Pontryagin-van Kampen Duality and Fourier Transform in Hyperfinite Ambience Gordon's Conjectures

Pavol Zlatoš

Faculty of Mathematics, Physics and Informatics Comenius University, Bratislava

March 2013

1 Pontryagin-van Kampen Duality

2 Fourier Transform

- 1 Pontryagin-van Kampen Duality
- 2 Fourier Transform
- 3 Nonstandard Analysis

- 1 Pontryagin-van Kampen Duality
- 2 Fourier Transform
- 3 Nonstandard Analysis
- 4 Pontryagin-van Kampen Duality and Fourier Transform in Hyperfinite Ambience

 $G = (G, +, \tau)$ is a locally compact abelian group (LCA group)

$$G = (G, +, \tau)$$
 is a locally compact abelian group (LCA group)

$$\mathbb{T} = \{c \in \mathbb{C}; |c| = 1\}$$
 – the (compact) group of complex units

$$G=(G,+, au)$$
 is a locally compact abelian group (LCA group)
$$\mathbb{T}=\{c\in\mathbb{C};\ |c|=1\} \ -\ \text{the (compact) group of complex units}$$

$$\widehat{G}=\mathrm{Hom}(G,\mathbb{T})\cap\mathrm{C}(G)$$
 all continuous $characters$ (homomorphisms) $G\to\mathbb{T}$

$$G=(G,+, au)$$
 is a locally compact abelian group (LCA group)
$$\mathbb{T}=\{c\in\mathbb{C};\ |c|=1\} \ -\ \text{the (compact) group of complex units}$$

$$\widehat{G}=\mathrm{Hom}(G,\mathbb{T})\cap\mathrm{C}(G)$$
 all continuous $characters$ (homomorphisms) $G\to\mathbb{T}$ pointwise multiplication in \widehat{G} :

$$G=(G,+, au)$$
 is a locally compact abelian group (LCA group)
$$\mathbb{T}=\{c\in\mathbb{C};\ |c|=1\} - \text{the (compact) group of complex units}$$
 $\widehat{G}=\operatorname{Hom}(G,\mathbb{T})\cap\operatorname{C}(G)$ all continuous *characters* (homomorphisms) $G\to\mathbb{T}$ pointwise multiplication in \widehat{G} :
$$(\gamma\cdot\chi)(x)=\gamma(x)\,\chi(x) \qquad \text{for } \gamma,\chi\in\widehat{G},\ x\in G$$

$$G = (G, +, \tau) \text{ is a locally compact abelian group (LCA group)}$$

$$\mathbb{T} = \{c \in \mathbb{C}; \ |c| = 1\} - \text{the (compact) group of complex units}$$

$$\widehat{G} = \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{T}) \cap \mathcal{C}(G)$$
 all continuous $characters$ (homomorphisms) $G \to \mathbb{T}$ pointwise multiplication in \widehat{G} :
$$(\gamma \cdot \chi)(x) = \gamma(x) \, \chi(x) \qquad \text{for } \gamma, \chi \in \widehat{G}, \ x \in G$$

Bohr sets in \widehat{G} , for $A \subseteq G$, $0 < \alpha < \pi$,

$$G=(G,+,\tau) \text{ is a locally compact abelian group (LCA group)}$$

$$\mathbb{T}=\{c\in\mathbb{C};\ |c|=1\} - \text{the (compact) group of complex units}$$

$$\widehat{G}=\text{Hom}(G,\mathbb{T})\cap \mathcal{C}(G)$$
 all continuous $characters$ (homomorphisms) $G\to\mathbb{T}$ pointwise multiplication in \widehat{G} :
$$(\gamma\cdot\chi)(x)=\gamma(x)\,\chi(x) \qquad \text{for } \gamma,\chi\in\widehat{G},\ x\in G$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(A) = \left\{ \chi \in \widehat{G}; \ \forall a \in A : |\arg \chi(a)| \le \alpha \right\}$$

Bohr sets in \widehat{G} , for $A \subseteq G$, $0 < \alpha < \pi$,

$$G=(G,+, au)$$
 is a locally compact abelian group (LCA group)
$$\mathbb{T}=\{c\in\mathbb{C};\ |c|=1\} \ -\ \text{the (compact) group of complex units}$$

$$\widehat{G}=\mathrm{Hom}(G,\mathbb{T})\cap\mathrm{C}(G)$$
 all continuous $characters$ (homomorphisms) $G\to\mathbb{T}$

pointwise multiplication in \widehat{G} :

$$(\gamma \cdot \chi)(x) = \gamma(x) \chi(x)$$
 for $\gamma, \chi \in \widehat{G}, x \in G$

Bohr sets in \widehat{G} , for $A \subseteq G$, $0 < \alpha \le \pi$,

$$\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(A) = \left\{ \chi \in \widehat{G}; \ \forall a \in A : |\arg \chi(a)| \le \alpha \right\}$$

similarly, for $\Gamma \subseteq \widehat{G}$, we have Bohr sets in G

$$G = (G, +, \tau)$$
 is a locally compact abelian group (LCA group)

$$\mathbb{T} = \{c \in \mathbb{C}; |c| = 1\}$$
 – the (compact) group of complex units

$$\widehat{G} = \operatorname{Hom}(G, \mathbb{T}) \cap \operatorname{C}(G)$$

all continuous *characters* (homomorphisms) $G \to \mathbb{T}$

pointwise multiplication in \widehat{G} :

$$(\gamma \cdot \chi)(x) = \gamma(x) \chi(x)$$
 for $\gamma, \chi \in \widehat{G}, x \in G$

Bohr sets in \widehat{G} , for $A \subseteq G$, $0 < \alpha \le \pi$,

$$\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(A) = \left\{ \chi \in \widehat{G}; \ \forall a \in A : |\arg \chi(a)| \le \alpha \right\}$$

similarly, for $\Gamma \subseteq \widehat{G}$, we have Bohr sets in G

$$\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(\Gamma) = \left\{ x \in G; \ \forall \, \gamma \in \Gamma : |\arg \gamma(x)| \le \alpha \right\}$$

• \widehat{G} is an **LCA group** with pointwise multiplication and compact-open topology – **dual group** of G

- \widehat{G} is an **LCA group** with pointwise multiplication and compact-open topology **dual group** of G
- neighborhood bases of the trivial character $1_G \in \widehat{G}$

- \widehat{G} is an **LCA group** with pointwise multiplication and compact-open topology **dual group** of G
- neighborhood bases of the trivial character $1_G \in \widehat{G}$

- \widehat{G} is an **LCA group** with pointwise multiplication and compact-open topology **dual group** of G
- neighborhood bases of the trivial character $1_G \in \widehat{G}$ $\{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(A); \ 0 \in A \subseteq G \text{ is compact and } 0 < \alpha < 2\pi/3\}$

- \widehat{G} is an **LCA group** with pointwise multiplication and compact-open topology **dual group** of G
- neighborhood bases of the trivial character $1_G \in \widehat{G}$ $\{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(A); \ 0 \in A \subseteq G \text{ is compact and } 0 < \alpha < 2\pi/3\}$

•
$$\widehat{G \times H} \cong \widehat{G} \times \widehat{H}$$

- \widehat{G} is an **LCA group** with pointwise multiplication and compact-open topology **dual group** of G
- neighborhood bases of the trivial character $1_G \in \widehat{G}$ $\{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(A); 0 \in A \subseteq G \text{ is compact and } 0 < \alpha < 2\pi/3\}$

- $\bullet \ \widehat{G \times H} \cong \widehat{G} \times \widehat{H}$
- $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$: $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ corresponds to the character $k \mapsto e^{2\pi i ak/n}$ of \mathbb{Z}_n

- \widehat{G} is an **LCA group** with pointwise multiplication and compact-open topology **dual group** of G
- neighborhood bases of the trivial character $1_G \in \widehat{G}$ $\{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(A); 0 \in A \subseteq G \text{ is compact and } 0 < \alpha < 2\pi/3\}$

- $\bullet \ \widehat{G \times H} \cong \widehat{G} \times \widehat{H}$
- $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$: $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ corresponds to the character $k \mapsto \mathrm{e}^{2\pi \mathrm{i} ak/n}$ of \mathbb{Z}_n
- $\widehat{G} \cong G$ for each finite abelian group G

- \widehat{G} is an **LCA group** with pointwise multiplication and compact-open topology **dual group** of G
- neighborhood bases of the trivial character $1_G \in \widehat{G}$ $\{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(A); 0 \in A \subseteq G \text{ is compact and } 0 < \alpha < 2\pi/3\}$

- $\bullet \ \widehat{G \times H} \cong \widehat{G} \times \widehat{H}$
- $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$: $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ corresponds to the character $k \mapsto \mathrm{e}^{2\pi \mathrm{i} ak/n}$ of \mathbb{Z}_n
- $\widehat{G} \cong G$ for each finite abelian group G
- $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \cong \mathbb{T}$: $c \in \mathbb{T}$ corresponds to the character $n \mapsto c^n$ of \mathbb{Z}

- \widehat{G} is an **LCA group** with pointwise multiplication and compact-open topology **dual group** of G
- neighborhood bases of the trivial character $1_G \in \widehat{G}$ $\{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(A); \ 0 \in A \subseteq G \text{ is compact and } 0 < \alpha < 2\pi/3\}$

- $\bullet \ \widehat{G \times H} \cong \widehat{G} \times \widehat{H}$
- $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$: $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ corresponds to the character $k \mapsto \mathrm{e}^{2\pi \mathrm{i} ak/n}$ of \mathbb{Z}_n
- $\widehat{G} \cong G$ for each finite abelian group G
- $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \cong \mathbb{T}$: $c \in \mathbb{T}$ corresponds to the character $n \mapsto c^n$ of \mathbb{Z}
- $\widehat{\mathbb{T}} \cong \mathbb{Z}$: $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ corresponds to the character $c \mapsto c^n$ of \mathbb{T}

- \widehat{G} is an **LCA group** with pointwise multiplication and compact-open topology **dual group** of G
- neighborhood bases of the trivial character $1_G \in \widehat{G}$ $\{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(A); \ 0 \in A \subseteq G \text{ is compact and } 0 < \alpha < 2\pi/3\}$

- $\bullet \ \widehat{G \times H} \cong \widehat{G} \times \widehat{H}$
- $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$: $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ corresponds to the character $k \mapsto \mathrm{e}^{2\pi \mathrm{i} ak/n}$ of \mathbb{Z}_n
- $\widehat{G} \cong G$ for each finite abelian group G
- $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \cong \mathbb{T}$: $c \in \mathbb{T}$ corresponds to the character $n \mapsto c^n$ of \mathbb{Z}
- $\widehat{\mathbb{T}} \cong \mathbb{Z}$: $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ corresponds to the character $c \mapsto c^n$ of \mathbb{T}
- $\widehat{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathbb{R}$: $a \in \mathbb{R}$ corresponds to the character $t \mapsto e^{iat}$ of \mathbb{R}

$Pontryagin-van\,Kampen\,\,Duality\,\,3$

Pontryagin-van Kampen duality theorem.

Pontryagin-van Kampen duality theorem.

The natural mapping $G \to \widehat{\widehat{G}}$, assigning to $x \in G$ the character $x: \widehat{G} \to \mathbb{T}$, given by $x(\gamma) = \gamma(x)$ for $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$, is isomorphism of topological groups $G \cong \widehat{\widehat{G}}$.

Pontryagin-van Kampen duality theorem.

The natural mapping $G \to \widehat{G}$, assigning to $x \in G$ the character $x : \widehat{G} \to \mathbb{T}$, given by $x(\gamma) = \gamma(x)$ for $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$, is isomorphism of topological groups $G \cong \widehat{\widehat{G}}$.

Consequences.

• G is discrete (compact) iff \widehat{G} is compact (discrete)

Pontryagin-van Kampen duality theorem.

The natural mapping $G \to \widehat{G}$, assigning to $x \in G$ the character $x : \widehat{G} \to \mathbb{T}$, given by $x(\gamma) = \gamma(x)$ for $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$, is isomorphism of topological groups $G \cong \widehat{\widehat{G}}$.

- G is discrete (compact) iff \widehat{G} is compact (discrete)
- G is metrizable (σ -compact) iff \widehat{G} is σ -compact (metrizable)

Pontryagin-van Kampen duality theorem.

The natural mapping $G \to \widehat{G}$, assigning to $x \in G$ the character $x : \widehat{G} \to \mathbb{T}$, given by $x(\gamma) = \gamma(x)$ for $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$, is isomorphism of topological groups $G \cong \widehat{\widehat{G}}$.

- G is discrete (compact) iff \widehat{G} is compact (discrete)
- G is metrizable (σ -compact) iff \widehat{G} is σ -compact (metrizable)
- Subgroup $D \leq \widehat{G}$ is dense in \widehat{G} iff the characters $\gamma \in D$ separate points in G

Pontryagin-van Kampen duality theorem.

The natural mapping $G \to \widehat{G}$, assigning to $x \in G$ the character $x : \widehat{G} \to \mathbb{T}$, given by $x(\gamma) = \gamma(x)$ for $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$, is isomorphism of topological groups $G \cong \widehat{\widehat{G}}$.

- G is discrete (compact) iff \widehat{G} is compact (discrete)
- G is metrizable (σ -compact) iff \widehat{G} is σ -compact (metrizable)
- Subgroup $D \leq \widehat{G}$ is dense in \widehat{G} iff the characters $\gamma \in D$ separate points in G
- G is connected iff \widehat{G} has no nontrivial compact subgroups

Pontryagin-van Kampen duality theorem.

The natural mapping $G \to \widehat{G}$, assigning to $x \in G$ the character $x : \widehat{G} \to \mathbb{T}$, given by $x(\gamma) = \gamma(x)$ for $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$, is isomorphism of topological groups $G \cong \widehat{\widehat{G}}$.

- G is discrete (compact) iff \widehat{G} is compact (discrete)
- G is metrizable (σ -compact) iff \widehat{G} is σ -compact (metrizable)
- Subgroup $D \leq \widehat{G}$ is dense in \widehat{G} iff the characters $\gamma \in D$ separate points in G
- G is connected iff \widehat{G} has no nontrivial compact subgroups
- G is totally disconnected iff each $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$ generates a relatively compact subgroup

$Fourier\ Transform\ 1$

Fourier Transform 1

 m_G , $m_{\widehat{G}}$ denote Haar measures on G, \widehat{G} , resp.

•
$$\widehat{f}(\gamma) = \int f \cdot \overline{\gamma} \, d\mathbf{m}_G = \int f(x) \, \overline{\gamma(x)} \, d\mathbf{m}_G(x)$$

for $f \in L^1(G) = L^1(G, \mathbf{m}_G), \ \gamma \in \widehat{G}$

- $\widehat{f}(\gamma) = \int f \cdot \overline{\gamma} \, d\mathbf{m}_G = \int f(x) \, \overline{\gamma(x)} \, d\mathbf{m}_G(x)$ for $f \in L^1(G) = L^1(G, \mathbf{m}_G), \ \gamma \in \widehat{G}$
- \hat{f} is the **Fourier transform** of f,

- $\widehat{f}(\gamma) = \int f \cdot \overline{\gamma} \, d\mathbf{m}_G = \int f(x) \, \overline{\gamma(x)} \, d\mathbf{m}_G(x)$ for $f \in L^1(G) = L^1(G, \mathbf{m}_G), \ \gamma \in \widehat{G}$
- \hat{f} is the **Fourier transform** of f,

- $\widehat{f}(\gamma) = \int f \cdot \overline{\gamma} \, d\mathbf{m}_G = \int f(x) \, \overline{\gamma(x)} \, d\mathbf{m}_G(x)$ for $f \in L^1(G) = L^1(G, \mathbf{m}_G), \ \gamma \in \widehat{G}$
- \hat{f} is the Fourier transform of f, $\|\hat{f}\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_{1}$

- $\widehat{f}(\gamma) = \int f \cdot \overline{\gamma} \, d\mathbf{m}_G = \int f(x) \, \overline{\gamma(x)} \, d\mathbf{m}_G(x)$ for $f \in L^1(G) = L^1(G, \mathbf{m}_G), \ \gamma \in \widehat{G}$
- \widehat{f} is the Fourier transform of f, $\|\widehat{f}\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_{1}$
- $f \mapsto \mathcal{F}(f) = \widehat{f}$ defines bounded linear operator $\mathcal{F}: L^1(G) \to C_0(\widehat{G})$

- $\widehat{f}(\gamma) = \int f \cdot \overline{\gamma} \, d\mathbf{m}_G = \int f(x) \, \overline{\gamma(x)} \, d\mathbf{m}_G(x)$ for $f \in L^1(G) = L^1(G, \mathbf{m}_G), \ \gamma \in \widehat{G}$
- \hat{f} is the Fourier transform of f, $\|\hat{f}\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_{1}$
- $f \mapsto \mathcal{F}(f) = \widehat{f}$ defines bounded linear operator $\mathcal{F}: L^1(G) \to C_0(\widehat{G})$
- $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_G$ is called the **Fourier transform** on G

- $\widehat{f}(\gamma) = \int f \cdot \overline{\gamma} \, d\mathbf{m}_G = \int f(x) \, \overline{\gamma(x)} \, d\mathbf{m}_G(x)$ for $f \in L^1(G) = L^1(G, \mathbf{m}_G), \ \gamma \in \widehat{G}$
- \hat{f} is the Fourier transform of f, $\|\hat{f}\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_{1}$
- $f \mapsto \mathcal{F}(f) = \widehat{f}$ defines bounded linear operator $\mathcal{F}: L^1(G) \to C_0(\widehat{G})$
- $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_G$ is called the **Fourier transform** on G
- $L^1(G)$ is associative algebra under convolution

- $\widehat{f}(\gamma) = \int f \cdot \overline{\gamma} \, d\mathbf{m}_G = \int f(x) \, \overline{\gamma(x)} \, d\mathbf{m}_G(x)$ for $f \in L^1(G) = L^1(G, \mathbf{m}_G), \ \gamma \in \widehat{G}$
- \hat{f} is the Fourier transform of f, $\|\hat{f}\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_{1}$
- $f \mapsto \mathcal{F}(f) = \widehat{f}$ defines bounded linear operator $\mathcal{F}: L^1(G) \to C_0(\widehat{G})$
- $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_G$ is called the **Fourier transform** on G
- $L^1(G)$ is associative algebra under convolution

- $\widehat{f}(\gamma) = \int f \cdot \overline{\gamma} \, d\mathbf{m}_G = \int f(x) \, \overline{\gamma(x)} \, d\mathbf{m}_G(x)$ for $f \in L^1(G) = L^1(G, \mathbf{m}_G), \ \gamma \in \widehat{G}$
- \hat{f} is the Fourier transform of f, $\|\hat{f}\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_{1}$
- $f \mapsto \mathcal{F}(f) = \widehat{f}$ defines bounded linear operator $\mathcal{F}: L^1(G) \to C_0(\widehat{G})$
- $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_G$ is called the **Fourier transform** on G
- L¹(G) is associative algebra under convolution $(f * g)(x) = \int f(x - t) g(t) d\mathbf{m}_{G}(t)$

- $\widehat{f}(\gamma) = \int f \cdot \overline{\gamma} \, d\mathbf{m}_G = \int f(x) \, \overline{\gamma(x)} \, d\mathbf{m}_G(x)$ for $f \in L^1(G) = L^1(G, \mathbf{m}_G), \ \gamma \in \widehat{G}$
- \hat{f} is the Fourier transform of f, $\|\hat{f}\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_{1}$
- $f \mapsto \mathcal{F}(f) = \widehat{f}$ defines bounded linear operator $\mathcal{F}: L^1(G) \to C_0(\widehat{G})$
- $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_G$ is called the **Fourier transform** on G
- $L^1(G)$ is associative algebra under convolution $(f * q)(x) = \int f(x-t) q(t) d\mathbf{m}_G(t)$
- $C_0(\widehat{G})$ is associative algebra under pointwise multiplication

