{\rm f} \Rightarrow \frac{|B|}{|A|} < \infty$

- In case of HF ambient group G, $G^{\flat} = G_{\rm f}/G_0$ is locally compact iff for any internal sets A, B, $G_0 \subseteq A \subseteq B \subseteq G_{\rm f} \Rightarrow \frac{|B|}{|A|} < \infty$
- Haar measure $m_{\mathbf{G}} = m_d$ is obtained by pushing down Loeb measure λ_d for d = 1/|A|, normalizing multiplier, where A is arbitrary internal set s.t. $G_0 \subseteq A \subseteq G_{\mathbf{f}}$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

- In case of HF ambient group G, $G^{\flat} = G_{\rm f}/G_0$ is locally compact iff for any internal sets A, B, $G_0 \subseteq A \subseteq B \subseteq G_{\rm f} \Rightarrow \frac{|B|}{|A|} < \infty$
- Haar measure $m_{\mathbf{G}} = m_d$ is obtained by pushing down Loeb measure λ_d for d = 1/|A|, normalizing multiplier, where A is arbitrary internal set s.t. $G_0 \subseteq A \subseteq G_{\mathbf{f}}$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

- In case of HF ambient group G, $G^{\flat} = G_{\rm f}/G_0$ is locally compact iff for any internal sets A, B, $G_0 \subseteq A \subseteq B \subseteq G_{\rm f} \Rightarrow \frac{|B|}{|A|} < \infty$
- Haar measure $m_{\mathbf{G}} = m_d$ is obtained by pushing down Loeb measure λ_d for d = 1/|A|, normalizing multiplier, where A is arbitrary internal set s.t. $G_0 \subseteq A \subseteq G_{\mathrm{f}}$

Can the dual group $\widehat{\mathbf{G}} = \widehat{G^{\flat}} = \widehat{G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0}$ be described in terms of some group triplet, canonically related to the original triplet (G, G_0, G_{f}) ?

PONTRYAGIN-VAN KAMPEN DUALITY & FURIER TRANSFORM NONSTANDARD ANALYSIS PVK DUALITY & FT IN HF AMBIENCE

PvK Duality & FT in HF Ambience 4

 $\widehat{G} = {}^{*}\mathrm{Hom}(G, {}^{*}\mathbb{T}) \left(\cong G \cong \widehat{\widehat{G}} \right) - \text{ internal dual group of } G:$ all *internal* homomorphisms $\gamma : G \to {}^{*}\mathbb{T}$

 $\widehat{G} = {}^{*}\mathrm{Hom}(G, {}^{*}\mathbb{T}) \left(\cong G \cong \widehat{\widehat{G}} \right) - \text{ internal dual group of } G:$ all *internal* homomorphisms $\gamma : G \to {}^{*}\mathbb{T}$

$$\begin{aligned} X^{\mathcal{A}} &= \{ \gamma \in \widehat{G}; \; \forall \, x \in X \, : \, \gamma(x) \approx 1 \} \\ \Gamma^{\mathcal{A}} &= \{ x \in G; \; \forall \, \gamma \in \Gamma \, : \, \gamma(x) \approx 1 \} \end{aligned}$$

infinitesimal annihilators of arbitrary sets $X \subseteq G, \Gamma \subseteq \widehat{G}$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

 $\widehat{G} = {}^{*}\mathrm{Hom}(G, {}^{*}\mathbb{T}) \left(\cong G \cong \widehat{\widehat{G}} \right) - \text{ internal dual group of } G:$ all *internal* homomorphisms $\gamma : G \to {}^{*}\mathbb{T}$

$$\begin{aligned} X^{\mathcal{A}} &= \{ \gamma \in \widehat{G}; \ \forall \, x \in X \, : \, \gamma(x) \approx 1 \} \\ \Gamma^{\mathcal{A}} &= \{ x \in G; \ \forall \, \gamma \in \Gamma \, : \, \gamma(x) \approx 1 \} \end{aligned}$$

infinitesimal annihilators of arbitrary sets $X \subseteq G, \Gamma \subseteq \widehat{G}$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

•
$$G_0^{\perp}$$
 – all S-continuous characters in \widehat{G} (Σ_1^0)

 $\widehat{G} = {}^{*}\mathrm{Hom}(G, {}^{*}\mathbb{T}) \left(\cong G \cong \widehat{\widehat{G}} \right) - \text{ internal dual group of } G:$ all *internal* homomorphisms $\gamma : G \to {}^{*}\mathbb{T}$

$$\begin{aligned} X^{\mathcal{L}} &= \{ \gamma \in \widehat{G}; \ \forall \, x \in X \, : \, \gamma(x) \approx 1 \} \\ \Gamma^{\mathcal{L}} &= \{ x \in G; \ \forall \, \gamma \in \Gamma \, : \, \gamma(x) \approx 1 \} \end{aligned}$$

infinitesimal annihilators of arbitrary sets $X \subseteq G, \ \Gamma \subseteq \widehat{G}$

- G_0^{\downarrow} all S-continuous characters in \widehat{G} (Σ_1^0)
- $G_{\rm f}^{\lambda}$ all characters in \widehat{G} , infinitely close to 1 on $G_{\rm f}$ (Π_1^0)

 $\widehat{G} = * \operatorname{Hom}(G, *\mathbb{T}) \left(\cong G \cong \widehat{\widehat{G}}\right) - \text{ internal dual group of } G:$ all *internal* homomorphisms $\gamma : G \to *\mathbb{T}$

$$\begin{aligned} X^{\mathcal{L}} &= \{ \gamma \in G; \; \forall \, x \in X \, : \, \gamma(x) \approx 1 \} \\ \Gamma^{\mathcal{L}} &= \{ x \in G; \; \forall \, \gamma \in \Gamma \, : \, \gamma(x) \approx 1 \} \end{aligned}$$

infinitesimal annihilators of arbitrary sets $X \subseteq G, \Gamma \subseteq \widehat{G}$

- G_0^{\downarrow} all S-continuous characters in \widehat{G} (Σ_1^0)
- $G_{\rm f}^{\lambda}$ all characters in \widehat{G} , infinitely close to 1 on $G_{\rm f}$ (Π_1^0)

• $(\widehat{G}, G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\lambda}, G_{0}^{\lambda})$ – dual group triplet of $(G, G_{0}, G_{\mathrm{f}})$

 $\widehat{G} = * \operatorname{Hom}(G, *\mathbb{T}) \left(\cong G \cong \widehat{\widehat{G}}\right) - \text{ internal dual group of } G:$ all *internal* homomorphisms $\gamma : G \to *\mathbb{T}$

$$\begin{aligned} X^{\mathcal{L}} &= \{ \gamma \in G; \; \forall \, x \in X \, : \, \gamma(x) \approx 1 \} \\ \Gamma^{\mathcal{L}} &= \{ x \in G; \; \forall \, \gamma \in \Gamma \, : \, \gamma(x) \approx 1 \} \end{aligned}$$

infinitesimal annihilators of arbitrary sets $X \subseteq G, \Gamma \subseteq \widehat{G}$

- G_0^{\downarrow} all S-continuous characters in \widehat{G} (Σ_1^0)
- $G_{\rm f}^{\lambda}$ all characters in \widehat{G} , infinitely close to 1 on $G_{\rm f}$ (Π_1^0)

• $(\widehat{G}, G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\lambda}, G_{0}^{\lambda})$ – dual group triplet of $(G, G_{0}, G_{\mathrm{f}})$

$$\begin{split} &\widehat{G} = {}^{*}\mathrm{Hom}(G, {}^{*}\mathbb{T}) \left(\cong G \cong \widehat{\widehat{G}} \right) \ - \text{ internal dual group of } G: \\ & \text{all internal homomorphisms } \gamma: G \to {}^{*}\mathbb{T} \\ & X^{\perp} = \{ \gamma \in \widehat{G}; \ \forall \, x \in X \, : \, \gamma(x) \approx 1 \} \\ & \Gamma^{\perp} = \{ x \in G; \ \forall \, \gamma \in \Gamma \, : \, \gamma(x) \approx 1 \} \\ & \text{infinitesimal annihilators of arbitrary sets } X \subseteq G, \ \Gamma \subseteq \widehat{G} \\ & \bullet \ G_{0}^{\perp} \ - \ \text{all } S\text{-continuous characters in } \widehat{G} \ (\Sigma_{1}^{0}) \end{split}$$

- $G_{\rm f}^{\perp}$ all characters in \widehat{G} , infinitely close to 1 on $G_{\rm f}$ (Π_1^0)
- $(\widehat{G}, G_{\mathbf{f}}^{\lambda}, G_{0}^{\lambda})$ dual group triplet of $(G, G_{0}, G_{\mathbf{f}})$

What's the relation between the observable trace $\widehat{G}^{\flat} = G_0^{\flat}/G_f^{\flat}$ of the dual triplet $(\widehat{G}, G_f^{\flat}, G_0^{\flat})$ and the dual $\widehat{\mathbf{G}} = \widehat{G^{\flat}} = \widehat{G_f/G_0}$ of the observable trace of the original triplet (G, G_0, G_f) ?



Example:

PONTRYAGIN-VAN KAMPEN DUALITY FOURIER TRANSFORM NONSTANDARD ANALYSIS PVK DUALITY & FT IN HF AMBIENCE

PvK Duality & FT in HF Ambience 5

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モ ト ・ モ ・ うへぐ

Example: $\widehat{G}^{\flat} = G_0^{\downarrow} / G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\downarrow} \cong \widehat{G_{\mathrm{f}} / G_0} = \widehat{G^{\flat}}$

Example: $\widehat{G}^{\flat} = G_0^{\downarrow} / G_f^{\downarrow} \cong \widehat{G_f} / \widehat{G_0} = \widehat{G}^{\flat}$ $K \in {}^*\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}, \ N = 2K + 1, \ 0 < d < \infty, \ Kd \not\approx 0$

Example: $\widehat{G}^{\flat} = G_0^{\downarrow}/G_f^{\downarrow} \cong \widehat{G_f/G_0} = \widehat{G}^{\flat}$ $K \in {}^*\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}, \ N = 2K + 1, \ 0 < d < \infty, \ Kd \not\approx 0$ $G = \{nd; \ -K \le n \le K\} \text{ with } + \mod Nd, \ (G, +) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_N, +)$

ショック 川田 スポット エリット ショックタイ

Example: $\widehat{G}^{\flat} = G_0^{\downarrow}/G_f^{\downarrow} \cong \widehat{G_f/G_0} = \widehat{G}^{\flat}$ $K \in {}^*\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}, \ N = 2K + 1, \ 0 < d < \infty, \ Kd \not\approx 0$ $G = \{nd; \ -K \le n \le K\} \text{ with } + \mod Nd, \ (G, +) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_N, +)$ $G_0 = G \cap \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in G; \ x \approx 0\}$ $G_f = G \cap \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in G; \ |x| < \infty\}$

ション ふゆ マ キャット キャット しょう

Example:
$$\widehat{G}^{\flat} = G_0^{\downarrow}/G_f^{\downarrow} \cong \widehat{G_f/G_0} = \widehat{G}^{\flat}$$

 $K \in {}^*\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}, \ N = 2K + 1, \ 0 < d < \infty, \ Kd \not\approx 0$
 $G = \{nd; \ -K \le n \le K\} \text{ with } + \mod Nd, \ (G, +) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_N, +)$
 $G_0 = G \cap \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in G; \ x \approx 0\}$
 $G_f = G \cap \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in G; \ |x| < \infty\}$

$$G^{\flat} = G_{\rm f}/G_0 \cong$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Example:} \quad \widehat{G}^{\flat} &= G_0^{\perp} / G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp} \cong \widehat{G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0} = \widehat{G^{\flat}} \\ K \in {}^*\mathbb{N} \smallsetminus \mathbb{N}, \ N &= 2K + 1, \ 0 < d < \infty, \ Kd \not\approx 0 \\ G &= \{nd; \ -K \leq n \leq K\} \text{ with } + \mod Nd, \ (G, +) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_N, +) \\ G_0 &= G \cap \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in G; \ x \approx 0\} \\ G_{\mathrm{f}} &= G \cap \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in G; \ |x| < \infty\} \\ G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\flat} &= G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0 \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } d \not\approx 0, \text{ as } G_0 = \{0\}, \\ \mathbb{T} & \text{if } d \approx 0, \ Kd < \infty, \text{ as } G_{\mathrm{f}} = G, \\ \mathbb{R} & \text{if } d \approx 0, \ Kd \notin \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回 のへぐ

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{Example:} \quad \widehat{G}^{\flat} &= G_0^{\bot}/G_{\mathbf{f}}^{\bot} \cong \widehat{G_{\mathbf{f}}/G_0} = \widehat{G^{\flat}} \\ K \in {}^*\mathbb{N} \smallsetminus \mathbb{N}, \ N &= 2K+1, \ 0 < d < \infty, \ Kd \not\approx 0 \\ G &= \{nd; \ -K \leq n \leq K\} \text{ with } + \mod Nd, \ (G,+) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_N,+) \\ G_0 &= G \cap \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in G; \ x \approx 0\} \\ G_{\mathbf{f}} &= G \cap \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in G; \ |x| < \infty\} \\ G_{\mathbf{f}}^{\flat} &= G_{\mathbf{f}}/G_0 \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } d \not\approx 0, \text{ as } G_0 = \{0\}, \\ \mathbb{T} & \text{if } d \approx 0, \ Kd < \infty, \text{ as } G_{\mathbf{f}} = G, \\ \mathbb{R} & \text{if } d \approx 0, \ Kd \notin \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} \end{cases} \\ \widehat{d} = (Nd)^{-1}, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{Example:} \quad \widehat{G}^{\flat} &= G_0^{\perp}/G_{\mathbf{f}}^{\perp} \cong \widehat{G_{\mathbf{f}}/G_0} = \widehat{G^{\flat}} \\ K \in {}^*\mathbb{N} \smallsetminus \mathbb{N}, \ N &= 2K+1, \ 0 < d < \infty, \ Kd \not\approx 0 \\ G &= \{nd; \ -K \leq n \leq K\} \text{ with } + \mod Nd, \ (G,+) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_N,+) \\ G_0 &= G \cap \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in G; \ x \approx 0\} \\ G_{\mathbf{f}} &= G \cap \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in G; \ |x| < \infty\} \\ G_{\mathbf{f}}^{\flat} &= G_{\mathbf{f}}/G_0 \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } d \not\approx 0, \text{ as } G_0 = \{0\}, \\ \mathbb{T} & \text{if } d \approx 0, \ Kd < \infty, \text{ as } G_{\mathbf{f}} = G, \\ \mathbb{R} & \text{if } d \approx 0, \ Kd \notin \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} \end{cases} \\ \widehat{d} &= (Nd)^{-1}, \ 0 < \widehat{d} < \infty, \ K\widehat{d} \not\approx 0 \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{Example:} \quad \widehat{G}^{\flat} &= G_0^{\checkmark}/G_{\mathbf{f}}^{\checkmark} \cong \widehat{G_{\mathbf{f}}/G_0} = \widehat{G^{\flat}} \\ K \in {}^*\mathbb{N} \smallsetminus \mathbb{N}, \ N &= 2K+1, \ 0 < d < \infty, \ Kd \not\approx 0 \\ G &= \{nd; \ -K \leq n \leq K\} \text{ with } + \mod Nd, \ (G,+) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_N,+) \\ G_0 &= G \cap \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in G; \ x \approx 0\} \\ G_{\mathbf{f}} &= G \cap \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in G; \ |x| < \infty\} \\ G^{\flat} &= G_{\mathbf{f}}/G_0 \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } d \not\approx 0, \text{ as } G_0 = \{0\}, \\ \mathbb{T} & \text{if } d \approx 0, \ Kd < \infty, \text{ as } G_{\mathbf{f}} = G, \\ \mathbb{R} & \text{if } d \approx 0, \ Kd \notin \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} \end{cases} \\ \widehat{d} &= (Nd)^{-1}, \ 0 < \widehat{d} < \infty, \ K\widehat{d} \not\approx 0 \\ \widehat{G} &= \{n\widehat{d}; \ -K \leq n \leq K\} \text{ with } + \mod N\widehat{d}, \ (\widehat{G}, +) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_N, +) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Example:} \quad \widehat{G}^{\flat} &= G_0^{\flat}/G_{\mathbf{f}}^{\flat} \cong \widehat{G_{\mathbf{f}}/G_0} = \widehat{G^{\flat}} \\ K \in {}^*\mathbb{N} \smallsetminus \mathbb{N}, \ N &= 2K+1, \ 0 < d < \infty, \ Kd \not\approx 0 \\ G &= \{nd; \ -K \leq n \leq K\} \text{ with } + \mod Nd, \ (G,+) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_N,+) \\ G_0 &= G \cap \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in G; \ x \approx 0\} \\ G_{\mathbf{f}} &= G \cap \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in G; \ |x| < \infty\} \\ G^{\flat} &= G_{\mathbf{f}}/G_0 \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{if } d \not\approx 0, \text{ as } G_0 = \{0\}, \\ \mathbb{T} \quad \text{if } d \approx 0, \ Kd < \infty, \text{ as } G_{\mathbf{f}} = G, \\ \mathbb{R} \quad \text{if } d \approx 0, \ Kd \notin \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} \end{cases} \\ \widehat{d} &= (Nd)^{-1}, \ 0 < \widehat{d} < \infty, \ K\widehat{d} \not\approx 0 \\ \widehat{G} &= \{n\widehat{d}; \ -K \leq n \leq K\} \text{ with } + \mod N\widehat{d}, \ (\widehat{G},+) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_N,+) \\ G_{\mathbf{f}}^{\flat} &= \widehat{G} \cap \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R} = \{y \in \widehat{G}; \ y \approx 0\} \\ G_0^{\flat} &= \widehat{G} \cap \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} = \{y \in \widehat{G}; \ |y| < \infty\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{Example:} \quad \widehat{G}^{\flat} &= G_0^{\downarrow}/G_{\mathbf{f}}^{\downarrow} \cong \widehat{G_{\mathbf{f}}/G_0} = \widehat{G^{\flat}} \\ K \in {}^*\mathbb{N} \smallsetminus \mathbb{N}, \ N &= 2K+1, \ 0 < d < \infty, \ Kd \not\approx 0 \\ G &= \{nd; \ -K \leq n \leq K\} \text{ with } + \mod Nd, \ (G,+) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_N,+) \\ G_0 &= G \cap \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in G; \ x \approx 0\} \\ G_{\mathbf{f}} &= G \cap \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in G; \ |x| < \infty\} \\ G^{\flat} &= G_{\mathbf{f}}/G_0 \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{if } d \not\approx 0, \text{ as } G_0 = \{0\}, \\ \mathbb{T} \quad \text{if } d \approx 0, \ Kd < \infty, \text{ as } G_{\mathbf{f}} = G, \\ \mathbb{R} \quad \text{if } d \approx 0, \ Kd \notin \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} \end{cases} \\ \widehat{d} &= (Nd)^{-1}, \ 0 < \widehat{d} < \infty, \ K\widehat{d} \not\approx 0 \\ \widehat{G} &= \{n\widehat{d}; \ -K \leq n \leq K\} \text{ with } + \mod N\widehat{d}, \ (\widehat{G}, +) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_N, +) \\ G^{\downarrow}_{\mathbf{f}} &= \widehat{G} \cap \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R} = \{y \in \widehat{G}; \ y \approx 0\} \\ G^{\downarrow}_0 &= \widehat{G} \cap \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} = \{y \in \widehat{G}; \ |y| < \infty\} \end{split}$$