- $\widehat{f}(\gamma) = \int f \cdot \overline{\gamma} \, d\mathbf{m}_G = \int f(x) \, \overline{\gamma(x)} \, d\mathbf{m}_G(x)$ for $f \in L^1(G) = L^1(G, \mathbf{m}_G), \ \gamma \in \widehat{G}$
- \hat{f} is the Fourier transform of f, $\|\hat{f}\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_{1}$
- $f \mapsto \mathcal{F}(f) = \widehat{f}$ defines bounded linear operator $\mathcal{F}: L^1(G) \to C_0(\widehat{G})$
- $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_G$ is called the **Fourier transform** on G
- $L^1(G)$ is associative algebra under convolution $(f * q)(x) = \int f(x-t) q(t) d\mathbf{m}_G(t)$
- $C_0(\widehat{G})$ is associative algebra under pointwise multiplication

- $\widehat{f}(\gamma) = \int f \cdot \overline{\gamma} \, d\mathbf{m}_G = \int f(x) \, \overline{\gamma(x)} \, d\mathbf{m}_G(x)$ for $f \in L^1(G) = L^1(G, \mathbf{m}_G), \ \gamma \in \widehat{G}$
- \hat{f} is the Fourier transform of f, $\|\hat{f}\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_{1}$
- $f \mapsto \mathcal{F}(f) = \widehat{f}$ defines bounded linear operator $\mathcal{F}: L^1(G) \to C_0(\widehat{G})$
- $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_G$ is called the **Fourier transform** on G
- L¹(G) is associative algebra under convolution $(f * g)(x) = \int f(x-t) g(t) d\mathbf{m}_G(t)$
- $C_0(\widehat{G})$ is associative algebra under pointwise multiplication $(\varphi \cdot \psi)(\gamma) = \varphi(\gamma) \psi(\gamma)$

- $\widehat{f}(\gamma) = \int f \cdot \overline{\gamma} \, d\mathbf{m}_G = \int f(x) \, \overline{\gamma(x)} \, d\mathbf{m}_G(x)$ for $f \in L^1(G) = L^1(G, \mathbf{m}_G), \ \gamma \in \widehat{G}$
- \widehat{f} is the Fourier transform of f, $\|\widehat{f}\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_{1}$
- $f \mapsto \mathcal{F}(f) = \widehat{f}$ defines bounded linear operator $\mathcal{F}: L^1(G) \to C_0(\widehat{G})$
- $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_G$ is called the **Fourier transform** on G
- L¹(G) is associative algebra under convolution $(f * g)(x) = \int f(x-t) g(t) d\mathbf{m}_G(t)$
- $C_0(\widehat{G})$ is associative algebra under pointwise multiplication $(\varphi \cdot \psi)(\gamma) = \varphi(\gamma) \psi(\gamma)$
- $\mathcal{F}(f * g) = \mathcal{F}(f) \mathcal{F}(g)$,

- $\widehat{f}(\gamma) = \int f \cdot \overline{\gamma} \, d\mathbf{m}_G = \int f(x) \, \overline{\gamma(x)} \, d\mathbf{m}_G(x)$ for $f \in L^1(G) = L^1(G, \mathbf{m}_G), \ \gamma \in \widehat{G}$
- \widehat{f} is the Fourier transform of f, $\|\widehat{f}\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_{1}$
- $f \mapsto \mathcal{F}(f) = \widehat{f}$ defines bounded linear operator $\mathcal{F}: L^1(G) \to C_0(\widehat{G})$
- $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_G$ is called the **Fourier transform** on G
- L¹(G) is associative algebra under convolution $(f * g)(x) = \int f(x-t) g(t) d\mathbf{m}_G(t)$
- $C_0(\widehat{G})$ is associative algebra under pointwise multiplication $(\varphi \cdot \psi)(\gamma) = \varphi(\gamma) \psi(\gamma)$
- $\mathcal{F}(f * g) = \mathcal{F}(f) \mathcal{F}(g)$,

- $\widehat{f}(\gamma) = \int f \cdot \overline{\gamma} \, d\mathbf{m}_G = \int f(x) \, \overline{\gamma(x)} \, d\mathbf{m}_G(x)$ for $f \in L^1(G) = L^1(G, \mathbf{m}_G), \ \gamma \in \widehat{G}$
- \widehat{f} is the Fourier transform of f, $\|\widehat{f}\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_{1}$
- $f \mapsto \mathcal{F}(f) = \widehat{f}$ defines bounded linear operator $\mathcal{F}: L^1(G) \to C_0(\widehat{G})$
- $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_G$ is called the **Fourier transform** on G
- L¹(G) is associative algebra under convolution $(f * g)(x) = \int f(x-t) g(t) d\mathbf{m}_G(t)$
- $C_0(\widehat{G})$ is associative algebra under pointwise multiplication $(\varphi \cdot \psi)(\gamma) = \varphi(\gamma) \psi(\gamma)$
- $\mathcal{F}(f * g) = \mathcal{F}(f) \mathcal{F}(g), \qquad \widehat{f * g} = \widehat{f} \ \widehat{g}$

•
$$\mathcal{F}(f)(\gamma) = \widehat{f}(\gamma) = d_G \sum_{x \in G} f(x) \overline{\gamma}(x) = \langle f, \gamma \rangle_G$$

 $d_G > 0$ is normalizing coefficient, typically
 $d_G = 1$ or $1/|G|$ or $1/\sqrt{|G|}$

- $\mathcal{F}(f)(\gamma) = \widehat{f}(\gamma) = d_G \sum_{x \in G} f(x) \overline{\gamma}(x) = \langle f, \gamma \rangle_G$ $d_G > 0$ is normalizing coefficient, typically $d_G = 1$ or 1/|G| or $1/\sqrt{|G|}$
- $\langle f, g \rangle_G = d_G \sum_{x \in G} f(x) \overline{g}(x)$ scalar product on \mathbb{C}^G $\langle \gamma, \chi \rangle_G = |G| d_G \delta_{\gamma,\chi}$ – characters are orthogonal

- $\mathcal{F}(f)(\gamma) = \widehat{f}(\gamma) = d_G \sum_{x \in G} f(x) \overline{\gamma}(x) = \langle f, \gamma \rangle_G$ $d_G > 0$ is normalizing coefficient, typically $d_G = 1$ or 1/|G| or $1/\sqrt{|G|}$
- $\langle f, g \rangle_G = d_G \sum_{x \in G} f(x) \overline{g}(x)$ scalar product on \mathbb{C}^G $\langle \gamma, \chi \rangle_G = |G| d_G \delta_{\gamma,\chi}$ – characters are orthogonal
- $\mathcal{F}_G: \mathbb{C}^G \to \mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$ is linear isomorphism

- $\mathcal{F}(f)(\gamma) = \widehat{f}(\gamma) = d_G \sum_{x \in G} f(x) \overline{\gamma}(x) = \langle f, \gamma \rangle_G$ $d_G > 0$ is normalizing coefficient, typically $d_G = 1$ or 1/|G| or $1/\sqrt{|G|}$
- $\langle f, g \rangle_G = d_G \sum_{x \in G} f(x) \overline{g}(x)$ scalar product on \mathbb{C}^G $\langle \gamma, \chi \rangle_G = |G| d_G \delta_{\gamma,\chi}$ – characters are orthogonal
- $\mathcal{F}_G: \mathbb{C}^G \to \mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$ is linear isomorphism
- Fourier inversion formula and Plancherel identity $f = d_{\widehat{G}} \sum_{\gamma \in \widehat{G}} \widehat{f}(\gamma) \gamma \qquad \langle f, g \rangle_G = \langle \widehat{f}, \widehat{g} \rangle_{\widehat{G}}$ hold once $d_G d_{\widehat{G}} = 1/|G|$

- $\mathcal{F}(f)(\gamma) = \widehat{f}(\gamma) = d_G \sum_{x \in G} f(x) \overline{\gamma}(x) = \langle f, \gamma \rangle_G$ $d_G > 0$ is normalizing coefficient, typically $d_G = 1$ or 1/|G| or $1/\sqrt{|G|}$
- $\langle f, g \rangle_G = d_G \sum_{x \in G} f(x) \overline{g}(x)$ scalar product on \mathbb{C}^G $\langle \gamma, \chi \rangle_G = |G| d_G \delta_{\gamma,\chi}$ – characters are orthogonal
- $\mathcal{F}_G: \mathbb{C}^G \to \mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$ is linear isomorphism
- Fourier inversion formula and Plancherel identity $f = d_{\widehat{G}} \sum_{\gamma \in \widehat{G}} \widehat{f}(\gamma) \gamma \qquad \langle f, g \rangle_G = \langle \widehat{f}, \widehat{g} \rangle_{\widehat{G}}$ hold once $d_G d_{\widehat{G}} = 1/|G|$
- For $G = \mathbb{Z}_n$: $\widehat{f}(a) = d_G \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_n} f(k) e^{-2\pi i ak/n}$

- $\mathcal{F}(f)(\gamma) = \widehat{f}(\gamma) = d_G \sum_{x \in G} f(x) \overline{\gamma}(x) = \langle f, \gamma \rangle_G$ $d_G > 0$ is normalizing coefficient, typically $d_G = 1$ or 1/|G| or $1/\sqrt{|G|}$
- $\langle f, g \rangle_G = d_G \sum_{x \in G} f(x) \overline{g}(x)$ scalar product on \mathbb{C}^G $\langle \gamma, \chi \rangle_G = |G| d_G \delta_{\gamma,\chi}$ – characters are orthogonal
- $\mathcal{F}_G: \mathbb{C}^G \to \mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$ is linear isomorphism
- Fourier inversion formula and Plancherel identity $f = d_{\widehat{G}} \sum_{\gamma \in \widehat{G}} \widehat{f}(\gamma) \gamma \qquad \langle f, g \rangle_G = \langle \widehat{f}, \widehat{g} \rangle_{\widehat{G}}$ hold once $d_G d_{\widehat{G}} = 1/|G|$
- For $G = \mathbb{Z}_n$: $\widehat{f}(a) = d_G \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_n} f(k) e^{-2\pi i ak/n}$
- For $G = \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n$: $\widehat{f}(a,b) = d_G \sum_{(k,l) \in \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n} f(k,l) e^{-2\pi i(ak+bl)/mn}$

In what follows
$$1 \le p, q \le \infty$$
, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$

• For $1 \le p < \infty$, $L^1(G) \cap L^p(G)$ is dense in $L^p(G)$ w.r.t. the L^p -norm

- For $1 \le p < \infty$, $L^1(G) \cap L^p(G)$ is dense in $L^p(G)$ w.r.t. the L^p -norm
- for $1 \leq p \leq 2$, the restricted Fourier transform $\mathcal{F} \upharpoonright \left(\operatorname{L}^1(G) \cap \operatorname{L}^p(G) \right)$ satisfies $\left\| \widehat{f} \right\|_q \leq \|f\|_p$ for $f \in \operatorname{L}^1(G) \cap \operatorname{L}^p(G)$

- For $1 \le p < \infty$, $L^1(G) \cap L^p(G)$ is dense in $L^p(G)$ w.r.t. the L^p -norm
- for $1 \leq p \leq 2$, the restricted Fourier transform $\mathcal{F} \upharpoonright \left(\operatorname{L}^1(G) \cap \operatorname{L}^p(G) \right)$ satisfies $\left\| \widehat{f} \right\|_q \leq \left\| f \right\|_p$ for $f \in \operatorname{L}^1(G) \cap \operatorname{L}^p(G)$
- it extends to the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}: L^p(G) \to L^q(\widehat{G})$

- For $1 \le p < \infty$, $L^1(G) \cap L^p(G)$ is dense in $L^p(G)$ w.r.t. the L^p -norm
- for $1 \leq p \leq 2$, the restricted Fourier transform $\mathcal{F} \upharpoonright \left(\operatorname{L}^1(G) \cap \operatorname{L}^p(G) \right)$ satisfies $\left\| \widehat{f} \right\|_q \leq \left\| f \right\|_p$ for $f \in \operatorname{L}^1(G) \cap \operatorname{L}^p(G)$
- it extends to the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}: L^p(G) \to L^q(\widehat{G})$
- for p=2 we get the **Fourier-Plancherel transform** which is an isometric isomorphism of Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{F}: L^2(G) \to L^2(\widehat{G})$

- For $1 \le p < \infty$, $L^1(G) \cap L^p(G)$ is dense in $L^p(G)$ w.r.t. the L^p -norm
- for $1 \leq p \leq 2$, the restricted Fourier transform $\mathcal{F} \upharpoonright \left(\operatorname{L}^1(G) \cap \operatorname{L}^p(G) \right)$ satisfies $\left\| \widehat{f} \right\|_q \leq \left\| f \right\|_p$ for $f \in \operatorname{L}^1(G) \cap \operatorname{L}^p(G)$
- it extends to the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}: L^p(G) \to L^q(\widehat{G})$
- for p = 2 we get the **Fourier-Plancherel transform** which is an isometric isomorphism of Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{F}: L^2(G) \to L^2(\widehat{G})$
- proper normalization of Haar measures m_G , $m_{\widehat{G}}$ ensures Plancherel identity and Fourier inversion formula $\langle f, g \rangle_G = \int f \cdot \overline{g} \, \mathrm{d} m_G = \int \widehat{f} \cdot \overline{\widehat{g}} \, \mathrm{d} m_{\widehat{G}} = \langle \widehat{f}, \widehat{g} \rangle_{\widehat{G}}$ $f(x) = \int_{\widehat{G}} \widehat{f}(\gamma) \gamma(x) \, \mathrm{d} m_{\widehat{G}}(\gamma)$

M(G) is the Banach space of all complex-valued regular Borel measures μ on G with bounded total variation $\|\mu\|$

• M(G) is nonseparable in general; $m_G \in M(G)$ only if G is compact

- M(G) is nonseparable in general; $m_G \in M(G)$ only if G is compact
- M(G) is the dual of $C_0(G)$: each $\mu \in M(G)$ defines bounded linear functional $g \mapsto \int g d\mu$ on $C_0(G)$ (Riesz representation theorem)

- M(G) is nonseparable in general; $m_G \in M(G)$ only if G is compact
- M(G) is the dual of $C_0(G)$: each $\mu \in M(G)$ defines bounded linear functional $g \mapsto \int g d\mu$ on $C_0(G)$ (Riesz representation theorem)
- L¹(G) can be identified with the Banach subspace $\{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(G); \ \mu \text{ is absolutely continuous w.r.t. } \boldsymbol{m}_G\}$ $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(G)$ defines the functional $g \mapsto \int gf \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_G$ on $\mathcal{C}_0(G)$ $(\mathrm{d}\mu = f \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_G; \ \mathrm{Radon-Nikodym \ theorem})$

- M(G) is nonseparable in general; $m_G \in M(G)$ only if G is compact
- M(G) is the dual of $C_0(G)$: each $\mu \in M(G)$ defines bounded linear functional $g \mapsto \int g d\mu$ on $C_0(G)$ (Riesz representation theorem)
- L¹(G) can be identified with the Banach subspace $\{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(G); \ \mu \text{ is absolutely continuous w.r.t. } \boldsymbol{m}_G\}$ $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(G)$ defines the functional $g \mapsto \int gf \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_G$ on $\mathcal{C}_0(G)$ $(\mathrm{d}\mu = f \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_G; \ \mathrm{Radon\text{-}Nikodym theorem})$
- Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}: L^1(G) \to C_0(\widehat{G})$ extends to **Fourier-Stieltjes transform** $\mu \mapsto \mathcal{F}(\mu) = \widehat{\mu}$ $\mathcal{F}: M(G) \to C(\widehat{G})$, given by $\widehat{\mu}(\gamma) = \int \overline{\gamma} d\mu$

- M(G) is nonseparable in general; $m_G \in M(G)$ only if G is compact
- M(G) is the dual of $C_0(G)$: each $\mu \in M(G)$ defines bounded linear functional $g \mapsto \int g d\mu$ on $C_0(G)$ (Riesz representation theorem)
- L¹(G) can be identified with the Banach subspace $\{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(G); \ \mu \text{ is absolutely continuous w.r.t. } \boldsymbol{m}_G\}$ $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(G)$ defines the functional $g \mapsto \int gf \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_G$ on $\mathcal{C}_0(G)$ $(\mathrm{d}\mu = f \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}_G; \ \mathrm{Radon-Nikodym \ theorem})$
- Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}: L^1(G) \to C_0(\widehat{G})$ extends to **Fourier-Stieltjes transform** $\mu \mapsto \mathcal{F}(\mu) = \widehat{\mu}$ $\mathcal{F}: M(G) \to C(\widehat{G})$, given by $\widehat{\mu}(\gamma) = \int \overline{\gamma} d\mu$

•
$$\mathcal{F}(\mu * \nu) = \mathcal{F}(\mu) \mathcal{F}(\nu)$$
, $\widehat{\mu * \nu} = \widehat{\mu} \widehat{\nu}$

Fourier inversion formula $f = \int \widehat{f}(\gamma) \gamma d\mathbf{m}_{\widehat{G}}(\gamma)$ does not always hold.

Fourier inversion formula $f = \int \widehat{f}(\gamma) \, \gamma \, d\mathbf{m}_{\widehat{G}}(\gamma)$ does not always hold.

Scalar product of characters $\langle \gamma, \chi \rangle_G = \int \gamma \cdot \overline{\chi} \, d\mathbf{m}_G$ is not defined unless G is compact.

Fourier inversion formula $f = \int \widehat{f}(\gamma) \, \gamma \, d\mathbf{m}_{\widehat{G}}(\gamma)$ does not always hold.

Scalar product of characters $\langle \gamma, \chi \rangle_G = \int \gamma \cdot \overline{\chi} \, d\mathbf{m}_G$ is not defined unless G is compact.

For finite G all the above spaces coincide with \mathbb{C}^G , $\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$, resp., the scalar product is everywhere defined, and the Fourier inversion formula holds.

Fourier inversion formula $f = \int \widehat{f}(\gamma) \gamma d\mathbf{m}_{\widehat{G}}(\gamma)$ does not always hold.

Scalar product of characters $\langle \gamma, \chi \rangle_G = \int \gamma \cdot \overline{\chi} \, d\mathbf{m}_G$ is not defined unless G is compact.

For finite G all the above spaces coincide with \mathbb{C}^G , $\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$, resp., the scalar product is everywhere defined, and the Fourier inversion formula holds.

Fast Fourier Transform gives extremely powerful and fast algorithms for FT on finite abelian groups.

Fourier inversion formula $f = \int \widehat{f}(\gamma) \, \gamma \, \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{m}_{\widehat{G}}(\gamma)$ does not always hold.

Scalar product of characters $\langle \gamma, \chi \rangle_G = \int \gamma \cdot \overline{\chi} \, \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{m}_G$ is not defined unless G is compact.

For finite G all the above spaces coincide with \mathbb{C}^G , $\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$, resp., the scalar product is everywhere defined, and the Fourier inversion formula holds.

Fast Fourier Transform gives extremely powerful and fast algorithms for FT on finite abelian groups.

Computations of FT on the $L^p(G)$ s and M(G) are frequently based on discrete approximations of G, sometimes by finite abelian groups.

Fourier inversion formula $f = \int \widehat{f}(\gamma) \gamma d\mathbf{m}_{\widehat{G}}(\gamma)$ does not always hold.

Scalar product of characters $\langle \gamma, \chi \rangle_G = \int \gamma \cdot \overline{\chi} \, d\mathbf{m}_G$ is not defined unless G is compact.

For finite G all the above spaces coincide with \mathbb{C}^G , $\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$, resp., the scalar product is everywhere defined, and the Fourier inversion formula holds.