$$\widehat{G}^\flat = G_0^{\bot}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\bot} \cong$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{Example:} \quad \widehat{G}^{\flat} &= G_0^{\downarrow} / G_f^{\downarrow} \cong \widehat{G_f / G_0} = \widehat{G^{\flat}} \\ K \in {}^*\mathbb{N} \smallsetminus \mathbb{N}, \ N &= 2K + 1, \ 0 < d < \infty, \ Kd \not\approx 0 \\ G &= \{nd; \ -K \leq n \leq K\} \text{ with } + \mod Nd, \ (G, +) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_N, +) \\ G_0 &= G \cap \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in G; \ x \approx 0\} \\ G_f &= G \cap \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in G; \ |x| < \infty\} \\ \\ G^{\flat} &= G_f / G_0 \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{if } d \not\approx 0, \text{ as } G_0 = \{0\}, \\ \mathbb{T} \quad \text{if } d \approx 0, \ Kd < \infty, \text{ as } G_f = G, \\ \mathbb{R} \quad \text{if } d \approx 0, \ Kd \notin \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} \end{cases} \\ \widehat{d} &= (Nd)^{-1}, \ 0 < \widehat{d} < \infty, \ K\widehat{d} \not\approx 0 \\ \widehat{G} &= \{n\widehat{d}; \ -K \leq n \leq K\} \text{ with } + \mod N\widehat{d}, \ (\widehat{G}, +) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_N, +) \\ G_f^{\downarrow} &= \widehat{G} \cap \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R} = \{y \in \widehat{G}; \ y \approx 0\} \\ G_0^{\downarrow} &= \widehat{G} \cap \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} = \{y \in \widehat{G}; \ |y| < \infty\} \\ \widehat{G}^{\flat} &= G_0^{\downarrow} / G_f^{\downarrow} \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{T} \quad \text{if } d \not\approx 0, \ xd < \infty, \text{ as } G_f^{\downarrow} = \{0\}, \\ \mathbb{R} \quad \text{if } d \approx 0, \ Kd < \infty, \text{ as } G_f^{\downarrow} = \{0\}, \\ \mathbb{R} \quad \text{if } d \approx 0, \ Kd \notin \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

PONTRYAGIN-VAN KAMPEN DUALITY & FURIER TRANSFORM NONSTANDARD ANALYSIS PVK DUALITY & FT IN HF AMBIENCE

PvK Duality & FT in HF Ambience 6

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モー・ モー・ うへぐ

Each $\gamma: G \to {}^*\mathbb{T}$ in G_0^{\downarrow} yields continuous character $\gamma^{\flat}: \mathbf{G} \to \mathbb{T}$, by $\gamma^{\flat}({}^\circ x) = {}^\circ \gamma(x)$, for $x \in G_{\mathbf{f}}$:

ション ふゆ くは くち くち くち くうく

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

• with image $\{\gamma^{\flat}; \gamma \in G_0^{\lambda}\}$ and kernel $G_{\rm f}^{\lambda}$

- $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{\flat}$ is group homomorphism $G_0^{\flat} \to \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$
- with image $\{\gamma^{\flat}; \gamma \in G_0^{\lambda}\}$ and kernel G_f^{λ}
- it induces injective homomorphism $G_0^{\downarrow}/G_f^{\downarrow} \to \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$

- $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{\flat}$ is group homomorphism $G_0^{\downarrow} \to \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$
- with image $\{\gamma^{\flat}; \gamma \in G_0^{\downarrow}\}$ and kernel G_f^{\downarrow}
- it induces injective homomorphism $G_0^{\downarrow}/G_{\rm f}^{\downarrow} \to \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$
- this canonic mapping is isomorphism of $\widehat{G}^{\flat} = G_0^{\downarrow}/G_f^{\downarrow}$ onto closed subgroup of $\widehat{\mathbf{G}} = \widehat{G^{\flat}} = \widehat{G_f/G_0}$

- $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{\flat}$ is group homomorphism $G_0^{\downarrow} \to \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$
- with image $\{\gamma^{\flat}; \gamma \in G_0^{\downarrow}\}$ and kernel G_f^{\downarrow}
- it induces injective homomorphism $G_0^{\lambda}/G_{\rm f}^{\lambda} \to \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$
- this canonic mapping is isomorphism of $\widehat{G}^{\flat} = G_0^{\downarrow}/G_f^{\downarrow}$ onto closed subgroup of $\widehat{\mathbf{G}} = \widehat{G^{\flat}} = \widehat{G_f/G_0}$
- non-S-continuous internal characters $\gamma \in \widehat{G} \smallsetminus G_0^{\downarrow}$ correspond neither to non-continuous characters of **G**, nor even to mappings $\mathbf{G} \to \mathbb{T}$

PONTRYAGIN-VAN KAMPEN DUALITY & FURIER TRANSFORM NONSTANDARD ANALYSIS PVK DUALITY & FT IN HF AMBIENCE

PvK Duality & FT in HF Ambience 7

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへで

PONTRYAGIN-VAN KAMPEN DUALITY & FURIER TRANSFORM NONSTANDARD ANALYSIS PVK DUALITY & FT IN HF AMBIENCE

PvK Duality & FT in HF Ambience 7

Gordon's Conjecture 1 (GC1):

Gordon's Conjecture 1 (GC1): The canonic mapping $G_0^{\perp}/G_f^{\perp} \to \widehat{G_f/G_0}$ is isomorphism of topological groups.

Gordon's Conjecture 1 (GC1): The canonic mapping $G_0^{\perp}/G_f^{\perp} \to \widehat{G_f/G_0}$ is isomorphism of topological groups.

• enough to show that $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{\flat}$ is onto, i.e., every character $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ is of form $\gamma = \gamma^{\flat}$ for some $\gamma \in G_0^{\downarrow}$

Gordon's Conjecture 1 (GC1): The canonic mapping $G_0^{\perp}/G_f^{\perp} \to \widehat{G_f/G_0}$ is isomorphism of topological groups.

- enough to show that $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{\flat}$ is onto, i.e., every character $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ is of form $\gamma = \gamma^{\flat}$ for some $\gamma \in G_0^{\perp}$
- by PvK duality this is equivalent to $G_0^{\downarrow\downarrow} \cap G_f = G_0$, i.e., characters in $\{\gamma^{\flat}; \gamma \in G_0^{\downarrow}\}$ separate points in **G** (density follows)

Gordon's Conjecture 1 (GC1): The canonic mapping $G_0^{\perp}/G_f^{\perp} \to \widehat{G_f/G_0}$ is isomorphism of topological groups.

- enough to show that $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{\flat}$ is onto, i.e., every character $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ is of form $\gamma = \gamma^{\flat}$ for some $\gamma \in G_0^{\prec}$
- by PvK duality this is equivalent to $G_0^{\lambda\lambda} \cap G_f = G_0$, i.e., characters in $\{\gamma^{\flat}; \gamma \in G_0^{\lambda}\}$ separate points in **G** (density follows)
- I proved a bit more [PZ, June–July 2012]: $G_0^{\downarrow,\downarrow} = G_0$

Gordon's Conjecture 1 (GC1): The canonic mapping $G_0^{\perp}/G_f^{\perp} \to \widehat{G_f/G_0}$ is isomorphism of topological groups.

- enough to show that $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{\flat}$ is onto, i.e., every character $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ is of form $\gamma = \gamma^{\flat}$ for some $\gamma \in G_0^{\perp}$
- by PvK duality this is equivalent to $G_0^{\lambda\lambda} \cap G_f = G_0$, i.e., characters in $\{\gamma^{\flat}; \gamma \in G_0^{\lambda}\}$ separate points in **G** (density follows)
- I proved a bit more [PZ, June–July 2012]: $G_0^{\downarrow,\downarrow} = G_0$

•
$$G_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}} = G_{\mathbf{f}} + G_{0}^{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}} = G_{\mathbf{f}}$$
, i.e.,
the dual triplet of $(\widehat{G}, G_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathcal{L}}, G_{0}^{\mathcal{L}})$ is $(G, G_{0}, G_{\mathbf{f}})$

Gordon's Conjecture 1 (GC1): The canonic mapping $G_0^{\perp}/G_f^{\perp} \to \widehat{G_f/G_0}$ is isomorphism of topological groups.

- enough to show that $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{\flat}$ is onto, i.e., every character $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ is of form $\gamma = \gamma^{\flat}$ for some $\gamma \in G_0^{\perp}$
- by PvK duality this is equivalent to $G_0^{\downarrow,\downarrow} \cap G_f = G_0$, i.e., characters in $\{\gamma^{\flat}; \gamma \in G_0^{\downarrow}\}$ separate points in **G** (density follows)
- I proved a bit more [PZ, June–July 2012]: $G_0^{\downarrow,\downarrow} = G_0$
- $G_{\mathbf{f}}^{\boldsymbol{\perp},\boldsymbol{\perp}} = G_{\mathbf{f}} + G_{0}^{\boldsymbol{\perp},\boldsymbol{\perp}} = G_{\mathbf{f}}$, i.e., the dual triplet of $(\widehat{G}, G_{\mathbf{f}}^{\boldsymbol{\perp}}, G_{0}^{\boldsymbol{\perp}})$ is $(G, G_{0}, G_{\mathbf{f}})$
- methods: NSA + Harmonic An. + Additive Combinatorics (G. Freiman, B. Green, I. Ruzsa, T. Tao, V. Vu, ...): analysis of Bohr sets and **spectral sets** $\mathcal{S}_t(f) = \{\gamma \in \widehat{G}; \ |\widehat{f}(\gamma)| \ge t \|f\|_1\}$ $(f \in \mathbb{C}^G, {}^*\mathbb{C}^G, t \in [0, 1])$



There are proper nontrivial subgroups $H \leq G$ s.t. $H^{\perp} = \{1_G\}$ (trivial character), hence $H^{\perp, \perp} = G$.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モー・ モー・ うへぐ

There are proper nontrivial subgroups $H \leq G$ s.t. $H^{\perp} = \{1_G\}$ (trivial character), hence $H^{\perp, \perp} = G$.

The equality $G_0^{\lambda\lambda} = G_0$, in fact the inclusion $G_0^{\lambda\lambda} \subseteq G_0$, is equivalent, in standard terms, to the following thm.

There are proper nontrivial subgroups $H \leq G$ s.t. $H^{\perp} = \{1_G\}$ (trivial character), hence $H^{\perp, \perp} = G$.

The equality $G_0^{\perp \lambda} = G_0$, in fact the inclusion $G_0^{\perp \lambda} \subseteq G_0$, is equivalent, in standard terms, to the following thm.

Let $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 2\pi/3)$ and $\mathbf{q} = (q_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}, q_j \ge 1$ be sequence in \mathbb{R} . Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$, depending just on α, β and \mathbf{q} , s.t.:

There are proper nontrivial subgroups $H \leq G$ s.t. $H^{\perp} = \{1_G\}$ (trivial character), hence $H^{\perp, \perp} = G$.

The equality $G_0^{\perp \lambda} = G_0$, in fact the inclusion $G_0^{\perp \lambda} \subseteq G_0$, is equivalent, in standard terms, to the following thm.

Let $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 2\pi/3)$ and $\mathbf{q} = (q_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}, q_j \ge 1$ be sequence in \mathbb{R} . Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$, depending just on α, β and \mathbf{q} , s.t.: If G is finite abelian group and $0 \in A_n \subseteq \ldots \subseteq A_1 \subseteq A_0 \subseteq G$ are symmetric sets s.t., for $1 \le j \le n$,

There are proper nontrivial subgroups $H \leq G$ s.t. $H^{\perp} = \{1_G\}$ (trivial character), hence $H^{\perp, \perp} = G$.

The equality $G_0^{\perp \lambda} = G_0$, in fact the inclusion $G_0^{\perp \lambda} \subseteq G_0$, is equivalent, in standard terms, to the following thm.

Let $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 2\pi/3)$ and $\mathbf{q} = (q_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}, q_j \ge 1$ be sequence in \mathbb{R} . Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$, depending just on α, β and \mathbf{q} , s.t.: If G is finite abelian group and $0 \in A_n \subseteq \ldots \subseteq A_1 \subseteq A_0 \subseteq G$ are symmetric sets s.t., for $1 \le j \le n$,

 $A_j + A_j \subseteq A_{j-1}$ and $\frac{|A_{j-1}|}{|A_j|} \le q_j$

There are proper nontrivial subgroups $H \leq G$ s.t. $H^{\perp} = \{1_G\}$ (trivial character), hence $H^{\perp, \perp} = G$.

The equality $G_0^{\perp \lambda} = G_0$, in fact the inclusion $G_0^{\perp \lambda} \subseteq G_0$, is equivalent, in standard terms, to the following thm.

Let $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 2\pi/3)$ and $\mathbf{q} = (q_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}, q_j \ge 1$ be sequence in \mathbb{R} . Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$, depending just on α, β and \mathbf{q} , s.t.: If G is finite abelian group and $0 \in A_n \subseteq \ldots \subseteq A_1 \subseteq A_0 \subseteq G$ are symmetric sets s.t., for $1 \le j \le n$,

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

 $A_j + A_j \subseteq A_{j-1}$ and $\frac{|A_{j-1}|}{|A_j|} \le q_j$

then $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{B}_{\beta}(A_n)) \subseteq A_0.$

There are proper nontrivial subgroups $H \leq G$ s.t. $H^{\perp} = \{1_G\}$ (trivial character), hence $H^{\perp, \perp} = G$.

The equality $G_0^{\perp \lambda} = G_0$, in fact the inclusion $G_0^{\perp \lambda} \subseteq G_0$, is equivalent, in standard terms, to the following thm.

Let $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 2\pi/3)$ and $\mathbf{q} = (q_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}, q_j \ge 1$ be sequence in \mathbb{R} . Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$, depending just on α, β and \mathbf{q} , s.t.: If G is finite abelian group and $0 \in A_n \subseteq \ldots \subseteq A_1 \subseteq A_0 \subseteq G$ are symmetric sets s.t., for $1 \le j \le n$,

 $A_j + A_j \subseteq A_{j-1}$ and $\frac{|A_{j-1}|}{|A_j|} \le q_j$

then $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{B}_{\beta}(A_n)) \subseteq A_0.$

• by PvK duality: $\forall \alpha, \beta \forall \mathbf{q} \forall G \forall (A_j) \exists n : \ldots \Rightarrow \ldots$

There are proper nontrivial subgroups $H \leq G$ s.t. $H^{\perp} = \{1_G\}$ (trivial character), hence $H^{\perp, \perp} = G$.

The equality $G_0^{\perp \lambda} = G_0$, in fact the inclusion $G_0^{\perp \lambda} \subseteq G_0$, is equivalent, in standard terms, to the following thm.

Let $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 2\pi/3)$ and $\mathbf{q} = (q_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}, q_j \ge 1$ be sequence in \mathbb{R} . Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$, depending just on α, β and \mathbf{q} , s.t.: If G is finite abelian group and $0 \in A_n \subseteq \ldots \subseteq A_1 \subseteq A_0 \subseteq G$ are symmetric sets s.t., for $1 \le j \le n$,

$$A_j + A_j \subseteq A_{j-1}$$
 and $\frac{|A_{j-1}|}{|A_j|} \le q_j$

then $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{B}_{\beta}(A_n)) \subseteq A_0.$

- by PvK duality: $\forall \alpha, \beta \forall \mathbf{q} \forall G \forall (A_j) \exists n : \ldots \Rightarrow \ldots$
- gained uniformity: $\forall \alpha, \beta \forall \mathbf{q} \exists n \forall G \forall (A_j) : \ldots \Rightarrow \ldots$

There are proper nontrivial subgroups $H \leq G$ s.t. $H^{\perp} = \{1_G\}$ (trivial character), hence $H^{\perp, \perp} = G$.

The equality $G_0^{\perp \lambda} = G_0$, in fact the inclusion $G_0^{\perp \lambda} \subseteq G_0$, is equivalent, in standard terms, to the following thm.

Let $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 2\pi/3)$ and $\mathbf{q} = (q_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}, q_j \ge 1$ be sequence in \mathbb{R} . Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$, depending just on α, β and \mathbf{q} , s.t.: If G is finite abelian group and $0 \in A_n \subseteq \ldots \subseteq A_1 \subseteq A_0 \subseteq G$ are symmetric sets s.t., for $1 \le j \le n$,

$$A_j + A_j \subseteq A_{j-1}$$
 and $\frac{|A_{j-1}|}{|A_j|} \le q_j$

then $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{B}_{\beta}(A_n)) \subseteq A_0.$

- by PvK duality: $\forall \alpha, \beta \forall \mathbf{q} \forall G \forall (A_j) \exists n : \ldots \Rightarrow \ldots$
- gained uniformity: $\forall \alpha, \beta \forall \mathbf{q} \exists n \forall G \forall (A_j) : \ldots \Rightarrow \ldots$
- no estimate for $n = n(\alpha, \beta, \mathbf{q})$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへで

Surjectivity of canonic mapping $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{\flat} : G_0^{\lambda}/G_f^{\lambda} \to \widehat{G_f}/\widehat{G_0}$ is equivalent, in standard terms, to the following **stability** thm.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モー・ モー・ うへぐ

Surjectivity of canonic mapping $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{\flat} : G_0^{\lambda}/G_f^{\lambda} \to \widehat{G_f/G_0}$ is equivalent, in standard terms, to the following **stability** thm.

Let $\alpha, \varepsilon \in (0, 2\pi/3)$, $k \ge 1$ and $\mathbf{q} = (q_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}, q_j \ge 1$. There exist $m \ge 1, n \ge k$ and $\delta > 0$, depending just on α, ε, k and \mathbf{q} , s.t.:

Surjectivity of canonic mapping $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{\flat} : G_0^{\lambda}/G_f^{\lambda} \to \widehat{G_f/G_0}$ is equivalent, in standard terms, to the following **stability** thm.