Fast Fourier Transform gives extremely powerful and fast algorithms for FT on finite abelian groups.

Computations of FT on the $L^p(G)$ s and M(G) are frequently based on discrete approximations of G, sometimes by finite abelian groups.

Isn't there some "universal extension" of all the spaces $L^p(G)$ $(1 \le p \le 2)$ and M(G), and a uniform scheme defining the Fourier transform on this extension, covering all the particular cases, like if G were finite?

Nonstandard analysis offers solution and additional insights.

Nonstandard analysis offers solution and additional insights.

NSA is a method based on application of mathematical logic to other parts of mathematics, invented by **A. Robinson** (1960s).

Nonstandard analysis offers solution and additional insights.

NSA is a method based on application of mathematical logic to other parts of mathematics, invented by **A. Robinson** (1960s).

T. Tao: One of the features of NSA, as opposed to its standard counterparts, is that it efficiently conceals with all the epsilons and deltas, that are so prevalent in standard analysis... As a consequence, analysis acquires a much more algebraic flavour when viewed through the nonstandard lens.

Nonstandard analysis offers solution and additional insights.

NSA is a method based on application of mathematical logic to other parts of mathematics, invented by **A. Robinson** (1960s).

- **T. Tao:** One of the features of NSA, as opposed to its standard counterparts, is that it efficiently conceals with all the epsilons and deltas, that are so prevalent in standard analysis... As a consequence, analysis acquires a much more algebraic flavour when viewed through the nonstandard lens.
- P. Vopěnka: Odmítnutí Newtonova a Lebnizova pojetí infinitesimálního kalkulu matematiky 19. a 20. století vyvolané ať již jejich neochotou či neschopností domyslet a dotvořit základní pojmy, o něž se původní pojetí tohoto kalkulu opíralo bylo jedním z největších omylů nejen matematiky, ale evropské vědy vůbec.

Merits and contributions of NSA (among other things):

• rehabilitation of the original infinitesimal calculus with infinitely small and infinitely big numerical magnitudes

- rehabilitation of the original infinitesimal calculus with infinitely small and infinitely big numerical magnitudes
- continuity: $f(x+d) \approx f(x)$ for $d \approx 0$

- rehabilitation of the original infinitesimal calculus with infinitely small and infinitely big numerical magnitudes
- continuity: $f(x+d) \approx f(x)$ for $d \approx 0$
- $f'(x) \approx \frac{f(x+d)-f(x)}{d}$, where $0 \neq d \approx 0$

- rehabilitation of the original infinitesimal calculus with infinitely small and infinitely big numerical magnitudes
- continuity: $f(x+d) \approx f(x)$ for $d \approx 0$
- $f'(x) \approx \frac{f(x+d)-f(x)}{d}$, where $0 \neq d \approx 0$
- $\int_a^b f(x) dx \approx \sum_{k=1}^n f(x_k) d$, $a + (k-1)d \le x_k \le a + kd$, for "infinite" $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $d = (b-a)/n \approx 0$

- rehabilitation of the original infinitesimal calculus with infinitely small and infinitely big numerical magnitudes
- continuity: $f(x+d) \approx f(x)$ for $d \approx 0$
- $f'(x) \approx \frac{f(x+d) f(x)}{d}$, where $0 \neq d \approx 0$
- $\int_a^b f(x) dx \approx \sum_{k=1}^n f(x_k) d$, $a + (k-1)d \le x_k \le a + kd$, for "infinite" $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $d = (b-a)/n \approx 0$
- extension of all domains of mathematical objects by abundance of new ideal elements

- rehabilitation of the original infinitesimal calculus with infinitely small and infinitely big numerical magnitudes
- continuity: $f(x+d) \approx f(x)$ for $d \approx 0$
- $f'(x) \approx \frac{f(x+d)-f(x)}{d}$, where $0 \neq d \approx 0$
- $\int_a^b f(x) dx \approx \sum_{k=1}^n f(x_k) d$, $a + (k-1)d \le x_k \le a + kd$, for "infinite" $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $d = (b-a)/n \approx 0$
- extension of all domains of mathematical objects by abundance of new ideal elements
- extended domains have the same mathematical properties w.r.t. original first-order language (transfer principle)

- rehabilitation of the original infinitesimal calculus with infinitely small and infinitely big numerical magnitudes
- continuity: $f(x+d) \approx f(x)$ for $d \approx 0$
- $f'(x) \approx \frac{f(x+d)-f(x)}{d}$, where $0 \neq d \approx 0$
- $\int_a^b f(x) dx \approx \sum_{k=1}^n f(x_k) d$, $a + (k-1)d \le x_k \le a + kd$, for "infinite" $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $d = (b-a)/n \approx 0$
- extension of all domains of mathematical objects by abundance of new ideal elements
- extended domains have the same mathematical properties w.r.t. original first-order language (transfer principle)
- every consistent "not too big" system of standard formulas is satisfied by some object (saturation)

In particular:

• *N - hypernatural numbers: $\mathbb{N} \prec *\mathbb{N}, *\mathbb{N} \prec \mathbb{N} \neq \emptyset$

- *N hypernatural numbers: $\mathbb{N} \prec *\mathbb{N}, *\mathbb{N} \smallsetminus \mathbb{N} \neq \emptyset$
- * \mathbb{R} hyperreal numbers: $\mathbb{R} \prec *\mathbb{R}$, * $\mathbb{R} \smallsetminus \mathbb{R} \neq \emptyset$ $\mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in *\mathbb{R}; \exists r \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0 : |x| \leq r\}$ $\mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in *\mathbb{R}; \forall r \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0 : |x| \leq r\}$ finite and infinitesimal hyperreals, resp.

- *N hypernatural numbers: $\mathbb{N} \prec *\mathbb{N}, *\mathbb{N} \smallsetminus \mathbb{N} \neq \emptyset$
- * \mathbb{R} hyperreal numbers: $\mathbb{R} \prec *\mathbb{R}$, * $\mathbb{R} \smallsetminus \mathbb{R} \neq \emptyset$ $\mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in *\mathbb{R}; \exists r \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0 : |x| \leq r\}$ $\mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in *\mathbb{R}; \forall r \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0 : |x| \leq r\}$ finite and infinitesimal hyperreals, resp.
- *C hypercomplex numbers: $\mathbb{C} \prec *\mathbb{C}$, *C \ $\mathbb{C} \neq \emptyset$ $\mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{C} = \{x \in *\mathbb{C}; \exists r \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0 : |x| \leq r\}$ $\mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{C} = \{x \in *\mathbb{C}; \forall r \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0 : |x| \leq r\}$ finite and infinitesimal hypercomplex numbers, resp.

- *N hypernatural numbers: $\mathbb{N} \prec *\mathbb{N}, *\mathbb{N} \smallsetminus \mathbb{N} \neq \emptyset$
- * \mathbb{R} hyperreal numbers: $\mathbb{R} \prec *\mathbb{R}$, * $\mathbb{R} \searrow \mathbb{R} \neq \emptyset$ $\mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in *\mathbb{R}; \exists r \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0 : |x| \leq r\}$ $\mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in *\mathbb{R}; \forall r \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0 : |x| \leq r\}$ finite and infinitesimal hyperreals, resp.
- *C hypercomplex numbers: $\mathbb{C} \prec *\mathbb{C}$, *C \ $\mathbb{C} \neq \emptyset$ $\mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{C} = \{x \in *\mathbb{C}; \exists r \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0 : |x| \leq r\}$ $\mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{C} = \{x \in *\mathbb{C}; \forall r \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0 : |x| \leq r\}$ finite and infinitesimal hypercomplex numbers, resp.
- for each finite hyperreal or hypercomplex number x there is unique real or complex number ${}^{\circ}x = \operatorname{st} x$, called shadow or $\operatorname{standard}$ part of x, such that $x \approx {}^{\circ}x$

- *N hypernatural numbers: $\mathbb{N} \prec *\mathbb{N}, *\mathbb{N} \smallsetminus \mathbb{N} \neq \emptyset$
- * \mathbb{R} hyperreal numbers: $\mathbb{R} \prec *\mathbb{R}$, * $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{R} \neq \emptyset$ $\mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in *\mathbb{R}; \exists r \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0 : |x| \leq r\}$ $\mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in *\mathbb{R}; \forall r \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0 : |x| \leq r\}$ finite and infinitesimal hyperreals, resp.
- *C hypercomplex numbers: $\mathbb{C} \prec *\mathbb{C}$, *C \ $\mathbb{C} \neq \emptyset$ $\mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{C} = \{x \in *\mathbb{C}; \exists r \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0 : |x| \leq r\}$ $\mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{C} = \{x \in *\mathbb{C}; \forall r \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0 : |x| \leq r\}$ finite and infinitesimal hypercomplex numbers, resp.
- for each finite hyperreal or hypercomplex number x there is unique real or complex number ${}^{\circ}x = \operatorname{st} x$, called shadow or $\operatorname{standard}$ part of x, such that $x \approx {}^{\circ}x$
- $\mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{C}$

In general:

• every "standard" mathematical object M has its "nonstandard" extension $^*M \succ M$

In general:

• every "standard" mathematical object M has its "nonstandard" extension $^*M \succ M$

In general:

• every "standard" mathematical object M has its "nonstandard" extension ${}^*\!M \succ M$ the embedding $M \to {}^*\!M$ is onto iff M is finite

- every "standard" mathematical object M has its "nonstandard" extension ${}^*\!M \succ M$ the embedding $M \to {}^*\!M$ is onto iff M is finite
- the whole universe $\mathcal U$ of "standard" mathematical objects is embedded into the universe * $\mathcal U$ of "nonstandard" (internal) objects

- every "standard" mathematical object M has its "nonstandard" extension ${}^*\!M \succ M$ the embedding $M \to {}^*\!M$ is onto iff M is finite
- the whole universe $\mathcal U$ of "standard" mathematical objects is embedded into the universe * $\mathcal U$ of "nonstandard" (internal) objects

- every "standard" mathematical object M has its "nonstandard" extension ${}^*\!M \succ M$ the embedding $M \to {}^*\!M$ is onto iff M is finite
- the whole universe \mathcal{U} of "standard" mathematical objects is embedded into the universe * \mathcal{U} of "nonstandard" (internal) objects (standard, internal and external objects)

In general:

- every "standard" mathematical object M has its "nonstandard" extension ${}^*\!M \succ M$ the embedding $M \to {}^*\!M$ is onto iff M is finite
- the whole universe \mathcal{U} of "standard" mathematical objects is embedded into the universe * \mathcal{U} of "nonstandard" (internal) objects (standard, internal and external objects)
- every standard set M can be embedded into a hyperfinite set H ⊂ *M

In general:

- every "standard" mathematical object M has its "nonstandard" extension ${}^*\!M \succ M$ the embedding $M \to {}^*\!M$ is onto iff M is finite
- the whole universe \mathcal{U} of "standard" mathematical objects is embedded into the universe * \mathcal{U} of "nonstandard" (internal) objects (standard, internal and external objects)
- every standard set M can be embedded into a hyperfinite set $H \subseteq {}^*M$
- every standard vector space V over a field K can be embedded into a hyperfinite dimensional vector space $H \subset {}^*V$ over *K

On the other hand, not every standard group can be embedded into a hyperfinite group.

On the other hand, not every standard group can be embedded into a hyperfinite group.

In general, algebraic structure can be obstacle to embedability into hyperfinite objects.

On the other hand, not every standard group can be embedded into a hyperfinite group.

In general, algebraic structure can be obstacle to embedability into hyperfinite objects.

However, every standard abelian group can be embedded into a hyperfinite abelian group.

Every completely regular topological space X can be represented by a triplet (X, E, X_f) , where

• X is an ambient hyperfinite set

- X is an ambient hyperfinite set
- E: equivalence relation of infinitesimal nearness on X,

- X is an ambient hyperfinite set
- E: equivalence relation of infinitesimal nearness on X,

- X is an ambient hyperfinite set
- E: equivalence relation of infinitesimal nearness on X, $x \approx y$ iff $(x, y) \in E$

- X is an ambient hyperfinite set
- E: equivalence relation of infinitesimal nearness on X, $x \approx y$ iff $(x, y) \in E$
- $mon(x) = E[x] = \{y \in X; x \approx y\}$ **monad** of $x \in X$

- X is an ambient hyperfinite set
- E: equivalence relation of infinitesimal nearness on X, $x \approx y$ iff $(x, y) \in E$
- $mon(x) = E[x] = \{y \in X; x \approx y\}$ **monad** of $x \in X$
- $X_{\rm f}$: set of "finite" or "nearstandard", i.e., accessible elements of X:

- X is an ambient hyperfinite set
- E: equivalence relation of infinitesimal nearness on X, $x \approx y$ iff $(x, y) \in E$
- $mon(x) = E[x] = \{y \in X; x \approx y\}$ **monad** of $x \in X$
- $X_{\rm f}$: set of "finite" or "nearstandard", i.e., accessible elements of X:

- X is an ambient hyperfinite set
- E: equivalence relation of infinitesimal nearness on X, $x \approx y$ iff $(x, y) \in E$
- $mon(x) = E[x] = \{y \in X; x \approx y\}$ **monad** of $x \in X$
- $X_{\rm f}$: set of "finite" or "nearstandard", i.e., accessible elements of X;

$$y \approx x \in X_f \Rightarrow y \in X_f$$
, i.e. $X_f = E[X_f]$

- X is an ambient hyperfinite set
- E: equivalence relation of infinitesimal nearness on X, $x \approx y$ iff $(x, y) \in E$
- $mon(x) = E[x] = \{y \in X; x \approx y\}$ **monad** of $x \in X$
- X_f : set of "finite" or "nearstandard", i.e., accessible elements of X; $y \approx x \in X_f \Rightarrow y \in X_f$, i.e. $X_f = E[X_f]$
- $\mathbf{X} \cong X_{\mathrm{f}}/E = X^{\flat}$ nonstandard hull or observable trace of (X, E, X_{f})

- X is an ambient hyperfinite set
- E: equivalence relation of infinitesimal nearness on X, $x \approx y$ iff $(x, y) \in E$
- $mon(x) = E[x] = \{y \in X; x \approx y\}$ **monad** of $x \in X$
- X_f : set of "finite" or "nearstandard", i.e., accessible elements of X; $y \approx x \in X_f \Rightarrow y \in X_f$, i.e. $X_f = E[X_f]$
- $\mathbf{X} \cong X_{\mathrm{f}}/E = X^{\flat}$ nonstandard hull or observable trace of (X, E, X_{f})

- X is an ambient hyperfinite set
- E: equivalence relation of infinitesimal nearness on X, $x \approx y$ iff $(x, y) \in E$
- $mon(x) = E[x] = \{y \in X; x \approx y\}$ **monad** of $x \in X$
- X_f : set of "finite" or "nearstandard", i.e., accessible elements of X; $y \approx x \in X_f \Rightarrow y \in X_f$, i.e. $X_f = E[X_f]$
- $\mathbf{X} \cong X_{\mathrm{f}}/E = X^{\flat}$ nonstandard hull or observable trace of (X, E, X_{f})
 - $^{\circ}x = \text{mon}(x) \in \mathbf{X}$ the image of $x \in X_{\text{f}}$ in $\mathbf{X} = X_{\text{f}}/E$

- X is an ambient hyperfinite set
- E: equivalence relation of infinitesimal nearness on X, $x \approx y$ iff $(x, y) \in E$
- $mon(x) = E[x] = \{y \in X; x \approx y\}$ **monad** of $x \in X$
- X_f : set of "finite" or "nearstandard", i.e., accessible elements of X; $y \approx x \in X_f \Rightarrow y \in X_f$, i.e. $X_f = E[X_f]$
- $\mathbf{X} \cong X_{\mathrm{f}}/E = X^{\flat}$ nonstandard hull or observable trace of (X, E, X_{f})
 - $^{\circ}x = \text{mon}(x) \in \mathbf{X}$ the image of $x \in X_{\text{f}}$ in $\mathbf{X} = X_{\text{f}}/E$
- $X_{\rm f}$ and E are external sets; $X_{\rm f}$ is union and E is intersection of "not too many" internal sets (Σ_1^0 and Π_1^0)

Topology of both X and \mathbf{X} is more intuitively described in terms of \approx .

Topology of both X and \mathbf{X} is more intuitively described in terms of \approx .

• $Y \subseteq X$ is open iff $\forall x \in Y \exists^{\text{int}} A : \text{mon}(x) \subseteq A \subseteq Y$

Topology of both X and \mathbf{X} is more intuitively described in terms of \approx .

- $Y \subseteq X$ is open iff $\forall x \in Y \exists^{\text{int}} A : \text{mon}(x) \subseteq A \subseteq Y$
- S-continuity: $x \approx y \Rightarrow f(x) \approx f(y)$

Topology of both X and X is more intuitively described in terms of \approx .

- $Y \subseteq X$ is open iff $\forall x \in Y \exists^{\text{int}} A : \text{mon}(x) \subseteq A \subseteq Y$
- S-continuity: $x \approx y \Rightarrow f(x) \approx f(y)$
- for **X** locally compact, compact subsets of **X** are exactly $A^{\flat} = \{ {}^{\circ}a; \ a \in A \}$ for internal $A \subseteq X_{\mathrm{f}}$ ("pushing-down" A)

•
$$x \approx y \Rightarrow f(x) \approx f(y)$$
 for $x, y \in X_f$

- $x \approx y \Rightarrow f(x) \approx f(y)$ for $x, y \in X_f$
- $|f(x)| < \infty$, i.e., $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{C}$ for $x \in X_f$

- $x \approx y \Rightarrow f(x) \approx f(y)$ for $x, y \in X_f$
- $|f(x)| < \infty$, i.e., $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{C}$ for $x \in X_f$
- then $\mathbf{f}(^{\circ}x) = {}^{\circ}f(x) = \operatorname{st} f(x)$ and \mathbf{f} is continuous

- $x \approx y \Rightarrow f(x) \approx f(y)$ for $x, y \in X_f$
- $|f(x)| < \infty$, i.e., $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{C}$ for $x \in X_f$
- then $\mathbf{f}(^{\circ}x) = {}^{\circ}f(x) = \operatorname{st} f(x)$ and \mathbf{f} is continuous
- $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, E, X_f)$ is called **lifting** of $\mathbf{f} \in C(\mathbf{X})$

- $x \approx y \Rightarrow f(x) \approx f(y)$ for $x, y \in X_f$
- $|f(x)| < \infty$, i.e., $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{C}$ for $x \in X_f$
- then $\mathbf{f}(^{\circ}x) = {}^{\circ}f(x) = \operatorname{st} f(x)$ and \mathbf{f} is continuous
- $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, E, X_f)$ is called **lifting** of $\mathbf{f} \in C(\mathbf{X})$
- $\mathbf{f} = f^{\flat} = {}^{\circ}(f \upharpoonright X_{\mathbf{f}}), \mathbf{f}$ is obtained by "pushing-down" f

- $x \approx y \Rightarrow f(x) \approx f(y)$ for $x, y \in X_f$
- $|f(x)| < \infty$, i.e., $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{C}$ for $x \in X_f$
- then $\mathbf{f}(^{\circ}x) = {}^{\circ}f(x) = \operatorname{st} f(x)$ and \mathbf{f} is continuous
- $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, E, X_f)$ is called **lifting** of $\mathbf{f} \in C(\mathbf{X})$
- $\mathbf{f} = f^{\flat} = {}^{\circ}(f \upharpoonright X_{\mathbf{f}}), \mathbf{f}$ is obtained by "pushing-down" f
- $\mathbf{f} \in C_0(\mathbf{X})$ iff \mathbf{f} has lifting $f \in C_0(X, E, X_f)$, i.e.,

- $x \approx y \Rightarrow f(x) \approx f(y)$ for $x, y \in X_f$
- $|f(x)| < \infty$, i.e., $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{C}$ for $x \in X_f$
- then $\mathbf{f}(^{\circ}x) = {}^{\circ}f(x) = \operatorname{st} f(x)$ and \mathbf{f} is continuous
- $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, E, X_f)$ is called **lifting** of $\mathbf{f} \in C(\mathbf{X})$
- $\mathbf{f} = f^{\flat} = {}^{\circ}(f \upharpoonright X_{\mathbf{f}}), \mathbf{f}$ is obtained by "pushing-down" f
- $\mathbf{f} \in C_0(\mathbf{X})$ iff \mathbf{f} has lifting $f \in C_0(X, E, X_f)$, i.e.,

- $x \approx y \Rightarrow f(x) \approx f(y)$ for $x, y \in X_f$
- $|f(x)| < \infty$, i.e., $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{C}$ for $x \in X_f$
- then $\mathbf{f}(^{\circ}x) = {}^{\circ}f(x) = \operatorname{st} f(x)$ and \mathbf{f} is continuous
- $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, E, X_f)$ is called **lifting** of $\mathbf{f} \in C(\mathbf{X})$
- $\mathbf{f} = f^{\flat} = {}^{\circ}(f \upharpoonright X_{\mathbf{f}}), \mathbf{f}$ is obtained by "pushing-down" f
- $\mathbf{f} \in C_0(\mathbf{X})$ iff \mathbf{f} has lifting $f \in C_0(X, E, X_f)$, i.e., $f \in C(X, E, X_f)$ and $f(x) \approx 0$ for $x \in X \setminus X_f$

- $x \approx y \Rightarrow f(x) \approx f(y)$ for $x, y \in X_f$
- $|f(x)| < \infty$, i.e., $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{C}$ for $x \in X_f$
- then $\mathbf{f}(^{\circ}x) = {}^{\circ}f(x) = \operatorname{st} f(x)$ and \mathbf{f} is continuous
- $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, E, X_f)$ is called **lifting** of $\mathbf{f} \in C(\mathbf{X})$
- $\mathbf{f} = f^{\flat} = {}^{\circ}(f \upharpoonright X_{\mathbf{f}}), \mathbf{f}$ is obtained by "pushing-down" f
- $\mathbf{f} \in C_0(\mathbf{X})$ iff \mathbf{f} has lifting $f \in C_0(X, E, X_f)$, i.e., $f \in C(X, E, X_f)$ and $f(x) \approx 0$ for $x \in X \setminus X_f$ then $\|f\|_{\infty} = \max_{x \in X} |f(x)| < \infty$

Not all internal functions $f: X \to {}^*\mathbb{C}$ represent standard functions $\mathbf{X} \to \mathbb{C}$.

Not all internal functions $f: X \to {}^*\mathbb{C}$ represent standard functions $\mathbf{X} \to \mathbb{C}$.

Some of them represent standard objects of different nature: cosets of functions in Lebesgue L^p spaces, measures, distributions, etc.

Loeb measure.

Loeb measure.

X is hyperfinite set, $d: X \to {}^*\mathbb{R}$ is internal, $d(x) \ge 0$ for $x \in X$; typically, d(x) = d is uniform (constant) on X

Loeb measure.

X is hyperfinite set, $d: X \to {}^*\mathbb{R}$ is internal, $d(x) \ge 0$ for $x \in X$; typically, d(x) = d is uniform (constant) on X

• d defines (hyper)discrete weighted counting measure $\nu_d(A) = \sum_{a \in A} d(a)$ on the Boolean algebra ${}^*\mathcal{P}(X)$ of all internal subsets of X

Loeb measure.

X is hyperfinite set, $d: X \to {}^*\mathbb{R}$ is internal, $d(x) \ge 0$ for $x \in X$; typically, d(x) = d is uniform (constant) on X

- d defines (hyper)discrete weighted counting measure $\nu_d(A) = \sum_{a \in A} d(a)$ on the Boolean algebra ${}^*\mathcal{P}(X)$ of all internal subsets of X
- $A \mapsto {}^{\circ}\nu_d(A)$, where ${}^{\circ}\nu_d(A) = \infty$ if $\nu_d(A) \notin \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}$, induces the **Loeb measure** λ_d on X, defined on (the completion of) the σ -algebra generated by internal subsets of X (a la Caratheodory)

Loeb measure.

X is hyperfinite set, $d: X \to {}^*\mathbb{R}$ is internal, $d(x) \ge 0$ for $x \in X$; typically, d(x) = d is uniform (constant) on X

- d defines (hyper)discrete weighted counting measure $\nu_d(A) = \sum_{a \in A} d(a)$ on the Boolean algebra ${}^*\mathcal{P}(X)$ of all internal subsets of X
- $A \mapsto {}^{\circ}\nu_d(A)$, where ${}^{\circ}\nu_d(A) = \infty$ if $\nu_d(A) \notin \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}$, induces the **Loeb measure** λ_d on X, defined on (the completion of) the σ -algebra generated by internal subsets of X (a la Caratheodory)
- $S \subseteq X$ is λ_d -measurable with finite measure $\lambda_d(S)$ iff $\sup \{ {}^{\circ}\nu_d(A); A \subseteq S, A \text{ is internal} \}$ $= \inf \{ {}^{\circ}\nu_d(B); S \subseteq B \subseteq X, B \text{ is internal} \}$ and both are finite

Any group triplet (G, G_0, G_f) , where

• G is an internal ambient group

- G is an internal ambient group
- $G_f \leq G$ is a Σ_1^0 -subgroup of finite elements of G

- G is an internal ambient group
- $G_{\rm f} \leq G$ is a Σ_1^0 -subgroup of finite elements of G
- $G_0 \triangleleft G_f$ is a Π_1^0 -subgroup of infinitesimal elements of G

- G is an internal ambient group
- $G_{\rm f} \leq G$ is a Σ_1^0 -subgroup of finite elements of G
- $G_0 \triangleleft G_f$ is a Π_1^0 -subgroup of infinitesimal elements of G

- G is an internal ambient group
- $G_f \leq G$ is a Σ_1^0 -subgroup of finite elements of G
- $G_0 \triangleleft G_f$ is a Π_1^0 -subgroup of infinitesimal elements of G gives rise to a "topological triplet" (G, E_{G_0}, G_f) , where

Any group triplet (G, G_0, G_f) , where

- G is an internal ambient group
- $G_f \leq G$ is a Σ_1^0 -subgroup of finite elements of G
- $G_0 \triangleleft G_f$ is a Π_1^0 -subgroup of infinitesimal elements of G gives rise to a "topological triplet" (G, E_{G_0}, G_f) , where
 - $E_{G_0} = \{(x, y) \in G \times G; xy^{-1} \in G_0\}$

and topological group $G^{\flat}=G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_{\mathrm{0}}$ — observable trace of $(G,G_{\mathrm{0}},G_{\mathrm{f}})$

E. I. Gordon [1991]:

Every LCA group \mathbf{G} can be represented as observable trace $\mathbf{G} \cong G^{\flat} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ of some group triplet (G, G_0, G_{f}) with **hyperfinite** abelian ambient group G.

E. I. Gordon [1991]:

Every LCA group **G** can be represented as observable trace $\mathbf{G} \cong G^{\flat} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_{0}$ of some group triplet $(G, G_{0}, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ with **hyperfinite** abelian ambient group G.

In standard terms:

E. I. Gordon [1991]:

Every LCA group G can be represented as observable trace $\mathbf{G} \cong G^{\flat} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_{0}$ of some group triplet $(G, G_{0}, G_{\mathrm{f}})$ with **hyperfinite** abelian ambient group G. In standard terms: Every LCA group G can be approximated

by finite abelian groups.

E. I. Gordon [1991]:

Every LCA group \mathbf{G} can be represented as observable trace $\mathbf{G} \cong G^{\flat} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ of some group triplet (G, G_0, G_{f}) with **hyperfinite** abelian ambient group G. In standard terms: Every LCA group \mathbf{G} can be approximated by finite abelian groups.

However small compact neighborhood U of 0 and

E. I. Gordon [1991]:

Every LCA group \mathbf{G} can be represented as observable trace $\mathbf{G} \cong G^b = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ of some group triplet (G, G_0, G_{f}) with **hyperfinite** abelian ambient group G. In standard terms: Every LCA group \mathbf{G} can be approximated by finite abelian groups.

However small compact neighborhood U of 0 and however big compact set K in \mathbf{G} ,

E. I. Gordon [1991]:

Every LCA group \mathbf{G} can be represented as observable trace $\mathbf{G} \cong G^b = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ of some group triplet (G, G_0, G_{f}) with **hyperfinite** abelian ambient group G.

In standard terms: Every LCA group \mathbf{G} can be approximated by finite abelian groups.

E. I. Gordon [1991]:

Every LCA group \mathbf{G} can be represented as observable trace $\mathbf{G} \cong G^{\flat} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ of some group triplet (G, G_0, G_{f}) with **hyperfinite** abelian ambient group G. In standard terms: Every LCA group \mathbf{G} can be approximated by finite abelian groups.

However small compact neighborhood U of 0 and however big compact set K in \mathbf{G} , there is a finite abelian (K, U)-approximation (F, j) of \mathbf{G} :

• F is finite abelian group

E. I. Gordon [1991]:

Every LCA group \mathbf{G} can be represented as observable trace $\mathbf{G} \cong G^{\flat} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ of some group triplet (G, G_0, G_{f}) with **hyperfinite** abelian ambient group G.

In standard terms: Every LCA group \mathbf{G} can be approximated by finite abelian groups.

- F is finite abelian group
- $j: F \to \mathbf{G}$ is injective mapping

E. I. Gordon [1991]:

Every LCA group \mathbf{G} can be represented as observable trace $\mathbf{G} \cong G^{\flat} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ of some group triplet (G, G_0, G_{f}) with **hyperfinite** abelian ambient group G.

In standard terms: Every LCA group \mathbf{G} can be approximated by finite abelian groups.

- F is finite abelian group
- $j: F \to \mathbf{G}$ is injective mapping

E. I. Gordon [1991]:

Every LCA group \mathbf{G} can be represented as observable trace $\mathbf{G} \cong G^{\flat} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ of some group triplet (G, G_0, G_{f}) with **hyperfinite** abelian ambient group G.

In standard terms: Every LCA group \mathbf{G} can be approximated by finite abelian groups.

- F is finite abelian group
- $j: F \to \mathbf{G}$ is injective mapping
- $K \subseteq j(F) + U = \bigcup_{a \in F} j(a) + U$

E. I. Gordon [1991]:

Every LCA group \mathbf{G} can be represented as observable trace $\mathbf{G} \cong G^{\flat} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ of some group triplet (G, G_0, G_{f}) with **hyperfinite** abelian ambient group G.

In standard terms: Every LCA group \mathbf{G} can be approximated

In standard terms: Every LCA group **G** can be approximated by finite abelian groups.

- F is finite abelian group
- $j: F \to \mathbf{G}$ is injective mapping
- $K \subseteq j(F) + U = \bigcup_{a \in F} j(a) + U$
- $\forall a, b \in F : j(a), j(b), j(a+b) \in K \Rightarrow$ $\Rightarrow j(a) + j(b) - j(a+b) \in U$



• In case of HF ambient group G, $G^{\flat} = G_{\rm f}/G_0$ is locally compact iff for any internal sets A, B, $G_0 \subseteq A \subseteq B \subseteq G_{\rm f} \Rightarrow \frac{|B|}{|A|} < \infty$

- In case of HF ambient group G, $G^{\flat} = G_{\rm f}/G_0$ is locally compact iff for any internal sets A, B, $G_0 \subseteq A \subseteq B \subseteq G_{\rm f} \Rightarrow \frac{|B|}{|A|} < \infty$
- Haar measure $m_{\mathbf{G}} = m_d$ is obtained by pushing down Loeb measure λ_d for d = 1/|A|, normalizing multiplier, where A is arbitrary internal set s.t. $G_0 \subseteq A \subseteq G_{\mathbf{f}}$

- In case of HF ambient group G, $G^{\flat} = G_{\rm f}/G_0$ is locally compact iff for any internal sets A, B, $G_0 \subseteq A \subseteq B \subseteq G_{\rm f} \Rightarrow \frac{|B|}{|A|} < \infty$
- Haar measure $m_{\mathbf{G}} = m_d$ is obtained by pushing down Loeb measure λ_d for d = 1/|A|, normalizing multiplier, where A is arbitrary internal set s.t. $G_0 \subseteq A \subseteq G_{\mathbf{f}}$

- In case of HF ambient group G, $G^{\flat} = G_{\rm f}/G_0$ is locally compact iff for any internal sets A, B, $G_0 \subseteq A \subseteq B \subseteq G_{\rm f} \Rightarrow \frac{|B|}{|A|} < \infty$
- Haar measure $m_{\mathbf{G}} = m_d$ is obtained by pushing down Loeb measure λ_d for d = 1/|A|, normalizing multiplier, where A is arbitrary internal set s.t. $G_0 \subseteq A \subseteq G_{\mathbf{f}}$

Can the dual group $\widehat{\mathbf{G}} = \widehat{G^{\flat}} = \widehat{G_f/G_0}$ be described in terms of some group triplet, canonically related to the original triplet (G, G_0, G_f) ?

$$\begin{split} \widehat{G} &= {}^*\mathrm{Hom}(G, {}^*\mathbb{T}) \left(\cong G \cong \widehat{\widehat{G}} \right) \ - \ \mathrm{internal \ dual \ group \ of} \ G \colon \\ \mathrm{all} \ \mathit{internal} \ \mathrm{homomorphisms} \ \gamma : \ G \to {}^*\mathbb{T} \end{split}$$

$$\widehat{G}={}^{*}\mathrm{Hom}(G,{}^{*}\mathbb{T})\left(\cong G\cong \widehat{\widehat{G}}\right) \quad \text{- internal dual group of } G\text{:}$$
 all $internal$ homomorphisms $\gamma:G\to {}^{*}\mathbb{T}$

$$X^{\downarrow} = \{ \gamma \in \widehat{G}; \ \forall x \in X : \gamma(x) \approx 1 \}$$

$$\Gamma^{\downarrow} = \{ x \in G; \ \forall \gamma \in \Gamma : \gamma(x) \approx 1 \}$$

$$\widehat{G} = {}^*\mathrm{Hom}(G, {}^*\mathbb{T}) \left(\cong G \cong \widehat{\widehat{G}} \right)$$
 – internal dual group of G : all $internal$ homomorphisms $\gamma : G \to {}^*\mathbb{T}$

$$X^{\downarrow} = \{ \gamma \in \widehat{G}; \ \forall x \in X : \gamma(x) \approx 1 \}$$

$$\Gamma^{\downarrow} = \{ x \in G; \ \forall \, \gamma \in \Gamma \, : \, \gamma(x) \approx 1 \}$$

infinitesimal annihilators of arbitrary sets $X \subseteq G$, $\Gamma \subseteq \widehat{G}$

• G_0^{\downarrow} – all S-continuous characters in \widehat{G} (Σ_1^0)

$$\begin{split} \widehat{G} &= {^*}\mathrm{Hom}(G, {^*}\mathbb{T}) \left(\cong G \cong \widehat{\widehat{G}} \right) \ - \ \text{internal dual group of} \ G \colon \\ \text{all } internal \ \text{homomorphisms} \ \gamma : \ G \to {^*}\mathbb{T} \end{split}$$

$$X^{\sim} = \{ \gamma \in \widehat{G}; \ \forall x \in X : \gamma(x) \approx 1 \}$$

$$\Gamma^{\downarrow} = \{ x \in G; \ \forall \gamma \in \Gamma : \gamma(x) \approx 1 \}$$

- G_0^{λ} all S-continuous characters in \widehat{G} (Σ_1^0)
- $G_{\rm f}^{\perp}$ all characters in \widehat{G} , infinitely close to 1 on $G_{\rm f}$ (Π_1^0)

$$\begin{split} \widehat{G} &= {}^*\mathrm{Hom}(G, {}^*\mathbb{T}) \left(\cong G \cong \widehat{\widehat{G}} \right) \ - \ \mathrm{internal \ dual \ group \ of} \ G \colon \\ \mathrm{all} \ \mathit{internal} \ \mathrm{homomorphisms} \ \gamma : \ G \to {}^*\mathbb{T} \end{split}$$

$$X^{\downarrow} = \{ \gamma \in \widehat{G}; \ \forall x \in X : \gamma(x) \approx 1 \}$$

$$\Gamma^{\downarrow} = \{ x \in G; \ \forall \gamma \in \Gamma : \gamma(x) \approx 1 \}$$

- G_0^{λ} all S-continuous characters in \widehat{G} (Σ_1^0)
- G_{f}^{\perp} all characters in \widehat{G} , infinitely close to 1 on G_{f} (Π_{1}^{0})
- $(\widehat{G}, G_{\mathbf{f}}^{\downarrow}, G_{\mathbf{0}}^{\downarrow})$ dual group triplet of $(G, G_{\mathbf{0}}, G_{\mathbf{f}})$

$$\begin{split} \widehat{G} &= {}^*\mathrm{Hom}(G, {}^*\mathbb{T}) \left(\cong G \cong \widehat{\widehat{G}} \right) \ - \ \mathrm{internal \ dual \ group \ of} \ G \colon \\ \mathrm{all} \ \mathit{internal} \ \mathrm{homomorphisms} \ \gamma : \ G \to {}^*\mathbb{T} \end{split}$$

$$X^{\downarrow} = \{ \gamma \in \widehat{G}; \ \forall x \in X : \gamma(x) \approx 1 \}$$

$$\Gamma^{\downarrow} = \{ x \in G; \ \forall \gamma \in \Gamma : \gamma(x) \approx 1 \}$$

- G_0^{λ} all S-continuous characters in \widehat{G} (Σ_1^0)
- G_{f}^{\perp} all characters in \widehat{G} , infinitely close to 1 on G_{f} (Π_{1}^{0})
- $(\widehat{G}, G_{\mathbf{f}}^{\downarrow}, G_{\mathbf{0}}^{\downarrow})$ dual group triplet of $(G, G_{\mathbf{0}}, G_{\mathbf{f}})$

$$\begin{split} \widehat{G} &= {}^*\mathrm{Hom}(G, {}^*\mathbb{T}) \left(\cong G \cong \widehat{\widehat{G}} \right) \ - \ \mathrm{internal \ dual \ group \ of} \ G \colon \\ \mathrm{all} \ \mathit{internal} \ \mathrm{homomorphisms} \ \gamma : \ G \to {}^*\mathbb{T} \end{split}$$

$$X^{\downarrow} = \{ \gamma \in \widehat{G}; \ \forall x \in X : \gamma(x) \approx 1 \}$$

$$\Gamma^{\perp} = \{ x \in G; \ \forall \, \gamma \in \Gamma : \gamma(x) \approx 1 \}$$

infinitesimal annihilators of arbitrary sets $X \subseteq G$, $\Gamma \subseteq \widehat{G}$

- G_0^{λ} all S-continuous characters in \widehat{G} (Σ_1^0)
- $G_{\rm f}^{\downarrow}$ all characters in \widehat{G} , infinitely close to 1 on $G_{\rm f}$ (Π_1^0)
- $(\widehat{G}, G_{\mathbf{f}}^{\downarrow}, G_{\mathbf{0}}^{\downarrow})$ dual group triplet of $(G, G_{\mathbf{0}}, G_{\mathbf{f}})$

What's the relation between the observable trace $\widehat{G}^{\flat} = G_0^{\flat}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\flat}$ of the dual triplet $(\widehat{G}, G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\flat}, G_0^{\flat})$ and the dual $\widehat{\mathbf{G}} = \widehat{G}^{\flat} = \widehat{G_{\mathrm{f}}}/G_0$ of the observable trace $\mathbf{G} = G^{\flat} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ of the original triplet (G, G_0, G_{f}) ?