Let $\alpha, \varepsilon \in (0, 2\pi/3)$, $k \ge 1$ and $\mathbf{q} = (q_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}, q_j \ge 1$. There exist $m \ge 1, n \ge k$ and $\delta > 0$, depending just on α, ε, k and \mathbf{q} , s.t.:

If G is finite abelian group and $0 \in A_n \subseteq \ldots \subseteq A_1 \subseteq A_0 \subseteq G$ are symmetric sets s.t., for $1 \leq j \leq n$,

Surjectivity of canonic mapping $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{\flat} : G_0^{\lambda}/G_f^{\lambda} \to \widehat{G_f/G_0}$ is equivalent, in standard terms, to the following **stability** thm.

Let $\alpha, \varepsilon \in (0, 2\pi/3)$, $k \ge 1$ and $\mathbf{q} = (q_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}, q_j \ge 1$. There exist $m \ge 1, n \ge k$ and $\delta > 0$, depending just on α, ε, k and \mathbf{q} , s.t.:

If G is finite abelian group and $0 \in A_n \subseteq \ldots \subseteq A_1 \subseteq A_0 \subseteq G$ are symmetric sets s.t., for $1 \leq j \leq n$,

$$A_j + A_j \subseteq A_{j-1}$$
 and $\frac{|A_{j-1}|}{|A_j|} \le q_j$

Surjectivity of canonic mapping $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{\flat} : G_0^{\lambda}/G_f^{\lambda} \to \widehat{G_f/G_0}$ is equivalent, in standard terms, to the following **stability** thm.

Let $\alpha, \varepsilon \in (0, 2\pi/3), k \ge 1$ and $\mathbf{q} = (q_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}, q_j \ge 1$. There exist $m \ge 1, n \ge k$ and $\delta > 0$, depending just on α, ε, k and \mathbf{q} , s.t.:

If G is finite abelian group and $0 \in A_n \subseteq \ldots \subseteq A_1 \subseteq A_0 \subseteq G$ are symmetric sets s.t., for $1 \leq j \leq n$,

$$A_j + A_j \subseteq A_{j-1}$$
 and $\frac{|A_{j-1}|}{|A_j|} \le q_j$

then for every partial δ -homomorphism $g: mA_0 \to \mathbb{T}$, s.t. $|\arg g(x)| \leq \alpha$ for $x \in A_k$, there exists genuine homomorphism $\gamma: G \to \mathbb{T}$ s.t., for each $x \in A_0$,

Surjectivity of canonic mapping $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{\flat} : G_0^{\lambda}/G_f^{\lambda} \to \widehat{G_f/G_0}$ is equivalent, in standard terms, to the following **stability** thm.

Let $\alpha, \varepsilon \in (0, 2\pi/3), k \ge 1$ and $\mathbf{q} = (q_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}, q_j \ge 1$. There exist $m \ge 1, n \ge k$ and $\delta > 0$, depending just on α, ε, k and \mathbf{q} , s.t.:

If G is finite abelian group and $0 \in A_n \subseteq \ldots \subseteq A_1 \subseteq A_0 \subseteq G$ are symmetric sets s.t., for $1 \leq j \leq n$,

$$A_j + A_j \subseteq A_{j-1}$$
 and $\frac{|A_{j-1}|}{|A_j|} \le q_j$

then for every partial δ -homomorphism $g: mA_0 \to \mathbb{T}$, s.t. $|\arg g(x)| \leq \alpha$ for $x \in A_k$, there exists genuine homomorphism $\gamma: G \to \mathbb{T}$ s.t., for each $x \in A_0$,

$$\left|\arg\frac{\gamma(x)}{g(x)}\right| \le \varepsilon$$

Surjectivity of canonic mapping $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{\flat} : G_0^{\lambda}/G_f^{\lambda} \to \widehat{G_f/G_0}$ is equivalent, in standard terms, to the following **stability** thm.

Let $\alpha, \varepsilon \in (0, 2\pi/3), k \ge 1$ and $\mathbf{q} = (q_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}, q_j \ge 1$. There exist $m \ge 1, n \ge k$ and $\delta > 0$, depending just on α, ε, k and \mathbf{q} , s.t.:

If G is finite abelian group and $0 \in A_n \subseteq \ldots \subseteq A_1 \subseteq A_0 \subseteq G$ are symmetric sets s.t., for $1 \leq j \leq n$,

$$A_j + A_j \subseteq A_{j-1}$$
 and $\frac{|A_{j-1}|}{|A_j|} \le q_j$

then for every partial δ -homomorphism $g: mA_0 \to \mathbb{T}$, s.t. $|\arg g(x)| \leq \alpha$ for $x \in A_k$, there exists genuine homomorphism $\gamma: G \to \mathbb{T}$ s.t., for each $x \in A_0$,

$$\left|\arg\frac{\gamma(x)}{g(x)}\right| \le \varepsilon$$

"partial δ -homomorphism" $g: A \to \mathbb{T}$ means:

$$\forall \, x,y \in A \; : \; x+y \in A \; \Rightarrow \; \left| \arg \bigl(g(x+y)/g(x) \, g(y) \bigr) \right| \leq \delta_{\text{constraints}} = 0.5 \text{ for all } g(y) = 0.5 \text{ for a$$

PONTRYAGIN-VAN KAMPEN DUALITY & FURIER TRANSFORM NONSTANDARD ANALYSIS PVK DUALITY & FT IN HF AMBIENCE

PvK Duality & FT in HF Ambience 10

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回 のへぐ

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モー・ モー・ うへぐ

Haar measure on $\mathbf{G} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ is given as $\boldsymbol{m}_G = \boldsymbol{m}_d$ for normalizing multiplier d s.t. $d |A| \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R}$ for some (each) internal $A, G_0 \subseteq A \subseteq G_{\mathrm{f}}$.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Haar measure on $\mathbf{G} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ is given as $\boldsymbol{m}_G = \boldsymbol{m}_d$ for normalizing multiplier d s.t. $d |A| \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R}$ for some (each) internal $A, G_0 \subseteq A \subseteq G_{\mathrm{f}}$.

Haar measure on $\widehat{\mathbf{G}} = G_0^{\lambda}/G_{\mathbf{f}}^{\lambda}$ is given as $\boldsymbol{m}_{\widehat{G}} = \boldsymbol{m}_{\widehat{d}}$ for normalizing multiplier \widehat{d} s.t. $\widehat{d} |\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(A)| \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R}$ for some (each) internal $A, G_0 \subseteq A \subseteq G_{\mathbf{f}}, \alpha \in (0, 2\pi/3).$

Haar measure on $\mathbf{G} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ is given as $\boldsymbol{m}_G = \boldsymbol{m}_d$ for normalizing multiplier d s.t. $d |A| \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R}$ for some (each) internal $A, G_0 \subseteq A \subseteq G_{\mathrm{f}}$.

Haar measure on $\widehat{\mathbf{G}} = G_0^{\lambda}/G_{\mathbf{f}}^{\lambda}$ is given as $\boldsymbol{m}_{\widehat{G}} = \boldsymbol{m}_{\widehat{d}}$ for normalizing multiplier \widehat{d} s.t. $\widehat{d} |\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(A)| \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R}$ for some (each) internal $A, G_0 \subseteq A \subseteq G_{\mathbf{f}}, \alpha \in (0, 2\pi/3).$

Can we have Plancherel identity and Fourier inversion formula, i.e., $d\hat{d} |G| = 1$, with such normalizing multipliers?

Haar measure on $\mathbf{G} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ is given as $\mathbf{m}_G = \mathbf{m}_d$ for normalizing multiplier d s.t. $d |A| \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R}$ for some (each) internal $A, G_0 \subseteq A \subseteq G_{\mathrm{f}}$.

Haar measure on $\widehat{\mathbf{G}} = G_0^{\lambda}/G_{\mathbf{f}}^{\lambda}$ is given as $\boldsymbol{m}_{\widehat{G}} = \boldsymbol{m}_{\widehat{d}}$ for normalizing multiplier \widehat{d} s.t. $\widehat{d} |\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(A)| \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R}$ for some (each) internal $A, G_0 \subseteq A \subseteq G_{\mathbf{f}}, \alpha \in (0, 2\pi/3).$

Can we have Plancherel identity and Fourier inversion formula, i.e., $d\hat{d} |G| = 1$, with such normalizing multipliers?

Gordon's Conjecture 2 (GC2):

Haar measure on $\mathbf{G} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ is given as $\boldsymbol{m}_G = \boldsymbol{m}_d$ for normalizing multiplier d s.t. $d |A| \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R}$ for some (each) internal $A, G_0 \subseteq A \subseteq G_{\mathrm{f}}$.

Haar measure on $\widehat{\mathbf{G}} = G_0^{\lambda}/G_{\mathbf{f}}^{\lambda}$ is given as $\boldsymbol{m}_{\widehat{G}} = \boldsymbol{m}_{\widehat{d}}$ for normalizing multiplier \widehat{d} s.t. $\widehat{d} |\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(A)| \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R}$ for some (each) internal $A, G_0 \subseteq A \subseteq G_{\mathbf{f}}, \alpha \in (0, 2\pi/3).$

Can we have Plancherel identity and Fourier inversion formula, i.e., $d\hat{d} |G| = 1$, with such normalizing multipliers?

Gordon's Conjecture 2 (GC2):

If d is normalizing multiplier for the triplet (G, G_0, G_f) then $\hat{d} = (d |G|)^{-1}$ is normalizing multiplier for the dual triplet $(\hat{G}, G_f^{\downarrow}, G_0^{\downarrow})$.