Example:

Example:
$$\widehat{G}^{\flat} = G_0^{\downarrow}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\downarrow} \cong \widehat{G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0} = \widehat{G^{\flat}}$$

Example:
$$\widehat{G}^{\flat} = G_0^{\downarrow}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\downarrow} \cong \widehat{G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0} = \widehat{G}^{\flat}$$

 $K \in {}^*\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}, \ N = 2K + 1, \ 0 < d < \infty, \ Kd \not\approx 0$

Example:
$$\widehat{G}^{\flat} = G_0^{\lambda}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\lambda} \cong \widehat{G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0} = \widehat{G}^{\flat}$$

 $K \in {}^*\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}, \ N = 2K+1, \ 0 < d < \infty, \ Kd \not\approx 0$
 $G = \{nd; \ -K \le n \le K\} \ \text{with} + \operatorname{mod} Nd, \ (G, +) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_N, +)$

Example:
$$\widehat{G}^{\flat} = G_0^{\downarrow}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\downarrow} \cong \widehat{G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0} = \widehat{G}^{\flat}$$

 $K \in {}^*\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}, \ N = 2K+1, \ 0 < d < \infty, \ Kd \not\approx 0$
 $G = \{nd; \ -K \leq n \leq K\} \text{ with } + \operatorname{mod} Nd, \ (G, +) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_N, +)$
 $G_0 = G \cap \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in G; \ x \approx 0\}$
 $G_{\mathrm{f}} = G \cap \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in G; \ |x| < \infty\}$

Example:
$$\widehat{G}^{\flat} = G_0^{\downarrow}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\downarrow} \cong \widehat{G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0} = \widehat{G}^{\flat}$$
 $K \in {}^*\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}, \ N = 2K + 1, \ 0 < d < \infty, \ Kd \not\approx 0$
 $G = \{nd; \ -K \leq n \leq K\} \text{ with } + \operatorname{mod} Nd, \ (G, +) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_N, +)$
 $G_0 = G \cap \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in G; \ x \approx 0\}$
 $G_{\mathrm{f}} = G \cap \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in G; \ |x| < \infty\}$

$$G^{\flat} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0 \cong$$

Example:
$$\widehat{G}^{\flat} = G_0^{\lambda}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\lambda} \cong \widehat{G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0} = \widehat{G^{\flat}}$$
 $K \in {}^*\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}, \ N = 2K+1, \ 0 < d < \infty, \ Kd \not\approx 0$
 $G = \{nd; \ -K \leq n \leq K\} \text{ with } + \operatorname{mod} Nd, \ (G,+) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_N,+)$
 $G_0 = G \cap \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in G; \ x \approx 0\}$
 $G_{\mathrm{f}} = G \cap \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in G; \ |x| < \infty\}$

$$\mathbb{Z} \quad \text{if } d \not\approx 0, \text{ as } G_0 = \{0\},$$
 $\mathbb{R} \quad \text{if } d \approx 0, \ Kd < \infty, \text{ as } G_{\mathrm{f}} = G,$
 $\mathbb{R} \quad \text{if } d \approx 0, \ Kd \notin \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}$

Example:
$$\widehat{G}^{\flat} = G_0^{\lambda}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\lambda} \cong \widehat{G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0} = \widehat{G}^{\flat}$$
 $K \in {}^*\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}, \ N = 2K+1, \ 0 < d < \infty, \ Kd \not\approx 0$
 $G = \{nd; \ -K \le n \le K\} \text{ with } + \operatorname{mod} Nd, \ (G, +) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_N, +)$
 $G_0 = G \cap \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in G; \ x \approx 0\}$
 $G_{\mathrm{f}} = G \cap \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in G; \ |x| < \infty\}$

$$\mathbb{Z} \quad \text{if } d \not\approx 0, \text{ as } G_0 = \{0\},$$

$$\mathbb{T} \quad \text{if } d \approx 0, \ Kd < \infty, \text{ as } G_{\mathrm{f}} = G,$$

$$\mathbb{R} \quad \text{if } d \approx 0, \ Kd \notin \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}$$

Example:
$$\widehat{G}^{\flat} = G_0^{\lambda}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\lambda} \cong \widehat{G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0} = \widehat{G}^{\flat}$$
 $K \in {}^*\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}, \ N = 2K + 1, \ 0 < d < \infty, \ Kd \not\approx 0$
 $G = \{nd; \ -K \le n \le K\} \text{ with } + \operatorname{mod} Nd, \ (G, +) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_N, +)$
 $G_0 = G \cap \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in G; \ x \approx 0\}$
 $G_{\mathrm{f}} = G \cap \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in G; \ |x| < \infty\}$

$$G^{\flat} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0 \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } d \not\approx 0, \text{ as } G_0 = \{0\}, \\ \mathbb{T} & \text{if } d \approx 0, \ Kd < \infty, \text{ as } G_{\mathrm{f}} = G, \end{cases}$$
 $\widehat{d} = (Nd)^{-1}, \ 0 < \widehat{d} < \infty, \ K\widehat{d} \not\approx 0$

Example:
$$\widehat{G}^{\flat} = G_0^{\lambda}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\lambda} \cong \widehat{G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0} = \widehat{G}^{\flat}$$
 $K \in {}^*\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}, \ N = 2K+1, \ 0 < d < \infty, \ Kd \not\approx 0$
 $G = \{nd; \ -K \leq n \leq K\} \text{ with } + \operatorname{mod} Nd, \ (G,+) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_N,+)$
 $G_0 = G \cap \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in G; \ x \approx 0\}$
 $G_{\mathrm{f}} = G \cap \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in G; \ |x| < \infty\}$

$$\mathbb{Z} \quad \text{if } d \not\approx 0, \text{ as } G_0 = \{0\},$$

$$\mathbb{T} \quad \text{if } d \approx 0, \ Kd < \infty, \text{ as } G_{\mathrm{f}} = G,$$

$$\mathbb{R} \quad \text{if } d \approx 0, \ Kd \notin \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}$$

$$\widehat{d} = (Nd)^{-1}, \ 0 < \widehat{d} < \infty, \ K\widehat{d} \not\approx 0$$

$$\widehat{G} = \{n\widehat{d}; \ -K \leq n \leq K\} \text{ with } + \operatorname{mod} N\widehat{d}, \ (\widehat{G}, +) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_N, +)$$

Example:
$$\widehat{G}^{\flat} = G_0^{\lambda}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\lambda} \cong \widehat{G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0} = \widehat{G}^{\flat}$$
 $K \in {}^*\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}, \ N = 2K + 1, \ 0 < d < \infty, \ Kd \not\approx 0$
 $G = \{nd; \ -K \le n \le K\} \ \text{with} + \operatorname{mod} Nd, \ (G, +) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_N, +)$
 $G_0 = G \cap \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in G; \ x \approx 0\}$
 $G_{\mathrm{f}} = G \cap \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in G; \ |x| < \infty\}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if} \ d \not\approx 0, \ \text{as} \ G_0 = \{0\}, \\ \mathbb{T} & \text{if} \ d \approx 0, \ Kd < \infty, \ \text{as} \ G_{\mathrm{f}} = G, \\ \mathbb{R} & \text{if} \ d \approx 0, \ Kd \notin \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} \end{bmatrix}$$
 $\widehat{d} = (Nd)^{-1}, \ 0 < \widehat{d} < \infty, \ K\widehat{d} \not\approx 0$
 $\widehat{G} = \{n\widehat{d}; \ -K \le n \le K\} \ \text{with} + \operatorname{mod} N\widehat{d}, \ (\widehat{G}, +) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_N, +)$
 $G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\lambda} = \widehat{G} \cap \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} = \{y \in \widehat{G}; \ y \approx 0\}$
 $G_0^{\lambda} = \widehat{G} \cap \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} = \{y \in \widehat{G}; \ |y| < \infty\}$

Example:
$$\widehat{G}^{\flat} = G_0^{\lambda}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\lambda} \cong \widehat{G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0} = \widehat{G}^{\flat}$$
 $K \in {}^*\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}, \ N = 2K+1, \ 0 < d < \infty, \ Kd \not\approx 0$
 $G = \{nd; \ -K \leq n \leq K\} \ \text{with} + \operatorname{mod} Nd, \ (G,+) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_N,+)$
 $G_0 = G \cap \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in G; \ x \approx 0\}$
 $G_{\mathrm{f}} = G \cap \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in G; \ |x| < \infty\}$

$$G^{\flat} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0 \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if} \ d \not\approx 0, \ \text{as} \ G_0 = \{0\}, \\ \mathbb{T} & \text{if} \ d \approx 0, \ Kd < \infty, \ \text{as} \ G_{\mathrm{f}} = G, \\ \mathbb{R} & \text{if} \ d \approx 0, \ Kd \notin \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} \end{cases}$$

$$\widehat{d} = (Nd)^{-1}, \ 0 < \widehat{d} < \infty, \ K\widehat{d} \not\approx 0$$

$$\widehat{G} = \{n\widehat{d}; \ -K \leq n \leq K\} \ \text{with} + \operatorname{mod} N\widehat{d}, \ (\widehat{G}, +) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_N, +)$$
 $G^{\lambda}_{\mathrm{f}} = \widehat{G} \cap \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} = \{y \in \widehat{G}; \ y \approx 0\}$
 $G^{\lambda}_{\mathrm{o}} = \widehat{G} \cap \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} = \{y \in \widehat{G}; \ |y| < \infty\}$

$$\widehat{G}^{\flat} = G_0^{\downarrow} / G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\downarrow} \cong$$

Example: $\widehat{G}^{\flat} = G_0^{\downarrow}/G_f^{\downarrow} \cong \widehat{G}_f/\widehat{G}_0 = G^{\flat}$

$$K \in {}^*\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}, \ N = 2K + 1, \ 0 < d < \infty, \ Kd \not\approx 0$$

$$G = \{nd; \ -K \le n \le K\} \text{ with } + \operatorname{mod} Nd, \ (G, +) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_N, +)$$

$$G_0 = G \cap \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in G; \ x \approx 0\}$$

$$G_f = G \cap \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} = \{x \in G; \ |x| < \infty\}$$

$$\mathbb{Z} \quad \text{if } d \not\approx 0, \text{ as } G_0 = \{0\},$$

$$\mathbb{T} \quad \text{if } d \approx 0, \ Kd < \infty, \text{ as } G_f = G,$$

$$\mathbb{R} \quad \text{if } d \approx 0, \ Kd \notin \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}$$

$$\hat{G} = \{n\hat{d}; \ -K \le n \le K\} \text{ with } + \operatorname{mod} N\hat{d}, \ (\hat{G}, +) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_N, +)$$

$$G_f^{\downarrow} = \hat{G} \cap \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R} = \{y \in \hat{G}; \ y \approx 0\}$$

$$G_0^{\downarrow} = \hat{G} \cap \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} = \{y \in \hat{G}; \ |y| < \infty\}$$

$$\hat{G}^{\flat} = G_0^{\downarrow}/G_f^{\downarrow} \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{T} \quad \text{if } d \not\approx 0, \text{ as } G_0^{\downarrow} = \hat{G}, \\ \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{if } d \approx 0, \ Kd < \infty, \text{ as } G_f^{\downarrow} = \{0\}, \\ \mathbb{R} \quad \text{if } d \approx 0, \ Kd \notin \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} \end{cases}$$

Each $\gamma: G \to {}^*\mathbb{T}$ in G_0^{\perp} yields continuous character $\gamma^{\flat}: \mathbf{G} \to \mathbb{T}$, by $\gamma^{\flat}({}^{\circ}x) = {}^{\circ}\gamma(x)$, for $x \in G_{\mathrm{f}}$:

Each
$$\gamma: G \to {}^*\mathbb{T}$$
 in G_0^{\downarrow} yields continuous character $\gamma^{\flat}: \mathbf{G} \to \mathbb{T}$, by $\gamma^{\flat}({}^{\circ}x) = {}^{\circ}\gamma(x)$, for $x \in G_{\mathrm{f}}:$

$$G \xleftarrow{}_{\mathrm{id}} G_{\mathrm{f}} \xrightarrow{}_{\circ} \mathbf{G}$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \gamma^{\flat}$$

$${}^*\mathbb{T} \xrightarrow{}_{\circ} {}^{*}\mathbb{T}/\approx \xleftarrow{\mathrm{id}} \mathbb{T}$$

Each
$$\gamma: G \to {}^*\mathbb{T}$$
 in G_0^{\downarrow} yields continuous character $\gamma^{\flat}: \mathbf{G} \to \mathbb{T}$, by $\gamma^{\flat}({}^{\circ}x) = {}^{\circ}\gamma(x)$, for $x \in G_{\mathrm{f}}:$

$$G \longleftarrow_{\mathrm{id}} G_{\mathrm{f}} \longrightarrow_{\circ} \mathbf{G}$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \gamma^{\flat}$$

$${}^*\mathbb{T} \longrightarrow_{\circ} {}^*\mathbb{T}/\approx \longleftarrow_{\mathrm{id}} \mathbb{T}$$

• $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{\flat}$ is group homomorphism $G_0^{\lambda} \to \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$

Each $\gamma: G \to {}^*\mathbb{T}$ in G_0^{λ} yields continuous character $\gamma^{\flat}: \mathbf{G} \to \mathbb{T}$, by $\gamma^{\flat}({}^{\circ}x) = {}^{\circ}\gamma(x)$, for $x \in G_{\mathrm{f}}:$

$$G \xleftarrow{\operatorname{id}} G_{\mathrm{f}} \xrightarrow{\circ} \mathbf{G}$$

$$\uparrow \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{\gamma^{\flat}}$$

$$*\mathbb{T} \xrightarrow{\circ} *\mathbb{T}/\approx \xleftarrow{\operatorname{id}} \mathbb{T}$$

- $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{\flat}$ is group homomorphism $G_0^{\lambda} \to \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$
- with image $\left\{\gamma^{\flat}; \ \gamma \in G_0^{\downarrow}\right\}$ and kernel $G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\downarrow}$

Each $\gamma: G \to {}^*\mathbb{T}$ in G_0^{λ} yields continuous character $\gamma^{\flat}: \mathbf{G} \to \mathbb{T}$, by $\gamma^{\flat}({}^{\circ}x) = {}^{\circ}\gamma(x)$, for $x \in G_{\mathbf{f}}:$

$$G \xleftarrow{\operatorname{id}} G_{\mathrm{f}} \xrightarrow{\circ} \mathbf{G}$$

$$\uparrow \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \gamma^{\flat}$$

$$*\mathbb{T} \xrightarrow{\circ} *\mathbb{T}/\approx \xleftarrow{\operatorname{id}} \mathbb{T}$$

- $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{\flat}$ is group homomorphism $G_0^{\lambda} \to \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$
- with image $\left\{\gamma^{\flat}; \ \gamma \in G_0^{\downarrow}\right\}$ and kernel $G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\downarrow}$
- it induces injective homomorphism $G_0^{\downarrow}/G_{\rm f}^{\downarrow} \to \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$

Each $\gamma: G \to {}^*\mathbb{T}$ in G_0^{\downarrow} yields continuous character $\gamma^{\flat}: \mathbf{G} \to \mathbb{T}$, by $\gamma^{\flat}({}^{\circ}x) = {}^{\circ}\gamma(x)$, for $x \in G_{\mathrm{f}}:$

$$G \xleftarrow{\operatorname{id}} G_{\mathrm{f}} \xrightarrow{\circ} \mathbf{G}$$

$$\uparrow \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \gamma^{\flat}$$

$$*\mathbb{T} \xrightarrow{\circ} *\mathbb{T}/\approx \xleftarrow{\operatorname{id}} \mathbb{T}$$

- $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{\flat}$ is group homomorphism $G_0^{\lambda} \to \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$
- with image $\{\gamma^{\flat}; \ \gamma \in G_0^{\downarrow}\}$ and kernel G_f^{\downarrow}
- it induces injective homomorphism $G_0^{\downarrow}/G_{\rm f}^{\downarrow} \to \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$
- this canonic mapping is isomorphism of $\widehat{G}^{\flat} = G_0^{\downarrow}/G_{\rm f}^{\downarrow}$ onto closed subgroup of $\widehat{\mathbf{G}} = \widehat{G^{\flat}} = \widehat{G_{\rm f}/G_0}$

Each $\gamma: G \to {}^*\mathbb{T}$ in G_0^{\downarrow} yields continuous character $\gamma^{\flat}: \mathbf{G} \to \mathbb{T}$, by $\gamma^{\flat}({}^{\circ}x) = {}^{\circ}\gamma(x)$, for $x \in G_{\mathrm{f}}:$

$$G \xleftarrow{\operatorname{id}} G_{\mathrm{f}} \xrightarrow{\circ} \mathbf{G}$$

$$\uparrow \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \gamma^{\flat}$$

$$*\mathbb{T} \xrightarrow{\circ} *\mathbb{T}/\approx \xleftarrow{\operatorname{id}} \mathbb{T}$$

- $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{\flat}$ is group homomorphism $G_0^{\lambda} \to \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$
- with image $\{\gamma^{\flat}; \ \gamma \in G_0^{\downarrow}\}$ and kernel G_f^{\downarrow}
- it induces injective homomorphism $G_0^{\downarrow}/G_{\rm f}^{\downarrow} \to \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$
- this canonic mapping is isomorphism of $\widehat{G}^{\flat} = G_0^{\downarrow}/G_{\rm f}^{\downarrow}$ onto closed subgroup of $\widehat{\mathbf{G}} = \widehat{G^{\flat}} = \widehat{G_{\rm f}/G_0}$
- non-S-continuous internal characters $\gamma \in \widehat{G} \setminus G_0^{\perp}$ correspond neither to non-continuous characters of \mathbf{G} , nor even to mappings $\mathbf{G} \to \mathbb{T}$

Gordon's Conjecture 1 (GC1):

Gordon's Conjecture 1 (GC1):

The canonic mapping $G_0^{\lambda}/G_{\rm f}^{\lambda} \to \widehat{G_{\rm f}/G_0}$ is isomorphism of topological groups.

Gordon's Conjecture 1 (GC1):

The canonic mapping $G_0^{\perp}/G_{\rm f}^{\perp} \to \widehat{G_{\rm f}}/\widehat{G_0}$ is isomorphism of topological groups.

• enough to show that $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{\flat}$ is onto, i.e., every character $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ is of form $\gamma = \gamma^{\flat}$ for some $\gamma \in G_0^{\downarrow}$

Gordon's Conjecture 1 (GC1):

The canonic mapping $G_0^{\perp}/G_{\rm f}^{\perp} \to \widehat{G}_{\rm f}/\widehat{G}_0$ is isomorphism of topological groups.

- enough to show that $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{\flat}$ is onto, i.e., every character $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ is of form $\gamma = \gamma^{\flat}$ for some $\gamma \in G_0^{\lambda}$
- by PvK duality this is equivalent to $G_0^{\lambda,\lambda} \cap G_f = G_0$, i.e., characters in $\{\gamma^{\flat}; \ \gamma \in G_0^{\lambda}\}$ separate points in **G** (density follows)

Gordon's Conjecture 1 (GC1):

The canonic mapping $G_0^{\perp}/G_{\rm f}^{\perp} \to \widehat{G_{\rm f}}/\widehat{G_0}$ is isomorphism of topological groups.

- enough to show that $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{\flat}$ is onto, i.e., every character $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ is of form $\gamma = \gamma^{\flat}$ for some $\gamma \in G_0^{\downarrow}$
- by PvK duality this is equivalent to $G_0^{\lambda\lambda} \cap G_f = G_0$, i.e., characters in $\{\gamma^{\flat}; \ \gamma \in G_0^{\lambda}\}$ separate points in **G** (density follows)
- I proved a bit more [PZ, June–July 2012]: $G_0^{\lambda\lambda} = G_0$

Gordon's Conjecture 1 (GC1):

The canonic mapping $G_0^{\perp}/G_{\rm f}^{\perp} \to \widehat{G_{\rm f}}/\widehat{G_0}$ is isomorphism of topological groups.