Haar measure on $\mathbf{G} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ is given as $\boldsymbol{m}_G = \boldsymbol{m}_d$ for normalizing multiplier d s.t. $d |A| \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R}$ for some (each) internal $A, G_0 \subseteq A \subseteq G_{\mathrm{f}}$.

Haar measure on $\widehat{\mathbf{G}} = G_0^{\lambda}/G_{\mathbf{f}}^{\lambda}$ is given as $\boldsymbol{m}_{\widehat{G}} = \boldsymbol{m}_{\widehat{d}}$ for normalizing multiplier \widehat{d} s.t. $\widehat{d} |\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(A)| \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R}$ for some (each) internal $A, G_0 \subseteq A \subseteq G_{\mathbf{f}}, \alpha \in (0, 2\pi/3).$

Can we have Plancherel identity and Fourier inversion formula, i.e., $d\hat{d} |G| = 1$, with such normalizing multipliers?

Gordon's Conjecture 2 (GC2):

If d is normalizing multiplier for the triplet (G, G_0, G_f) then $\hat{d} = (d |G|)^{-1}$ is normalizing multiplier for the dual triplet $(\hat{G}, G_f^{\downarrow}, G_0^{\downarrow})$. Equivalently, for internal A, $G_0 \subseteq A \subseteq G_f$, $\alpha \in (0, 2\pi/3)$,

$$\frac{|A| |\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(A)|}{|G|} \in \mathbb{F}^* \mathbb{R} \smallsetminus \mathbb{I}^* \mathbb{R}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回 のへぐ

Some accounts on the relation between Loeb measure and Haar measure show: $\mathbf{GC1}\Rightarrow\mathbf{GC2}$

Some accounts on the relation between Loeb measure and Haar measure show: $\mathbf{GC1}\Rightarrow\mathbf{GC2}$

I gave a more direct and clear proof of **GC2** by similar methods like those in **GC1**.

ショック 川田 マード・ オロット キョックタイ

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回 のへぐ

Recall, for $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, $1 \le p < \infty$, d normalizing multiplier:

Recall, for $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, $1 \le p < \infty$, d normalizing multiplier:

$$||f||_{p} = ||f||_{p,d} = \left(d \sum_{x \in G} |f(x)|^{p}\right)^{1/p}$$

Recall, for $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, $1 \le p < \infty$, d normalizing multiplier:

$$\|f\|_{p} = \|f\|_{p,d} = \left(d\sum_{x\in G} |f(x)|^{p}\right)^{1/p}$$

 $||f||_{\infty} = \max_{x \in G} |f(x)|$

Recall, for $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, $1 \le p < \infty$, d normalizing multiplier:

$$\|f\|_{p} = \|f\|_{p,d} = \left(d \sum_{x \in G} |f(x)|^{p}\right)^{1/p}$$
$$\|f\|_{\infty} = \max_{x \in G} |f(x)|$$
Similarly, for $\phi \in {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$, with normalizing multiplier $\hat{d} = \left(d |G|\right)^{-1}$

Recall, for $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, $1 \le p < \infty$, d normalizing multiplier:

$$\|f\|_{p} = \|f\|_{p,d} = \left(d \sum_{x \in G} |f(x)|^{p}\right)^{1/p}$$
$$\|f\|_{\infty} = \max_{x \in G} |f(x)|$$
Similarly, for $\phi \in {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$, with normalizing multiplier $\widehat{d} = \left(d |G|\right)^{-1}$

 $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$ is called S^p -continuous if $||f_a - f||_p \approx 0$ for $a \in G_0$,

Recall, for $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, $1 \le p < \infty$, d normalizing multiplier:

$$\|f\|_{p} = \|f\|_{p,d} = \left(d \sum_{x \in G} |f(x)|^{p}\right)^{1/p}$$
$$\|f\|_{\infty} = \max_{x \in G} |f(x)|$$

Similarly, for $\phi \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, with normalizing multiplier $\hat{d} = (d |G|)^{-1}$

 $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$ is called S^p -continuous if $||f_a - f||_p \approx 0$ for $a \in G_0$, where $f_a(x) = f(x+a)$ is the *a*-shift of *f*.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Recall, for $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, $1 \le p < \infty$, d normalizing multiplier:

$$\|f\|_{p} = \|f\|_{p,d} = \left(d \sum_{x \in G} |f(x)|^{p}\right)^{1/p}$$
$$\|f\|_{\infty} = \max_{x \in G} |f(x)|$$

Similarly, for $\phi \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$, with normalizing multiplier $\widehat{d} = (d |G|)^{-1}$

 $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$ is called S^p -continuous if $||f_a - f||_p \approx 0$ for $a \in G_0$, where $f_a(x) = f(x+a)$ is the *a*-shift of *f*.

 $\phi \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$ is called S^p -continuous if $\|\phi_{\gamma} - \phi\|_p \approx 0$ for $\gamma \in G_{\mathbf{f}}^{\lambda}$,

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Recall, for $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, $1 \le p < \infty$, d normalizing multiplier:

$$\|f\|_{p} = \|f\|_{p,d} = \left(d\sum_{x\in G} |f(x)|^{p}\right)^{1/p}$$
$$\|f\|_{\infty} = \max_{x\in G} |f(x)|$$

Similarly, for $\phi \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$, with normalizing multiplier $\hat{d} = (d |G|)^{-1}$

 $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$ is called S^p -continuous if $||f_a - f||_p \approx 0$ for $a \in G_0$, where $f_a(x) = f(x+a)$ is the *a*-shift of *f*.

 $\phi \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$ is called S^p -continuous if $\|\phi_{\gamma} - \phi\|_p \approx 0$ for $\gamma \in G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\lambda}$, where $\phi_{\gamma}(\chi) = \phi(\gamma \chi)$ is the γ -shift of ϕ .

Recall, for $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, $1 \le p < \infty$, d normalizing multiplier:

$$||f||_{p} = ||f||_{p,d} = \left(d \sum_{x \in G} |f(x)|^{p}\right)^{1/p}$$

$$||f||_{\infty} = \max_{x \in G} |f(x)|$$

Similarly, for $\phi \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$, with normalizing multiplier $\hat{d} = (d |G|)^{-1}$

 $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$ is called S^p -continuous if $||f_a - f||_p \approx 0$ for $a \in G_0$, where $f_a(x) = f(x+a)$ is the *a*-shift of *f*.

 $\phi \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$ is called S^p -continuous if $\|\phi_{\gamma} - \phi\|_p \approx 0$ for $\gamma \in G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\lambda}$, where $\phi_{\gamma}(\chi) = \phi(\gamma \chi)$ is the γ -shift of ϕ .

• S^{∞} -continuous is just S-continuous

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回 のへぐ

$$\mathcal{L}^{p}(G, G_{0}, G_{\mathrm{f}}) = \left\{ f \in {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G}; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{L}^{p}(\mathbf{G}, \boldsymbol{m}_{d}) \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{L}^{p}(G, G_{0}, G_{\mathrm{f}}) = \left\{ f \in {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G}; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{L}^{p}(\mathbf{G}, \boldsymbol{m}_{d}) \right\}$$
$$\mathcal{C}_{0}(G, G_{0}, G_{\mathrm{f}}) = \left\{ f \in {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G}; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{C}_{0}(\mathbf{G}) \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{L}^{p}(G, G_{0}, G_{f}) = \left\{ f \in {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G}; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{L}^{p}(\mathbf{G}, \boldsymbol{m}_{d}) \right\}$$
$$\mathcal{C}_{0}(G, G_{0}, G_{f}) = \left\{ f \in {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G}; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{C}_{0}(\mathbf{G}) \right\}$$
$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{b}}(G, G_{0}) = \left\{ f \in {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G}; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{bu}}(\mathbf{G}) \right\}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ □ のへぐ

Recall:

$$\mathcal{L}^{p}(G, G_{0}, G_{f}) = \left\{ f \in {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G}; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{L}^{p}(\mathbf{G}, \boldsymbol{m}_{d}) \right\}$$
$$\mathcal{C}_{0}(G, G_{0}, G_{f}) = \left\{ f \in {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G}; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{C}_{0}(\mathbf{G}) \right\}$$
$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{b}}(G, G_{0}) = \left\{ f \in {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G}; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{bu}}(\mathbf{G}) \right\}$$

Characterization of liftings.

Recall:

$$\mathcal{L}^{p}(G, G_{0}, G_{\mathrm{f}}) = \left\{ f \in {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G}; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{L}^{p}(\mathbf{G}, \boldsymbol{m}_{d}) \right\}$$
$$\mathcal{C}_{0}(G, G_{0}, G_{\mathrm{f}}) = \left\{ f \in {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G}; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{C}_{0}(\mathbf{G}) \right\}$$
$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{b}}(G, G_{0}) = \left\{ f \in {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G}; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{bu}}(\mathbf{G}) \right\}$$

Characterization of liftings.

Let $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$. Then $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_f)$ iff

Recall:

$$\mathcal{L}^{p}(G, G_{0}, G_{\mathrm{f}}) = \left\{ f \in {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G}; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{L}^{p}(\mathbf{G}, \boldsymbol{m}_{d}) \right\}$$
$$\mathcal{C}_{0}(G, G_{0}, G_{\mathrm{f}}) = \left\{ f \in {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G}; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{C}_{0}(\mathbf{G}) \right\}$$
$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{b}}(G, G_{0}) = \left\{ f \in {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G}; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{bu}}(\mathbf{G}) \right\}$$

Characterization of liftings.