- enough to show that $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{\flat}$ is onto, i.e., every character $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ is of form $\gamma = \gamma^{\flat}$ for some $\gamma \in G_0^{\downarrow}$
- by PvK duality this is equivalent to $G_0^{\lambda\lambda} \cap G_f = G_0$, i.e., characters in $\{\gamma^{\flat}; \ \gamma \in G_0^{\lambda}\}$ separate points in **G** (density follows)
- I proved a bit more [PZ, June–July 2012]: $G_0^{\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow} = G_0$
- $G_{\mathbf{f}}^{\lambda\lambda} = G_{\mathbf{f}} + G_{\mathbf{0}}^{\lambda\lambda} = G_{\mathbf{f}}$, i.e., the dual triplet of $(\widehat{G}, G_{\mathbf{f}}^{\lambda}, G_{\mathbf{0}}^{\lambda})$ is $(G, G_{\mathbf{0}}, G_{\mathbf{f}})$

Gordon's Conjecture 1 (GC1):

The canonic mapping $G_0^{\perp}/G_{\rm f}^{\perp} \to \widehat{G}_{\rm f}/\widehat{G}_0$ is isomorphism of topological groups.

- enough to show that $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{\flat}$ is onto, i.e., every character $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ is of form $\gamma = \gamma^{\flat}$ for some $\gamma \in G_0^{\downarrow}$
- by PvK duality this is equivalent to $G_0^{\lambda,\lambda} \cap G_f = G_0$, i.e., characters in $\{\gamma^{\flat}; \ \gamma \in G_0^{\lambda}\}$ separate points in **G** (density follows)
- I proved a bit more [PZ, June–July 2012]: $G_0^{\downarrow\downarrow} = G_0$
- $G_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathsf{LL}} = G_{\mathbf{f}} + G_{\mathbf{0}}^{\mathsf{LLL}} = G_{\mathbf{f}}$, i.e., the dual triplet of $(\widehat{G}, G_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathsf{LL}}, G_{\mathbf{0}}^{\mathsf{LL}})$ is $(G, G_{\mathbf{0}}, G_{\mathbf{f}})$
- methods: NSA + Harmonic An. + Additive Combinatorics (G. Freiman, B. Green, I. Ruzsa, T. Tao, V. Vu, ...): analysis of Bohr sets and **spectral sets** $S_t(f) = \left\{ \gamma \in \widehat{G}; \ |\widehat{f}(\gamma)| \ge t \|f\|_1 \right\} \quad (f \in \mathbb{C}^G, {}^*\mathbb{C}^G, t \in [0, 1])$

There are proper nontrivial subgroups $H \leq G$ s.t. $H^{\downarrow} = \{1_G\}$ (trivial character), hence $H^{\downarrow,\downarrow} = G$.

There are proper nontrivial subgroups $H \leq G$ s.t. $H^{\downarrow} = \{1_G\}$ (trivial character), hence $H^{\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow} = G$.

The equality $G_0^{\downarrow\downarrow} = G_0$, in fact the inclusion $G_0^{\downarrow\downarrow} \subseteq G_0$, is equivalent, in standard terms, to the following thm.

There are proper nontrivial subgroups $H \leq G$ s.t. $H^{\downarrow} = \{1_G\}$ (trivial character), hence $H^{\downarrow,\downarrow} = G$.

The equality $G_0^{\downarrow\downarrow} = G_0$, in fact the inclusion $G_0^{\downarrow\downarrow} \subseteq G_0$, is equivalent, in standard terms, to the following thm.

Let $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 2\pi/3)$ and $\mathbf{q} = (q_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$, $q_j \ge 1$ be sequence in \mathbb{R} . Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$, depending just on α, β and \mathbf{q} , s.t.:

There are proper nontrivial subgroups $H \leq G$ s.t. $H^{\downarrow} = \{1_G\}$ (trivial character), hence $H^{\downarrow,\downarrow} = G$.

The equality $G_0^{\downarrow\downarrow} = G_0$, in fact the inclusion $G_0^{\downarrow\downarrow} \subseteq G_0$, is equivalent, in standard terms, to the following thm.

Let $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 2\pi/3)$ and $\mathbf{q} = (q_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}, q_j \geq 1$ be sequence in \mathbb{R} . Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$, depending just on α, β and \mathbf{q} , s.t.:

If G is finite abelian group and $0 \in A_n \subseteq ... \subseteq A_1 \subseteq A_0 \subseteq G$ are symmetric sets s.t., for $1 \le j \le n$,

There are proper nontrivial subgroups $H \leq G$ s.t. $H^{\downarrow} = \{1_G\}$ (trivial character), hence $H^{\downarrow,\downarrow} = G$.

The equality $G_0^{\downarrow\downarrow} = G_0$, in fact the inclusion $G_0^{\downarrow\downarrow} \subseteq G_0$, is equivalent, in standard terms, to the following thm.

Let $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 2\pi/3)$ and $\mathbf{q} = (q_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}, q_j \geq 1$ be sequence in \mathbb{R} . Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$, depending just on α, β and \mathbf{q} , s.t.:

If G is finite abelian group and $0 \in A_n \subseteq ... \subseteq A_1 \subseteq A_0 \subseteq G$ are symmetric sets s.t., for $1 \le j \le n$,

$$A_j + A_j \subseteq A_{j-1}$$
 and $\frac{|A_{j-1}|}{|A_j|} \le q_j$

There are proper nontrivial subgroups $H \leq G$ s.t. $H^{\downarrow} = \{1_G\}$ (trivial character), hence $H^{\downarrow,\downarrow} = G$.

The equality $G_0^{\downarrow\downarrow} = G_0$, in fact the inclusion $G_0^{\downarrow\downarrow} \subseteq G_0$, is equivalent, in standard terms, to the following thm.

Let $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 2\pi/3)$ and $\mathbf{q} = (q_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}, q_j \geq 1$ be sequence in \mathbb{R} . Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$, depending just on α, β and \mathbf{q} , s.t.:

If G is finite abelian group and $0 \in A_n \subseteq ... \subseteq A_1 \subseteq A_0 \subseteq G$ are symmetric sets s.t., for $1 \le j \le n$,

$$A_j + A_j \subseteq A_{j-1}$$
 and $\frac{|A_{j-1}|}{|A_j|} \le q_j$

then $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{B}_{\beta}(A_n)) \subseteq A_0$.

There are proper nontrivial subgroups $H \leq G$ s.t. $H^{\downarrow} = \{1_G\}$ (trivial character), hence $H^{\downarrow,\downarrow} = G$.

The equality $G_0^{\lambda\lambda} = G_0$, in fact the inclusion $G_0^{\lambda\lambda} \subseteq G_0$, is equivalent, in standard terms, to the following thm.

Let $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 2\pi/3)$ and $\mathbf{q} = (q_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}, q_j \geq 1$ be sequence in \mathbb{R} . Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$, depending just on α, β and \mathbf{q} , s.t.:

If G is finite abelian group and $0 \in A_n \subseteq ... \subseteq A_1 \subseteq A_0 \subseteq G$ are symmetric sets s.t., for $1 \le j \le n$,

$$A_j + A_j \subseteq A_{j-1}$$
 and $\frac{|A_{j-1}|}{|A_j|} \le q_j$

then $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{B}_{\beta}(A_n)) \subseteq A_0$.

• by PvK duality: $\forall \alpha, \beta \ \forall \mathbf{q} \ \forall G \ \forall (A_i) \ \exists n : \ldots \Rightarrow \ldots$

There are proper nontrivial subgroups $H \leq G$ s.t. $H^{\downarrow} = \{1_G\}$ (trivial character), hence $H^{\downarrow,\downarrow} = G$.

The equality $G_0^{\downarrow\downarrow} = G_0$, in fact the inclusion $G_0^{\downarrow\downarrow} \subseteq G_0$, is equivalent, in standard terms, to the following thm.

Let $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 2\pi/3)$ and $\mathbf{q} = (q_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}, q_j \geq 1$ be sequence in \mathbb{R} . Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$, depending just on α, β and \mathbf{q} , s.t.:

If G is finite abelian group and $0 \in A_n \subseteq ... \subseteq A_1 \subseteq A_0 \subseteq G$ are symmetric sets s.t., for $1 \le j \le n$,

$$A_j + A_j \subseteq A_{j-1}$$
 and $\frac{|A_{j-1}|}{|A_j|} \le q_j$

then $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{B}_{\beta}(A_n)) \subseteq A_0$.

- by PvK duality: $\forall \alpha, \beta \ \forall \mathbf{q} \ \forall G \ \forall (A_j) \ \exists n : \ldots \Rightarrow \ldots$
- gained uniformity: $\forall \alpha, \beta \ \forall \mathbf{q} \ \exists n \ \forall G \ \forall (A_i) : \ldots \Rightarrow \ldots$

There are proper nontrivial subgroups $H \leq G$ s.t. $H^{\downarrow} = \{1_G\}$ (trivial character), hence $H^{\downarrow,\downarrow} = G$.

The equality $G_0^{\downarrow\downarrow} = G_0$, in fact the inclusion $G_0^{\downarrow\downarrow} \subseteq G_0$, is equivalent, in standard terms, to the following thm.

Let $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 2\pi/3)$ and $\mathbf{q} = (q_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}, q_j \geq 1$ be sequence in \mathbb{R} . Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$, depending just on α, β and \mathbf{q} , s.t.:

If G is finite abelian group and $0 \in A_n \subseteq ... \subseteq A_1 \subseteq A_0 \subseteq G$ are symmetric sets s.t., for $1 \le j \le n$,

$$A_j + A_j \subseteq A_{j-1}$$
 and $\frac{|A_{j-1}|}{|A_j|} \le q_j$

then $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{B}_{\beta}(A_n)) \subseteq A_0$.

- by PvK duality: $\forall \alpha, \beta \ \forall \mathbf{q} \ \forall G \ \forall (A_j) \ \exists n : \ldots \Rightarrow \ldots$
- gained uniformity: $\forall \alpha, \beta \ \forall \mathbf{q} \ \exists n \ \forall G \ \forall (A_i) : \ldots \Rightarrow \ldots$
- no estimate for $n = n(\alpha, \beta, \mathbf{q})$

Surjectivity of canonic mapping $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{\flat} : G_0^{\lambda}/G_f^{\lambda} \to \widehat{G_f/G_0}$ is equivalent, in standard terms, to the following **stability** thm.

Surjectivity of canonic mapping $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{\flat} : G_0^{\downarrow}/G_f^{\downarrow} \to \widehat{G_f/G_0}$ is equivalent, in standard terms, to the following **stability** thm.

Let $\alpha, \varepsilon \in (0, 2\pi/3)$, $k \ge 1$ and $\mathbf{q} = (q_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$, $q_j \ge 1$. There exist $m \ge 1$, $n \ge k$ and $\delta > 0$, depending just on α, ε, k and \mathbf{q} , s.t.:

Surjectivity of canonic mapping $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{\flat} : G_0^{\lambda}/G_f^{\lambda} \to \widehat{G_f/G_0}$ is equivalent, in standard terms, to the following **stability** thm.

Let $\alpha, \varepsilon \in (0, 2\pi/3)$, $k \ge 1$ and $\mathbf{q} = (q_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$, $q_j \ge 1$. There exist $m \ge 1$, $n \ge k$ and $\delta > 0$, depending just on α, ε, k and \mathbf{q} , s.t.:

If G is finite abelian group and $0 \in A_n \subseteq ... \subseteq A_1 \subseteq A_0 \subseteq G$ are symmetric sets s.t., for $1 \le j \le n$,

Surjectivity of canonic mapping $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{\flat} : G_0^{\lambda}/G_f^{\lambda} \to \widehat{G_f/G_0}$ is equivalent, in standard terms, to the following **stability** thm.

Let $\alpha, \varepsilon \in (0, 2\pi/3)$, $k \ge 1$ and $\mathbf{q} = (q_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$, $q_j \ge 1$. There exist $m \ge 1$, $n \ge k$ and $\delta > 0$, depending just on α, ε, k and \mathbf{q} , s.t.:

If G is finite abelian group and $0 \in A_n \subseteq ... \subseteq A_1 \subseteq A_0 \subseteq G$ are symmetric sets s.t., for $1 \le j \le n$,

$$A_j + A_j \subseteq A_{j-1}$$
 and $\frac{|A_{j-1}|}{|A_j|} \le q_j$

Surjectivity of canonic mapping $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{\flat} : G_0^{\lambda}/G_f^{\lambda} \to \widehat{G_f/G_0}$ is equivalent, in standard terms, to the following **stability** thm.

Let $\alpha, \varepsilon \in (0, 2\pi/3)$, $k \ge 1$ and $\mathbf{q} = (q_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$, $q_j \ge 1$. There exist $m \ge 1$, $n \ge k$ and $\delta > 0$, depending just on α, ε, k and \mathbf{q} , s.t.:

If G is finite abelian group and $0 \in A_n \subseteq ... \subseteq A_1 \subseteq A_0 \subseteq G$ are symmetric sets s.t., for $1 \le j \le n$,

$$A_j + A_j \subseteq A_{j-1}$$
 and $\frac{|A_{j-1}|}{|A_i|} \le q_j$

then for every partial δ -homomorphism $g: mA_0 \to \mathbb{T}$, s.t. $|\arg g(x)| \le \alpha$ for $x \in A_k$, there exists genuine homomorphism $\gamma: G \to \mathbb{T}$ s.t., for each $x \in A_0$,

Surjectivity of canonic mapping $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{\flat} : G_0^{\lambda}/G_f^{\lambda} \to \widehat{G_f/G_0}$ is equivalent, in standard terms, to the following **stability** thm.

Let $\alpha, \varepsilon \in (0, 2\pi/3)$, $k \ge 1$ and $\mathbf{q} = (q_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$, $q_j \ge 1$. There exist $m \ge 1$, $n \ge k$ and $\delta > 0$, depending just on α, ε, k and \mathbf{q} , s.t.:

If G is finite abelian group and $0 \in A_n \subseteq ... \subseteq A_1 \subseteq A_0 \subseteq G$ are symmetric sets s.t., for $1 \le j \le n$,

$$A_j + A_j \subseteq A_{j-1}$$
 and $\frac{|A_{j-1}|}{|A_j|} \le q_j$

then for every partial δ -homomorphism $g: mA_0 \to \mathbb{T}$, s.t. $|\arg g(x)| \le \alpha$ for $x \in A_k$, there exists genuine homomorphism $\gamma: G \to \mathbb{T}$ s.t., for each $x \in A_0$,

$$\left|\arg\frac{\gamma(x)}{g(x)}\right| \le \varepsilon$$
.

Surjectivity of canonic mapping $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{\flat} : G_0^{\lambda}/G_f^{\lambda} \to \widehat{G_f/G_0}$ is equivalent, in standard terms, to the following **stability** thm.

Let $\alpha, \varepsilon \in (0, 2\pi/3)$, $k \ge 1$ and $\mathbf{q} = (q_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$, $q_j \ge 1$. There exist m > 1, n > k and $\delta > 0$, depending just on α, ε, k and \mathbf{q} , s.t.:

If G is finite abelian group and $0 \in A_n \subseteq ... \subseteq A_1 \subseteq A_0 \subseteq G$ are symmetric sets s.t., for $1 \le j \le n$,

$$A_j + A_j \subseteq A_{j-1}$$
 and $\frac{|A_{j-1}|}{|A_j|} \le q_j$

then for every partial δ -homomorphism $g: mA_0 \to \mathbb{T}$, s.t. $|\arg g(x)| \le \alpha$ for $x \in A_k$, there exists genuine homomorphism $\gamma: G \to \mathbb{T}$ s.t., for each $x \in A_0$,

$$\left|\arg\frac{\gamma(x)}{g(x)}\right| \le \varepsilon$$
.

"partial δ -homomorphism" $g: A \to \mathbb{T}$ means:

$$\forall x, y \in A : x + y \in A \Rightarrow \left| \arg \left(g(x+y)/g(x) g(y) \right) \right| \le \delta$$

Haar measure on $\mathbf{G} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ is given as $\mathbf{m}_G = \mathbf{m}_d$ for normalizing multiplier d s.t. $d |A| \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R}$ for some (each) internal $A, G_0 \subseteq A \subseteq G_{\mathrm{f}}$.

Haar measure on $\mathbf{G} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ is given as $\mathbf{m}_G = \mathbf{m}_d$ for normalizing multiplier d s.t. $d |A| \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R}$ for some (each) internal $A, G_0 \subseteq A \subseteq G_{\mathrm{f}}$.

Haar measure on $\widehat{\mathbf{G}} = G_0^{\lambda}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\lambda}$ is given as $\boldsymbol{m}_{\widehat{G}} = \boldsymbol{m}_{\widehat{d}}$ for normalizing multiplier \widehat{d} s.t. $\widehat{d} \mid \mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(A) \mid \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R}$ for some (each) internal $A, G_0 \subseteq A \subseteq G_{\mathrm{f}}, \alpha \in (0, 2\pi/3)$.

Haar measure on $\mathbf{G} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ is given as $\mathbf{m}_G = \mathbf{m}_d$ for normalizing multiplier d s.t. $d |A| \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R}$ for some (each) internal $A, G_0 \subseteq A \subseteq G_{\mathrm{f}}$.

Haar measure on $\widehat{\mathbf{G}} = G_0^{\downarrow}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\downarrow}$ is given as $\boldsymbol{m}_{\widehat{G}} = \boldsymbol{m}_{\widehat{d}}$ for normalizing multiplier \widehat{d} s.t. $\widehat{d} \mid \mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(A) \mid \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R}$ for some (each) internal $A, G_0 \subseteq A \subseteq G_{\mathrm{f}}, \alpha \in (0, 2\pi/3)$.

Can we have Plancherel identity and Fourier inversion formula, i.e., $d \, \hat{d} \, |G| = 1$, with such normalizing multipliers?

Haar measure on $\mathbf{G} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ is given as $\mathbf{m}_G = \mathbf{m}_d$ for normalizing multiplier d s.t. $d |A| \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R}$ for some (each) internal $A, G_0 \subseteq A \subseteq G_{\mathrm{f}}$.

Haar measure on $\widehat{\mathbf{G}} = G_0^{\downarrow}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\downarrow}$ is given as $\boldsymbol{m}_{\widehat{G}} = \boldsymbol{m}_{\widehat{d}}$ for normalizing multiplier \widehat{d} s.t. $\widehat{d} \mid \mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(A) \mid \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R}$ for some (each) internal $A, G_0 \subseteq A \subseteq G_{\mathrm{f}}, \alpha \in (0, 2\pi/3)$.

Can we have Plancherel identity and Fourier inversion formula, i.e., $d\hat{d} |G| = 1$, with such normalizing multipliers?

Gordon's Conjecture 2 (GC2):

Haar measure on $\mathbf{G} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ is given as $\mathbf{m}_G = \mathbf{m}_d$ for normalizing multiplier d s.t. $d |A| \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R}$ for some (each) internal $A, G_0 \subseteq A \subseteq G_{\mathrm{f}}$.

Haar measure on $\widehat{\mathbf{G}} = G_0^{\downarrow}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\downarrow}$ is given as $\boldsymbol{m}_{\widehat{G}} = \boldsymbol{m}_{\widehat{d}}$ for normalizing multiplier \widehat{d} s.t. $\widehat{d} \mid \mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(A) \mid \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R}$ for some (each) internal $A, G_0 \subseteq A \subseteq G_{\mathrm{f}}, \alpha \in (0, 2\pi/3)$.

Can we have Plancherel identity and Fourier inversion formula, i.e., $d\hat{d} |G| = 1$, with such normalizing multipliers?

Gordon's Conjecture 2 (GC2):

If d is normalizing multiplier for the triplet (G, G_0, G_f) then $\hat{d} = (d | G|)^{-1}$ is normalizing multiplier for the dual triplet $(\widehat{G}, G_f^{\downarrow}, G_0^{\downarrow})$.

Haar measure on $\mathbf{G} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_0$ is given as $\mathbf{m}_G = \mathbf{m}_d$ for normalizing multiplier d s.t. $d |A| \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R}$ for some (each) internal $A, G_0 \subseteq A \subseteq G_{\mathrm{f}}$.

Haar measure on $\widehat{\mathbf{G}} = G_0^{\lambda}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\lambda}$ is given as $\boldsymbol{m}_{\widehat{G}} = \boldsymbol{m}_{\widehat{d}}$ for normalizing multiplier \widehat{d} s.t. $\widehat{d} |\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(A)| \in \mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R}$ for some (each) internal $A, G_0 \subseteq A \subseteq G_{\mathrm{f}}, \alpha \in (0, 2\pi/3)$.

Can we have Plancherel identity and Fourier inversion formula, i.e., $d \hat{d} |G| = 1$, with such normalizing multipliers?

Gordon's Conjecture 2 (GC2):

If d is normalizing multiplier for the triplet (G, G_0, G_f) then $\hat{d} = (d | G|)^{-1}$ is normalizing multiplier for the dual triplet $(\widehat{G}, G_f^{\downarrow}, G_0^{\downarrow})$. Equivalently, for internal $A, G_0 \subseteq A \subseteq G_f$, $\alpha \in (0, 2\pi/3)$,

$$\frac{|A|\,|\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}(A)|}{|G|}\in\mathbb{F}^*\mathbb{R}\setminus\mathbb{I}^*\mathbb{R}$$

Some accounts on the relation between Loeb measure and Haar measure show: $GC1 \Rightarrow GC2$

Some accounts on the relation between Loeb measure and Haar measure show: $GC1 \Rightarrow GC2$

I gave a more direct and clear proof of **GC2** by similar methods like those in **GC1**.

Recall, for $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, $1 \le p < \infty$, d normalizing multiplier:

Recall, for $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, $1 \le p < \infty$, d normalizing multiplier:

$$||f||_p = ||f||_{p,d} = \left(d \sum_{x \in G} |f(x)|^p\right)^{1/p}$$

Recall, for $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, $1 \le p < \infty$, d normalizing multiplier:

$$||f||_p = ||f||_{p,d} = \left(d \sum_{x \in G} |f(x)|^p\right)^{1/p}$$

 $||f||_{\infty} = \max_{x \in G} |f(x)|$

Recall, for $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, $1 \le p < \infty$, d normalizing multiplier:

$$||f||_p = ||f||_{p,d} = \left(d \sum_{x \in G} |f(x)|^p\right)^{1/p}$$

$$||f||_{\infty} = \max_{x \in G} |f(x)|$$

Similarly, for $\phi \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$, with normalizing multiplier $\widehat{d} = (d |G|)^{-1}$

Recall, for $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, $1 \le p < \infty$, d normalizing multiplier:

$$||f||_p = ||f||_{p,d} = \left(d \sum_{x \in G} |f(x)|^p\right)^{1/p}$$

$$||f||_{\infty} = \max_{x \in G} |f(x)|$$

Similarly, for $\phi \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$, with normalizing multiplier $\widehat{d} = (d |G|)^{-1}$

$$f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$$
 is called S^p -continuous if $||f_a - f||_p \approx 0$ for $a \in G_0$,

Recall, for $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, $1 \le p < \infty$, d normalizing multiplier:

$$||f||_p = ||f||_{p,d} = \left(d \sum_{x \in G} |f(x)|^p\right)^{1/p}$$

$$||f||_{\infty} = \max_{x \in G} |f(x)|$$

Similarly, for $\phi \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$, with normalizing multiplier $\widehat{d} = (d |G|)^{-1}$

 $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$ is called S^p -continuous if $||f_a - f||_p \approx 0$ for $a \in G_0$, where $f_a(x) = f(x+a)$ is the a-shift of f.

Recall, for $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, $1 \le p < \infty$, d normalizing multiplier:

$$||f||_p = ||f||_{p,d} = \left(d \sum_{x \in G} |f(x)|^p\right)^{1/p}$$

$$||f||_{\infty} = \max_{x \in G} |f(x)|$$

Similarly, for $\phi \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$, with normalizing multiplier $\widehat{d} = (d |G|)^{-1}$

 $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$ is called S^p -continuous if $||f_a - f||_p \approx 0$ for $a \in G_0$, where $f_a(x) = f(x+a)$ is the a-shift of f.

 $\phi \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$ is called S^p -continuous if $\|\phi_{\gamma} - \phi\|_p \approx 0$ for $\gamma \in G_{\mathbf{f}}^{\lambda}$,

Recall, for $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, $1 \le p < \infty$, d normalizing multiplier:

$$||f||_p = ||f||_{p,d} = \left(d \sum_{x \in G} |f(x)|^p\right)^{1/p}$$

$$||f||_{\infty} = \max_{x \in G} |f(x)|$$

Similarly, for $\phi \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$, with normalizing multiplier $\widehat{d} = (d |G|)^{-1}$

 $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$ is called S^p -continuous if $||f_a - f||_p \approx 0$ for $a \in G_0$, where $f_a(x) = f(x+a)$ is the a-shift of f.

 $\phi \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$ is called S^p -continuous if $\|\phi_{\gamma} - \phi\|_p \approx 0$ for $\gamma \in G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\lambda}$, where $\phi_{\gamma}(\chi) = \phi(\gamma \chi)$ is the γ -shift of ϕ .

Recall, for $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, $1 \le p < \infty$, d normalizing multiplier:

$$||f||_p = ||f||_{p,d} = \left(d \sum_{x \in G} |f(x)|^p\right)^{1/p}$$

$$||f||_{\infty} = \max_{x \in G} |f(x)|$$

Similarly, for $\phi \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$, with normalizing multiplier $\widehat{d} = (d |G|)^{-1}$

 $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$ is called S^p -continuous if $||f_a - f||_p \approx 0$ for $a \in G_0$, where $f_a(x) = f(x+a)$ is the a-shift of f.

 $\phi \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$ is called S^p -continuous if $\|\phi_{\gamma} - \phi\|_p \approx 0$ for $\gamma \in G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\lambda}$, where $\phi_{\gamma}(\chi) = \phi(\gamma \chi)$ is the γ -shift of ϕ .

• S^{∞} -continuous is just S-continuous

Recall:

$$\mathcal{C}_0(G, G_0, G_f) = \{ f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbf{G}) \}$$

Recall:

$$\mathcal{C}_0(G, G_0, G_f) = \{ f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in C_0(\mathbf{G}) \}$$

More generally, $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$ is **lifting** of $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{G} \to \mathbb{C}$ if

Recall:

$$C_0(G, G_0, G_f) = \{ f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in C_0(\mathbf{G}) \}$$

More generally, $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$ is **lifting** of $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{G} \to \mathbb{C}$ if ${}^\circ f(x) = \mathbf{f}({}^\circ x)$ a.e. w.r.t. Loeb measure λ_d on G_f

Recall:

$$\mathcal{C}_0(G, G_0, G_f) = \left\{ f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in C_0(\mathbf{G}) \right\}$$
More generally, $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$ is **lifting** of $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{G} \to \mathbb{C}$ if
$${}^\circ f(x) = \mathbf{f}({}^\circ x) \text{ a.e. w.r.t. Loeb measure } \lambda_d \text{ on } G_f$$
Denote
$$\mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_f) = \left\{ f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in L^p(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{m}_d) \right\}$$

Recall:

$$\mathcal{C}_0(G, G_0, G_f) = \left\{ f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in C_0(\mathbf{G}) \right\}$$
More generally, $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$ is **lifting** of $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{G} \to \mathbb{C}$ if
$${}^\circ f(x) = \mathbf{f}({}^\circ x) \text{ a.e. w.r.t. Loeb measure } \lambda_d \text{ on } G_f$$
Denote
$$\mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_f) = \left\{ f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in L^p(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{m}_d) \right\}$$

Characterization of liftings.

Recall:

$$\mathcal{C}_0(G, G_0, G_f) = \left\{ f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in C_0(\mathbf{G}) \right\}$$
More generally, $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$ is **lifting** of $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{G} \to \mathbb{C}$ if
$${}^\circ f(x) = \mathbf{f}({}^\circ x) \text{ a.e. w.r.t. Loeb measure } \lambda_d \text{ on } G_f$$
Denote
$$\mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_f) = \left\{ f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in L^p(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{m}_d) \right\}$$

Characterization of liftings.

Let
$$f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$$
. Then $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_f)$ iff

Recall:

$$\mathcal{C}_0(G, G_0, G_f) = \left\{ f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in C_0(\mathbf{G}) \right\}$$
More generally, $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$ is **lifting** of $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{G} \to \mathbb{C}$ if
$${}^\circ f(x) = \mathbf{f}({}^\circ x) \text{ a.e. w.r.t. Loeb measure } \lambda_d \text{ on } G_f$$
Denote
$$\mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_f) = \left\{ f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in L^p(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{m}_d) \right\}$$

Characterization of liftings.

Let
$$f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$$
. Then $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_f)$ iff $\|f\|_p < \infty$

Recall:

$$\mathcal{C}_0(G, G_0, G_f) = \left\{ f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in C_0(\mathbf{G}) \right\}$$
More generally, $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$ is **lifting** of $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{G} \to \mathbb{C}$ if
$${}^{\circ}f(x) = \mathbf{f}({}^{\circ}x) \text{ a.e. w.r.t. Loeb measure } \lambda_d \text{ on } G_f$$
Denote
$$\mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_f) = \left\{ f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in L^p(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{m}_d) \right\}$$

Characterization of liftings.

Let $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$. Then $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_f)$ iff

- $||f||_p < \infty$
- $d \sum_{z \in Z} |f(z)|^p \approx 0$ for internal $Z \subseteq G \setminus G_f$

Recall:

$$\mathcal{C}_0(G, G_0, G_f) = \left\{ f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in C_0(\mathbf{G}) \right\}$$
More generally, $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$ is **lifting** of $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{G} \to \mathbb{C}$ if
$${}^\circ f(x) = \mathbf{f}({}^\circ x) \text{ a.e. w.r.t. Loeb measure } \lambda_d \text{ on } G_f$$
Denote
$$\mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_f) = \left\{ f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G; \ f \text{ lifts some } \mathbf{f} \in L^p(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{m}_d) \right\}$$

Characterization of liftings.

Let $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$. Then $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_f)$ iff

- $||f||_p < \infty$
- $d \sum_{z \in Z} |f(z)|^p \approx 0$ for internal $Z \subseteq G \setminus G_f$
- f is S^p -continuous, i.e., $\forall a \in G_0 : ||f_a f||_p \approx 0$



Liftings of complex measures. [M. Ziman & PZ 2006]

•
$$||g||_1 = d \sum_{x \in G} |g(x)| < \infty$$

- $||g||_1 = d \sum_{x \in G} |g(x)| < \infty$
- $d \sum_{z \in Z} |g(z)| \approx 0$ for internal $Z \subseteq G \setminus G_f$

- $||g||_1 = d \sum_{x \in G} |g(x)| < \infty$
- $d \sum_{z \in Z} |g(z)| \approx 0$ for internal $Z \subseteq G \setminus G_f$

Liftings of complex measures. [M. Ziman & PZ 2006] $\mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_f)$ consist of all internal functions $g: G \to {}^*\mathbb{C}$ s.t.

- $||g||_1 = d \sum_{x \in G} |g(x)| < \infty$
- $d \sum_{z \in Z} |g(z)| \approx 0$ for internal $Z \subseteq G \setminus G_f$

Each $g \in \mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_f)$ represents a complex-valued regular Borel measure with finite variation $\mu \in M(\mathbf{G})$

Liftings of complex measures. [M. Ziman & PZ 2006] $\mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_f)$ consist of all internal functions $g: G \to {}^*\mathbb{C}$ s.t.

- $||g||_1 = d \sum_{x \in G} |g(x)| < \infty$
- $d \sum_{z \in Z} |g(z)| \approx 0$ for internal $Z \subseteq G \setminus G_f$

Each $g \in \mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_f)$ represents a complex-valued regular Borel measure with finite variation $\mu \in M(\mathbf{G})$

•
$$\|\mu\| \approx \|g\|_1 < \infty$$
 (variation of μ)

Liftings of complex measures. [M. Ziman & PZ 2006]

 $\mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_f)$ consist of all internal functions $g: G \to {}^*\mathbb{C}$ s.t.

- $||g||_1 = d \sum_{x \in G} |g(x)| < \infty$
- $d \sum_{z \in Z} |g(z)| \approx 0$ for internal $Z \subseteq G \setminus G_f$

Each $g \in \mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_f)$ represents a complex-valued regular Borel measure with finite variation $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{G})$

- $\|\mu\| \approx \|g\|_1 < \infty$ (variation of μ)
- $\int \mathbf{f} d\mu \approx d \sum_{x \in G} f(x) g(x)$ for $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(G, G_0, G_f)$, $\mathbf{f} = f^{\flat} \in C_0(\mathbf{G})$ (Riesz rep. thm. + Radon-Nikodym thm. " $d\mu \approx g d(x)$ ")

Liftings of complex measures. [M. Ziman & PZ 2006]

 $\mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_f)$ consist of all internal functions $g: G \to {}^*\mathbb{C}$ s.t.

- $||g||_1 = d \sum_{x \in G} |g(x)| < \infty$
- $d \sum_{z \in Z} |g(z)| \approx 0$ for internal $Z \subseteq G \setminus G_f$

Each $g \in \mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_f)$ represents a complex-valued regular Borel measure with finite variation $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{G})$

- $\|\mu\| \approx \|g\|_1 < \infty$ (variation of μ)
- $\int \mathbf{f} d\mu \approx d \sum_{x \in G} f(x) g(x)$ for $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(G, G_0, G_f)$, $\mathbf{f} = f^{\flat} \in C_0(\mathbf{G})$ (Riesz rep. thm. + Radon-Nikodym thm. " $d\mu \approx g d(x)$ ")

Liftings of complex measures. [M. Ziman & PZ 2006]

 $\mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_f)$ consist of all internal functions $g: G \to {}^*\mathbb{C}$ s.t.

- $||g||_1 = d \sum_{x \in G} |g(x)| < \infty$
- $d \sum_{z \in Z} |g(z)| \approx 0$ for internal $Z \subseteq G \setminus G_f$

Each $g \in \mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_f)$ represents a complex-valued regular Borel measure with finite variation $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{G})$

- $\|\mu\| \approx \|g\|_1 < \infty$ (variation of μ)
- $\int \mathbf{f} d\mu \approx d \sum_{x \in G} f(x) g(x)$ for $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(G, G_0, G_f)$, $\mathbf{f} = f^{\flat} \in C_0(\mathbf{G})$ (Riesz rep. thm. + Radon-Nikodym thm. " $d\mu \approx g d(x)$ ")

Every regular complex-valued Borel measure with finite variation $\mu \in M(\mathbf{G})$ is obtained in this way from some $q \in \mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_f)$;

Liftings of complex measures. [M. Ziman & PZ 2006]

 $\mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_f)$ consist of all internal functions $g: G \to {}^*\mathbb{C}$ s.t.

- $||g||_1 = d \sum_{x \in G} |g(x)| < \infty$
- $d \sum_{z \in Z} |g(z)| \approx 0$ for internal $Z \subseteq G \setminus G_f$

Each $g \in \mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_f)$ represents a complex-valued regular Borel measure with finite variation $\mu \in M(\mathbf{G})$

- $\|\mu\| \approx \|g\|_1 < \infty$ (variation of μ)
- $\int \mathbf{f} d\mu \approx d \sum_{x \in G} f(x) g(x)$ for $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(G, G_0, G_f)$, $\mathbf{f} = f^{\flat} \in C_0(\mathbf{G})$ (Riesz rep. thm. + Radon-Nikodym thm. " $d\mu \approx g d(x)$ ")

Every regular complex-valued Borel measure with finite variation $\mu \in M(\mathbf{G})$ is obtained in this way from some $g \in \mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_f)$; g is called **lifting** of μ .

$$\mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_f) = \left\{ f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G; \ f^p \in \mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_f) \ \& \ f \text{ is } S^p\text{-continuous} \right\}$$

• the linear mapping $\mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_f) \to M(\mathbf{G})$ extends the linear map $\mathcal{L}^1(G, G_0, G_f) \to L^1(\mathbf{G})$

$$\mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_f) = \left\{ f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G; \ f^p \in \mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_f) \ \& \ f \ \text{is} \ S^p\text{-continuous} \right\}$$

- the linear mapping $\mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_f) \to M(\mathbf{G})$ extends the linear map $\mathcal{L}^1(G, G_0, G_f) \to L^1(\mathbf{G})$
- both preserve convolution, i.e., they are homomorphisms of linear algebras

$$\mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_f) = \left\{ f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G; \ f^p \in \mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_f) \ \& \ f \text{ is } S^p\text{-continuous} \right\}$$

- the linear mapping $\mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_f) \to \mathrm{M}(\mathbf{G})$ extends the linear map $\mathcal{L}^1(G, G_0, G_f) \to \mathrm{L}^1(\mathbf{G})$
- both preserve convolution, i.e., they are homomorphisms of linear algebras
- they induce isomorphisms $\mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_f)/\mathcal{M}_0 \cong M(\mathbf{G})$ and $\mathcal{L}^1(G, G_0, G_f)/\mathcal{L}_0^1 \cong L^1(\mathbf{G})$ of linear algebras

$$\mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_f) = \left\{ f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G; \ f^p \in \mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_f) \ \& \ f \text{ is } S^p\text{-continuous} \right\}$$

- the linear mapping $\mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_f) \to M(\mathbf{G})$ extends the linear map $\mathcal{L}^1(G, G_0, G_f) \to L^1(\mathbf{G})$
- both preserve convolution, i.e., they are homomorphisms of linear algebras
- they induce isomorphisms $\mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_f)/\mathcal{M}_0 \cong M(\mathbf{G})$ and $\mathcal{L}^1(G, G_0, G_f)/\mathcal{L}_0^1 \cong L^1(\mathbf{G})$ of linear algebras
- $\mathcal{L}_0^1 = \mathcal{L}_0^1(G, G_0, G_f) = \{ f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G; \|f\|_1 \approx 0 \}$

$$\mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_f) = \left\{ f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G; \ f^p \in \mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_f) \ \& \ f \ \text{is} \ S^p\text{-continuous} \right\}$$

- the linear mapping $\mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_f) \to \mathrm{M}(\mathbf{G})$ extends the linear map $\mathcal{L}^1(G, G_0, G_f) \to \mathrm{L}^1(\mathbf{G})$
- both preserve convolution, i.e., they are homomorphisms of linear algebras
- they induce isomorphisms $\mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_f)/\mathcal{M}_0 \cong M(\mathbf{G})$ and $\mathcal{L}^1(G, G_0, G_f)/\mathcal{L}_0^1 \cong L^1(\mathbf{G})$ of linear algebras
- $\mathcal{L}_0^1 = \mathcal{L}_0^1(G, G_0, G_f) = \{ f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G; \|f\|_1 \approx 0 \}$
- $\mathcal{M}_0 = \mathcal{M}_0(G, G_0, G_f) = \{g \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G; \ \forall f \in \mathcal{C}_0(G, G_0, G_f) : \|fg\|_{_1} \approx 0 \}$

$$\mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_f) = \left\{ f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G; \ f^p \in \mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_f) \ \& \ f \ \text{is} \ S^p\text{-continuous} \right\}$$

- the linear mapping $\mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_f) \to \mathrm{M}(\mathbf{G})$ extends the linear map $\mathcal{L}^1(G, G_0, G_f) \to \mathrm{L}^1(\mathbf{G})$
- both preserve convolution, i.e., they are homomorphisms of linear algebras
- they induce isomorphisms $\mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_f)/\mathcal{M}_0 \cong M(\mathbf{G})$ and $\mathcal{L}^1(G, G_0, G_f)/\mathcal{L}_0^1 \cong L^1(\mathbf{G})$ of linear algebras
- $\mathcal{L}_0^1 = \mathcal{L}_0^1(G, G_0, G_f) = \{ f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G; \|f\|_1 \approx 0 \}$
- $\mathcal{M}_0 = \mathcal{M}_0(G, G_0, G_f) = \{g \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G; \ \forall f \in \mathcal{C}_0(G, G_0, G_f) : \|fg\|_{_1} \approx 0 \}$

$$\mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_f) = \left\{ f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G; \ f^p \in \mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_f) \ \& \ f \text{ is } S^p\text{-continuous} \right\}$$

- the linear mapping $\mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_f) \to M(\mathbf{G})$ extends the linear map $\mathcal{L}^1(G, G_0, G_f) \to L^1(\mathbf{G})$
- both preserve convolution, i.e., they are homomorphisms of linear algebras
- they induce isomorphisms $\mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_f)/\mathcal{M}_0 \cong M(\mathbf{G})$ and $\mathcal{L}^1(G, G_0, G_f)/\mathcal{L}_0^1 \cong L^1(\mathbf{G})$ of linear algebras
- $\mathcal{L}_0^1 = \mathcal{L}_0^1(G, G_0, G_f) = \{ f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G; \|f\|_1 \approx 0 \}$
- $\mathcal{M}_0 = \mathcal{M}_0(G, G_0, G_f) = \{g \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G; \ \forall f \in \mathcal{C}_0(G, G_0, G_f) : \|fg\|_{_1} \approx 0\} \supseteq \mathcal{L}_0^1$

Let
$$1 \leq p \leq 2 \leq q \leq \infty$$
, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, $||f||_p < \infty$. Then

Let
$$1 \leq p \leq 2 \leq q \leq \infty$$
, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, $||f||_p < \infty$. Then

•
$$f$$
 is S^p -continuous $\Rightarrow (\widehat{f})^q \in \mathcal{M}(\widehat{G}, G_f^{\downarrow}, G_0^{\downarrow})$ (w.r.t. \widehat{d})

Let
$$1 \leq p \leq 2 \leq q \leq \infty$$
, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, $||f||_p < \infty$. Then

- f is S^p -continuous $\Rightarrow (\widehat{f})^q \in \mathcal{M}(\widehat{G}, G_f^{\downarrow}, G_0^{\downarrow})$ (w.r.t. \widehat{d})
- $f^p \in \mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_f) \Rightarrow \widehat{f}$ is S^q -continuous

Smoothness-and-Decay Principle.