Let $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$. Then $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_f)$ iff

•
$$\|f\|_p < \infty$$

Recall:

$$\mathcal{L}^{p}(G, G_{0}, G_{f}) = \left\{ f \in {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G}; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{L}^{p}(\mathbf{G}, \boldsymbol{m}_{d}) \right\}$$
$$\mathcal{C}_{0}(G, G_{0}, G_{f}) = \left\{ f \in {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G}; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{C}_{0}(\mathbf{G}) \right\}$$
$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{b}}(G, G_{0}) = \left\{ f \in {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G}; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{bu}}(\mathbf{G}) \right\}$$

Characterization of liftings.

Let $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$. Then $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_f)$ iff

•
$$\|f\|_p < \infty$$

• $d \sum_{z \in Z} |f(z)|^p \approx 0$ for internal $Z \subseteq G \smallsetminus G_f$

Recall:

$$\mathcal{L}^{p}(G, G_{0}, G_{f}) = \left\{ f \in {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G}; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{L}^{p}(\mathbf{G}, \boldsymbol{m}_{d}) \right\}$$
$$\mathcal{C}_{0}(G, G_{0}, G_{f}) = \left\{ f \in {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G}; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{C}_{0}(\mathbf{G}) \right\}$$
$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{b}}(G, G_{0}) = \left\{ f \in {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G}; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{bu}}(\mathbf{G}) \right\}$$

Characterization of liftings.

Let $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$. Then $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_f)$ iff

- $\|f\|_p < \infty$
- $d \sum_{z \in Z} |f(z)|^p \approx 0$ for internal $Z \subseteq G \smallsetminus G_f$

ショック 川田 マード・ オロット キョックタイ

• f is S^p -continuous

Recall:

$$\mathcal{L}^{p}(G, G_{0}, G_{f}) = \left\{ f \in {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G}; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{L}^{p}(\mathbf{G}, \boldsymbol{m}_{d}) \right\}$$
$$\mathcal{C}_{0}(G, G_{0}, G_{f}) = \left\{ f \in {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G}; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{C}_{0}(\mathbf{G}) \right\}$$
$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{b}}(G, G_{0}) = \left\{ f \in {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G}; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{bu}}(\mathbf{G}) \right\}$$

Characterization of liftings.

Let $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$. Then $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_f)$ iff

- $\|f\|_p < \infty$
- $d \sum_{z \in Z} |f(z)|^p \approx 0$ for internal $Z \subseteq G \smallsetminus G_f$

ショック 川田 マード・ オロット キョックタイ

• f is S^p -continuous

Recall:

$$\mathcal{L}^{p}(G, G_{0}, G_{f}) = \left\{ f \in {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G}; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{L}^{p}(\mathbf{G}, \boldsymbol{m}_{d}) \right\}$$
$$\mathcal{C}_{0}(G, G_{0}, G_{f}) = \left\{ f \in {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G}; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{C}_{0}(\mathbf{G}) \right\}$$
$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{b}}(G, G_{0}) = \left\{ f \in {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G}; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{bu}}(\mathbf{G}) \right\}$$

Characterization of liftings.

Let $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$. Then $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_f)$ iff

- $\|f\|_p < \infty$
- $d \sum_{z \in Z} |f(z)|^p \approx 0$ for internal $Z \subseteq G \smallsetminus G_f$
- f is S^p -continuous

 $\mathcal{L}^p(G,G_0,G_{\mathrm{f}}) = \left\{ f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G; \ f^p \in \mathcal{M}(G,G_{\mathrm{f}},d) \ \& \ f \ \text{is} \ S^p \text{-continuous} \right\}$

うつん 川田 スポット エット スロッ

PONTRYAGIN-VAN KAMPEN DUALITY & FURIER TRANSFORM NONSTANDARD ANALYSIS PVK DUALITY & FT IN HF AMBIENCE

PvK Duality & FT in HF Ambience 14

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回 のへぐ

Smoothness-and-Decay Principle.



Smoothness-and-Decay Principle. Let $1 \le p \le 2 \le q \le \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, $||f||_p < \infty$. Then

Smoothness-and-Decay Principle. Let $1 \le p \le 2 \le q \le \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, $||f||_p < \infty$. Then • f is S^p -continuous $\Rightarrow (\widehat{f})^q \in \mathcal{M}(\widehat{G}, G_0^{\downarrow}, \widehat{d})$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Smoothness-and-Decay Principle. Let $1 \le p \le 2 \le q \le \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, $||f||_p < \infty$. Then

- f is S^p -continuous $\Rightarrow (\widehat{f})^q \in \mathcal{M}(\widehat{G}, G_0^{\downarrow}, \widehat{d})$
- $f^p \in \mathcal{M}(G, G_f, d) \Rightarrow \widehat{f}$ is S^q -continuous

Smoothness-and-Decay Principle.

Let $1 \leq p \leq 2 \leq q \leq \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, $||f||_p < \infty$. Then

うつん 川田 スポット エット スロッ

- f is S^p -continuous $\Rightarrow (\widehat{f})^q \in \mathcal{M}(\widehat{G}, G_0^{\downarrow}, \widehat{d})$
- $f^p \in \mathcal{M}(G, G_f, d) \Rightarrow \widehat{f}$ is S^q -continuous
- $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_f) \Rightarrow \widehat{f} \in \mathcal{L}^q(\widehat{G}, G_f^{\perp}, G_0^{\perp})$

Smoothness-and-Decay Principle.

Let $1 \leq p \leq 2 \leq q \leq \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, $||f||_p < \infty$. Then

うつん 川田 スポット エット スロッ

- f is S^p -continuous $\Rightarrow (\widehat{f})^q \in \mathcal{M}(\widehat{G}, G_0^{\downarrow}, \widehat{d})$
- $f^p \in \mathcal{M}(G, G_f, d) \Rightarrow \widehat{f}$ is S^q -continuous
- $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_f) \Rightarrow \widehat{f} \in \mathcal{L}^q(\widehat{G}, G_f^{\perp}, G_0^{\perp})$

Smoothness-and-Decay Principle.

Let $1 \le p \le 2 \le q \le \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, $||f||_p < \infty$. Then

うつん 川田 スポット エット スロッ

- f is S^p -continuous $\Rightarrow (\widehat{f})^q \in \mathcal{M}(\widehat{G}, G_0^{\downarrow}, \widehat{d})$
- $f^p \in \mathcal{M}(G, G_f, d) \Rightarrow \widehat{f}$ is S^q -continuous
- $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_f) \Rightarrow \widehat{f} \in \mathcal{L}^q(\widehat{G}, G_f^{\perp}, G_0^{\perp})$

In particular:

Smoothness-and-Decay Principle.

Let $1 \leq p \leq 2 \leq q \leq \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, $||f||_p < \infty$. Then

- f is S^p -continuous $\Rightarrow (\widehat{f})^q \in \mathcal{M}(\widehat{G}, G_0^{\downarrow}, \widehat{d})$
- $f^p \in \mathcal{M}(G, G_f, d) \Rightarrow \widehat{f}$ is S^q -continuous
- $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_{\mathbf{f}}) \Rightarrow \widehat{f} \in \mathcal{L}^q(\widehat{G}, G_{\mathbf{f}}^{\lambda}, G_0^{\lambda})$

In particular:

• $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(G, G_0, G_f) \Rightarrow \widehat{f} \in \mathcal{C}_0(\widehat{G}, G_f^{\lambda}, G_0^{\lambda})$ (HF dimensional version of Riemann-Lebesgue thm.)

うつん 川田 スポット エット スロッ

Smoothness-and-Decay Principle.

Let $1 \leq p \leq 2 \leq q \leq \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, $||f||_p < \infty$. Then

- f is S^p -continuous $\Rightarrow (\widehat{f})^q \in \mathcal{M}(\widehat{G}, G_0^{\downarrow}, \widehat{d})$
- $f^p \in \mathcal{M}(G, G_f, d) \Rightarrow \widehat{f}$ is S^q -continuous
- $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_f) \Rightarrow \widehat{f} \in \mathcal{L}^q(\widehat{G}, G_f^{\perp}, G_0^{\perp})$

In particular:

• $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(G, G_0, G_f) \Rightarrow \widehat{f} \in \mathcal{C}_0(\widehat{G}, G_f^{\perp}, G_0^{\perp})$ (HF dimensional version of Riemann-Lebesgue thm.)

うつん 川田 スポット エット スロッ

• $f \in \mathcal{L}^2(G, G_0, G_{\mathbf{f}}) \iff \widehat{f} \in \mathcal{L}^2(\widehat{G}, G_{\mathbf{f}}^{\perp}, G_0^{\perp})$

(in some special cases proved by Albeverio-Gordon-Khrennikov [2000])

Smoothness-and-Decay Principle.

Let $1 \leq p \leq 2 \leq q \leq \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, $||f||_p < \infty$. Then

- f is S^p -continuous $\Rightarrow (\widehat{f})^q \in \mathcal{M}(\widehat{G}, G_0^{\downarrow}, \widehat{d})$
- $f^p \in \mathcal{M}(G, G_f, d) \Rightarrow \widehat{f}$ is S^q -continuous
- $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_f) \Rightarrow \widehat{f} \in \mathcal{L}^q(\widehat{G}, G_f^{\perp}, G_0^{\perp})$

In particular:

• $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(G, G_0, G_f) \Rightarrow \widehat{f} \in \mathcal{C}_0(\widehat{G}, G_f^{\perp}, G_0^{\perp})$ (HF dimensional version of Riemann-Lebesgue thm.)

うつん 川田 スポット エット スロッ

• $f \in \mathcal{L}^2(G, G_0, G_f) \iff \widehat{f} \in \mathcal{L}^2(\widehat{G}, G_f^{\perp}, G_0^{\perp})$ (in some special cases proved by Albeverio-Gordon-Khrennikov [2000])

•
$$f \in \mathcal{M}(G, G_{\mathrm{f}}, d) \Rightarrow \widehat{f} \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{b}}(\widehat{G}, G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp})$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回 のへぐ

PONTRYAGIN-VAN KAMPEN DUALITY & FURIER TRANSFORM NONSTANDARD ANALYSIS PVK DUALITY & FT IN HF AMBIENCE

PvK Duality & FT in HF Ambience 15

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モー・ モー・ うへぐ

Approximation of Fourier transform.

Approximation of Fourier transform.

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{G} &= G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_{0}, \ \widehat{\mathbf{G}} = G_{0}^{\perp}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp}, \\ f &\mapsto \widehat{f} \ - \ \mathrm{discrete} \ \mathrm{HF} \ \mathrm{dimensional} \ \mathrm{FT} \ ^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G} \to ^{*}\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}} \end{split}$$

Approximation of Fourier transform.

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{G} &= G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_{0}, \ \widehat{\mathbf{G}} = G_{0}^{\perp}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp}, \\ f &\mapsto \widehat{f} \ - \ \mathrm{discrete \ HF \ dimensional \ FT \ }^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G} \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}} \end{split}$$

Discrete HF dimensional FT approximates all the classical FTs:

Approximation of Fourier transform.

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G} &= G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_{0}, \ \widehat{\mathbf{G}} &= G_{0}^{\perp}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp}, \\ f &\mapsto \widehat{f} - \text{discrete HF dimensional FT }^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G} \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}} \\ \end{aligned}$ Discrete HF dimensional FT approximates all the classical FTs:

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

• $\mathcal{F}: L^1(\mathbf{G}) \to C_0(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}):$

Approximation of Fourier transform.

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G} &= G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_{0}, \ \widehat{\mathbf{G}} &= G_{0}^{\perp}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp}, \\ f &\mapsto \widehat{f} - \text{discrete HF dimensional FT }^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G} \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}} \\ \end{aligned}$ Discrete HF dimensional FT approximates all the classical FTs:

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

• $\mathcal{F}: L^1(\mathbf{G}) \to C_0(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}):$

Approximation of Fourier transform.

 $\mathbf{G} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_{0}, \ \widehat{\mathbf{G}} = G_{0}^{\lambda}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\lambda},$ $f \mapsto \widehat{f} - \text{discrete HF dimensional FT }^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G} \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$

Discrete HF dimensional FT approximates all the classical FTs:

•
$$\mathcal{F} : \mathrm{L}^{1}(\mathbf{G}) \to \mathrm{C}_{0}(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}) :$$

 $f \in \mathcal{L}^{1}(G)$ is lifting of $\mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{L}^{1}(\mathbf{G}) \Rightarrow$

Approximation of Fourier transform.

 $\mathbf{G} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_{0}, \ \widehat{\mathbf{G}} = G_{0}^{\perp}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp},$ $f \mapsto \widehat{f} - \text{discrete HF dimensional FT }^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G} \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$

Discrete HF dimensional FT approximates all the classical FTs:

•
$$\mathcal{F} : \mathrm{L}^{1}(\mathbf{G}) \to \mathrm{C}_{0}(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}) :$$

 $f \in \mathcal{L}^{1}(G) \text{ is lifting of } \mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{L}^{1}(\mathbf{G}) \Rightarrow$
 $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{C}_{0}(\widehat{G}) \text{ is lifting of } \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{f}) \in \mathrm{C}_{0}(\widehat{\mathbf{G}})$

Approximation of Fourier transform.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G} &= G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_{0}, \ \widehat{\mathbf{G}} &= G_{0}^{\mathcal{L}}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathcal{L}}, \\ f &\mapsto \widehat{f} \ - \ \text{discrete HF dimensional FT} \ ^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G} \to ^{*}\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}} \end{aligned}$$

Discrete HF dimensional FT approximates all the classical FTs:

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モー・ モー・ うへぐ

Approximation of Fourier transform.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G} &= G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_{0}, \ \widehat{\mathbf{G}} &= G_{0}^{\mathcal{L}}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathcal{L}}, \\ f &\mapsto \widehat{f} \ - \ \text{discrete HF dimensional FT} \ ^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G} \to ^{*}\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}} \end{aligned}$$

Discrete HF dimensional FT approximates all the classical FTs:

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モー・ モー・ うへぐ

Approximation of Fourier transform.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G} &= G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_{0}, \ \widehat{\mathbf{G}} &= G_{0}^{\mathcal{A}}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathcal{A}}, \\ f &\mapsto \widehat{f} \ - \ \text{discrete HF dimensional FT } ^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G} \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}} \end{aligned}$$

Discrete HF dimensional FT approximates all the classical FTs:

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モー・ モー・ うへぐ

•
$$\mathcal{F} : \mathrm{L}^{1}(\mathbf{G}) \to \mathrm{C}_{0}(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}) :$$

 $f \in \mathcal{L}^{1}(G) \text{ is lifting of } \mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{L}^{1}(\mathbf{G}) \Rightarrow$
 $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{C}_{0}(\widehat{G}) \text{ is lifting of } \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{f}) \in \mathrm{C}_{0}(\widehat{\mathbf{G}})$
• $\mathcal{F} : \mathrm{L}^{p}(\mathbf{G}) \to \mathrm{L}^{q}(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}) \ (1
 $f \in \mathcal{L}^{p}(G) \text{ is lifting of } \mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{L}^{p}(\mathbf{G}) \Rightarrow$$

Approximation of Fourier transform.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G} &= G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_{0}, \ \widehat{\mathbf{G}} &= G_{0}^{\lambda}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\lambda}, \\ f &\mapsto \widehat{f} \ - \ \text{discrete HF dimensional FT } ^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G} \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}} \end{aligned}$$

Discrete HF dimensional FT approximates all the classical FTs:

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モ ト ・ モ ・ うへぐ

•
$$\mathcal{F} : \mathrm{L}^{1}(\mathbf{G}) \to \mathrm{C}_{0}(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}) :$$

 $f \in \mathcal{L}^{1}(G) \text{ is lifting of } \mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{L}^{1}(\mathbf{G}) \Rightarrow$
 $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{C}_{0}(\widehat{G}) \text{ is lifting of } \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{f}) \in \mathrm{C}_{0}(\widehat{\mathbf{G}})$
• $\mathcal{F} : \mathrm{L}^{p}(\mathbf{G}) \to \mathrm{L}^{q}(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}) \ (1
 $f \in \mathcal{L}^{p}(G) \text{ is lifting of } \mathbf{f} \in \mathrm{L}^{p}(\mathbf{G}) \Rightarrow$
 $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{L}^{q}(\widehat{G}) \text{ is lifting of } \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{f}) \in \mathrm{L}^{q}(\widehat{\mathbf{G}})$$

Approximation of Fourier transform.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G} &= G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_{0}, \ \widehat{\mathbf{G}} &= G_{0}^{\lambda}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\lambda}, \\ f &\mapsto \widehat{f} \ - \ \text{discrete HF dimensional FT } ^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G} \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}} \end{aligned}$$

Discrete HF dimensional FT approximates all the classical FTs:

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モ ト ・ モ ・ うへぐ

Approximation of Fourier transform.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G} &= G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_{0}, \ \widehat{\mathbf{G}} &= G_{0}^{\perp}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp}, \\ f &\mapsto \widehat{f} \ - \ \text{discrete HF dimensional FT } ^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G} \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}} \end{aligned}$$

Discrete HF dimensional FT approximates all the classical FTs:

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モ ト ・ モ ・ うへぐ

Approximation of Fourier transform.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G} &= G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_{0}, \ \widehat{\mathbf{G}} &= G_{0}^{\perp}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp}, \\ f &\mapsto \widehat{f} \ - \ \text{discrete HF dimensional FT } ^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G} \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}} \end{aligned}$$

Discrete HF dimensional FT approximates all the classical FTs:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○三 のへぐ

Approximation of Fourier transform.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G} &= G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_{0}, \ \widehat{\mathbf{G}} &= G_{0}^{\perp}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\perp}, \\ f &\mapsto \widehat{f} \ - \ \text{discrete HF dimensional FT } ^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G} \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}} \end{aligned}$$

Discrete HF dimensional FT approximates all the classical FTs:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○三 のへぐ

Approximation of Fourier transform.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G} &= G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_{0}, \ \widehat{\mathbf{G}} &= G_{0}^{\mathcal{L}}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathcal{A}}, \\ f &\mapsto \widehat{f} \ - \ \text{discrete HF dimensional FT }^{*} \mathbb{C}^{G} \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}} \end{aligned}$$

Discrete HF dimensional FT approximates all the classical FTs:

ショック 川田 マード・ オロット キョックタイ

Approximation of Fourier transform.

$$\mathbf{G} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_{0}, \ \widehat{\mathbf{G}} = G_{0}^{\lambda}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\lambda},$$

$$f \mapsto \widehat{f} - \text{discrete HF dimensional FT }^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G} \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$$

Discrete HF dimensional FT approximates all the classical FTs:

PONTRYAGIN-VAN KAMPEN DUALITY FOURIER TRANSFORM NONSTANDARD ANALYSIS PVK DUALITY & FT IN HF AMBIENCE

TYFYAP

Thank you for your attention and patience.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ □ のへぐ