Let
$$1 \le p \le 2 \le q \le \infty$$
, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, $||f||_p < \infty$. Then

- f is S^p -continuous $\Rightarrow (\widehat{f})^q \in \mathcal{M}(\widehat{G}, G_f^{\downarrow}, G_0^{\downarrow})$ (w.r.t. \widehat{d})
- $f^p \in \mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_f) \Rightarrow \widehat{f}$ is S^q -continuous
- $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_f) \Rightarrow \widehat{f} \in \mathcal{L}^q(\widehat{G}, G_f^{\downarrow}, G_0^{\downarrow})$

Smoothness-and-Decay Principle.

Let
$$1 \le p \le 2 \le q \le \infty$$
, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, $||f||_p < \infty$. Then

- f is S^p -continuous $\Rightarrow (\widehat{f})^q \in \mathcal{M}(\widehat{G}, G_f^{\downarrow}, G_0^{\downarrow})$ (w.r.t. \widehat{d})
- $f^p \in \mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_f) \Rightarrow \widehat{f}$ is S^q -continuous
- $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_f) \Rightarrow \widehat{f} \in \mathcal{L}^q(\widehat{G}, G_f^{\downarrow}, G_0^{\downarrow})$

Smoothness-and-Decay Principle.

Let
$$1 \le p \le 2 \le q \le \infty$$
, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, $||f||_p < \infty$. Then

- f is S^p -continuous $\Rightarrow (\hat{f})^q \in \mathcal{M}(\hat{G}, G_f^{\downarrow}, G_0^{\downarrow})$ (w.r.t. \hat{d})
- $f^p \in \mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_f) \Rightarrow \widehat{f}$ is S^q -continuous
- $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_f) \Rightarrow \widehat{f} \in \mathcal{L}^q(\widehat{G}, G_f^{\downarrow}, G_0^{\downarrow})$

In particular:

Smoothness-and-Decay Principle.

Let
$$1 \le p \le 2 \le q \le \infty$$
, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, $||f||_p < \infty$. Then

- f is S^p -continuous $\Rightarrow (\hat{f})^q \in \mathcal{M}(\hat{G}, G_f^{\downarrow}, G_0^{\downarrow})$ (w.r.t. \hat{d})
- $f^p \in \mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_f) \Rightarrow \widehat{f}$ is S^q -continuous
- $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_f) \Rightarrow \widehat{f} \in \mathcal{L}^q(\widehat{G}, G_f^{\downarrow}, G_0^{\downarrow})$

In particular:

• $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(G, G_0, G_f) \Rightarrow \widehat{f} \in \mathcal{C}_0(\widehat{G}, G_f^{\downarrow}, G_0^{\downarrow})$ (HF dimensional version of Riemann-Lebesgue thm.)

Smoothness-and-Decay Principle.

Let
$$1 \le p \le 2 \le q \le \infty$$
, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, $||f||_p < \infty$. Then

- f is S^p -continuous $\Rightarrow (\hat{f})^q \in \mathcal{M}(\hat{G}, G_f^{\downarrow}, G_0^{\downarrow})$ (w.r.t. \hat{d})
- $f^p \in \mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_f) \Rightarrow \widehat{f}$ is S^q -continuous
- $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_f) \Rightarrow \widehat{f} \in \mathcal{L}^q(\widehat{G}, G_f^{\downarrow}, G_0^{\downarrow})$

In particular:

- $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(G, G_0, G_f) \Rightarrow \widehat{f} \in \mathcal{C}_0(\widehat{G}, G_f^{\downarrow}, G_0^{\downarrow})$ (HF dimensional version of Riemann-Lebesgue thm.)
- $f \in \mathcal{L}^2(G, G_0, G_f) \Leftrightarrow \widehat{f} \in \mathcal{L}^2(\widehat{G}, G_f^{\downarrow}, G_0^{\downarrow})$ (in some special cases proved by Albeverio-Gordon-Khrennikov [2000])

Smoothness-and-Decay Principle.

Let
$$1 \le p \le 2 \le q \le \infty$$
, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $f \in {}^*\mathbb{C}^G$, $||f||_p < \infty$. Then

- f is S^p -continuous $\Rightarrow (\hat{f})^q \in \mathcal{M}(\hat{G}, G_f^{\downarrow}, G_0^{\downarrow})$ (w.r.t. \hat{d})
- $f^p \in \mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_f) \Rightarrow \widehat{f}$ is S^q -continuous
- $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_f) \Rightarrow \widehat{f} \in \mathcal{L}^q(\widehat{G}, G_f^{\downarrow}, G_0^{\downarrow})$

In particular:

- $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(G, G_0, G_f) \Rightarrow \widehat{f} \in \mathcal{C}_0(\widehat{G}, G_f^{\downarrow}, G_0^{\downarrow})$ (HF dimensional version of Riemann-Lebesgue thm.)
- $f \in \mathcal{L}^2(G, G_0, G_f) \Leftrightarrow \widehat{f} \in \mathcal{L}^2(\widehat{G}, G_f^{\downarrow}, G_0^{\downarrow})$ (in some special cases proved by Albeverio-Gordon-Khrennikov [2000])
- $f \in \mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_f) \Rightarrow \widehat{f} \in \mathcal{C}(\widehat{G}, G_f^{\downarrow}, G_0^{\downarrow})$



Approximation of Fourier transform. [PZ, October 2012]

Approximation of Fourier transform. [PZ, October 2012]

$$\mathbf{G} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_{0}, \ \widehat{\mathbf{G}} = G_{0}^{\lambda}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\lambda},$$

$$f \mapsto \widehat{f} - \text{discrete HF dimensional FT } {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{G} \to {}^{*}\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$$

Approximation of Fourier transform. [PZ, October 2012]

$$\mathbf{G} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_{\mathrm{0}}, \ \widehat{\mathbf{G}} = G_{\mathrm{0}}^{\lambda}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\lambda},$$

$$f \mapsto \widehat{f}$$
 – discrete HF dimensional FT ${}^*\mathbb{C}^G \to {}^*\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$

Approximation of Fourier transform. [PZ, October 2012]

$$\mathbf{G} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_{0}, \ \widehat{\mathbf{G}} = G_{0}^{\lambda}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\lambda},$$

 $f \mapsto \widehat{f}$ – discrete HF dimensional FT ${}^*\mathbb{C}^G \to {}^*\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$ Discrete HF dimensional FT approximates all the classical FTs:

• $\mathcal{F}: L^1(\mathbf{G}) \to C_0(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}):$

Approximation of Fourier transform. [PZ, October 2012]

$$\mathbf{G} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_{0}, \ \widehat{\mathbf{G}} = G_{0}^{\lambda}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\lambda},$$

 $f \mapsto \widehat{f}$ – discrete HF dimensional FT ${}^*\mathbb{C}^G \to {}^*\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$ Discrete HF dimensional FT approximates all the classical FTs:

• $\mathcal{F}: L^1(\mathbf{G}) \to C_0(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}):$

Approximation of Fourier transform. [PZ, October 2012]

$$\mathbf{G} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_{0}, \ \widehat{\mathbf{G}} = G_{0}^{\lambda}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\lambda},$$

 $f \mapsto \widehat{f}$ – discrete HF dimensional FT ${}^*\mathbb{C}^G \to {}^*\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$

•
$$\mathcal{F}: L^1(\mathbf{G}) \to C_0(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}):$$

 $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(G, G_0, G_f)$ is lifting of $\mathbf{f} \in L^1(\mathbf{G}) \Rightarrow$

Approximation of Fourier transform. [PZ, October 2012]

$$\mathbf{G} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_{0}, \ \widehat{\mathbf{G}} = G_{0}^{\downarrow}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\downarrow},$$

 $f \mapsto \widehat{f}$ – discrete HF dimensional FT ${}^*\mathbb{C}^G \to {}^*\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$

•
$$\mathcal{F}: L^{1}(\mathbf{G}) \to C_{0}(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}):$$

 $f \in \mathcal{L}^{1}(G, G_{0}, G_{f}) \text{ is lifting of } \mathbf{f} \in L^{1}(\mathbf{G}) \Rightarrow$
 $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{C}_{0}(\widehat{G}, G_{f}^{\downarrow}, G_{0}^{\downarrow}) \text{ is lifting of } \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{f}) \in C_{0}(\widehat{\mathbf{G}})$

Approximation of Fourier transform. [PZ, October 2012]

$$\mathbf{G} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_{0}, \ \widehat{\mathbf{G}} = G_{0}^{\lambda}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\lambda},$$

 $f \mapsto \widehat{f}$ – discrete HF dimensional FT ${}^*\mathbb{C}^G \to {}^*\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$

- $\mathcal{F}: L^{1}(\mathbf{G}) \to C_{0}(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}):$ $f \in \mathcal{L}^{1}(G, G_{0}, G_{f}) \text{ is lifting of } \mathbf{f} \in L^{1}(\mathbf{G}) \Rightarrow$ $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{C}_{0}(\widehat{G}, G_{f}^{\downarrow}, G_{0}^{\downarrow}) \text{ is lifting of } \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{f}) \in C_{0}(\widehat{\mathbf{G}})$
- $\mathcal{F}: L^p(\mathbf{G}) \to L^q(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}) \ (1$

Approximation of Fourier transform. [PZ, October 2012]

$$\mathbf{G} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_{0}, \ \widehat{\mathbf{G}} = G_{0}^{\lambda}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\lambda},$$

 $f \mapsto \widehat{f}$ – discrete HF dimensional FT ${}^*\mathbb{C}^G \to {}^*\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$

- $\mathcal{F}: L^{1}(\mathbf{G}) \to C_{0}(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}):$ $f \in \mathcal{L}^{1}(G, G_{0}, G_{f}) \text{ is lifting of } \mathbf{f} \in L^{1}(\mathbf{G}) \Rightarrow$ $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{C}_{0}(\widehat{G}, G_{f}^{\downarrow}, G_{0}^{\downarrow}) \text{ is lifting of } \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{f}) \in C_{0}(\widehat{\mathbf{G}})$
- $\mathcal{F}: L^p(\mathbf{G}) \to L^q(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}) \ (1$

Approximation of Fourier transform. [PZ, October 2012]

$$\mathbf{G} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_{0}, \ \widehat{\mathbf{G}} = G_{0}^{\lambda}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\lambda},$$

 $f \mapsto \widehat{f}$ – discrete HF dimensional FT ${}^*\mathbb{C}^G \to {}^*\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{G}}$

- $\mathcal{F}: L^{1}(\mathbf{G}) \to C_{0}(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}):$ $f \in \mathcal{L}^{1}(G, G_{0}, G_{f}) \text{ is lifting of } \mathbf{f} \in L^{1}(\mathbf{G}) \Rightarrow$ $\widehat{f} \in C_{0}(\widehat{G}, G_{f}^{\downarrow}, G_{0}^{\downarrow}) \text{ is lifting of } \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{f}) \in C_{0}(\widehat{\mathbf{G}})$
- $\mathcal{F}: L^p(\mathbf{G}) \to L^q(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}) \ (1$ $<math>f \in \mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_f)$ is lifting of $\mathbf{f} \in L^p(\mathbf{G}) \Rightarrow$

Approximation of Fourier transform. [PZ, October 2012]

$$\mathbf{G} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_{\mathrm{0}}, \ \widehat{\mathbf{G}} = G_{\mathrm{0}}^{\lambda}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\lambda},$$

- $\mathcal{F}: L^{1}(\mathbf{G}) \to C_{0}(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}):$ $f \in \mathcal{L}^{1}(G, G_{0}, G_{f}) \text{ is lifting of } \mathbf{f} \in L^{1}(\mathbf{G}) \Rightarrow$ $\widehat{f} \in C_{0}(\widehat{G}, G_{f}^{\downarrow}, G_{0}^{\downarrow}) \text{ is lifting of } \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{f}) \in C_{0}(\widehat{\mathbf{G}})$
- $\mathcal{F}: L^p(\mathbf{G}) \to L^q(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}) \ (1$ $<math>f \in \mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_f) \text{ is lifting of } \mathbf{f} \in L^p(\mathbf{G}) \Rightarrow$ $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{L}^q(\widehat{G}, G_f^{\perp}, G_0^{\perp}) \text{ is lifting of } \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{f}) \in L^q(\widehat{\mathbf{G}})$

Approximation of Fourier transform. [PZ, October 2012]

$$\mathbf{G} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_{0}, \ \widehat{\mathbf{G}} = G_{0}^{\lambda}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\lambda},$$

- $\mathcal{F}: L^{1}(\mathbf{G}) \to C_{0}(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}):$ $f \in \mathcal{L}^{1}(G, G_{0}, G_{f}) \text{ is lifting of } \mathbf{f} \in L^{1}(\mathbf{G}) \Rightarrow$ $\widehat{f} \in C_{0}(\widehat{G}, G_{f}^{\downarrow}, G_{0}^{\downarrow}) \text{ is lifting of } \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{f}) \in C_{0}(\widehat{\mathbf{G}})$
- $\mathcal{F}: L^p(\mathbf{G}) \to L^q(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}) \ (1$ $<math>f \in \mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_f) \text{ is lifting of } \mathbf{f} \in L^p(\mathbf{G}) \Rightarrow$ $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{L}^q(\widehat{G}, G_f^{\downarrow}, G_0^{\downarrow}) \text{ is lifting of } \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{f}) \in L^q(\widehat{\mathbf{G}})$ for p = q = 2 this settles **Gordon's Conjecture 3**

Approximation of Fourier transform. [PZ, October 2012]

$$\mathbf{G} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_{\mathrm{0}}, \ \widehat{\mathbf{G}} = G_{\mathrm{0}}^{\lambda}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\lambda},$$

- $\mathcal{F}: L^{1}(\mathbf{G}) \to C_{0}(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}):$ $f \in \mathcal{L}^{1}(G, G_{0}, G_{f}) \text{ is lifting of } \mathbf{f} \in L^{1}(\mathbf{G}) \Rightarrow$ $\widehat{f} \in C_{0}(\widehat{G}, G_{f}^{\downarrow}, G_{0}^{\downarrow}) \text{ is lifting of } \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{f}) \in C_{0}(\widehat{\mathbf{G}})$
- $\mathcal{F}: L^p(\mathbf{G}) \to L^q(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}) \ (1$ $<math>f \in \mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_f) \text{ is lifting of } \mathbf{f} \in L^p(\mathbf{G}) \Rightarrow$ $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{L}^q(\widehat{G}, G_f^{\downarrow}, G_0^{\downarrow}) \text{ is lifting of } \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{f}) \in L^q(\widehat{\mathbf{G}})$ for p = q = 2 this settles **Gordon's Conjecture 3**
- $\mathcal{F}: M(\mathbf{G}) \to C(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}):$

Approximation of Fourier transform. [PZ, October 2012]

$$\mathbf{G} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_{\mathrm{0}}, \ \widehat{\mathbf{G}} = G_{\mathrm{0}}^{\lambda}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\lambda},$$

- $\mathcal{F}: L^{1}(\mathbf{G}) \to C_{0}(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}):$ $f \in \mathcal{L}^{1}(G, G_{0}, G_{f}) \text{ is lifting of } \mathbf{f} \in L^{1}(\mathbf{G}) \Rightarrow$ $\widehat{f} \in C_{0}(\widehat{G}, G_{f}^{\downarrow}, G_{0}^{\downarrow}) \text{ is lifting of } \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{f}) \in C_{0}(\widehat{\mathbf{G}})$
- $\mathcal{F}: L^p(\mathbf{G}) \to L^q(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}) \ (1$ $<math>f \in \mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_f) \text{ is lifting of } \mathbf{f} \in L^p(\mathbf{G}) \Rightarrow$ $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{L}^q(\widehat{G}, G_f^{\downarrow}, G_0^{\downarrow}) \text{ is lifting of } \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{f}) \in L^q(\widehat{\mathbf{G}})$ for p = q = 2 this settles **Gordon's Conjecture 3**
- $\mathcal{F}: M(\mathbf{G}) \to C(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}):$

Approximation of Fourier transform. [PZ, October 2012]

$$\mathbf{G} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_{\mathrm{0}}, \ \widehat{\mathbf{G}} = G_{\mathrm{0}}^{\lambda}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\lambda},$$

- $\mathcal{F}: L^{1}(\mathbf{G}) \to C_{0}(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}):$ $f \in \mathcal{L}^{1}(G, G_{0}, G_{f}) \text{ is lifting of } \mathbf{f} \in L^{1}(\mathbf{G}) \Rightarrow$ $\widehat{f} \in C_{0}(\widehat{G}, G_{\mathbf{f}}^{\downarrow}, G_{0}^{\downarrow}) \text{ is lifting of } \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{f}) \in C_{0}(\widehat{\mathbf{G}})$
- $\mathcal{F}: L^p(\mathbf{G}) \to L^q(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}) \ (1$ $<math>f \in \mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_f) \text{ is lifting of } \mathbf{f} \in L^p(\mathbf{G}) \Rightarrow$ $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{L}^q(\widehat{G}, G_f^{\downarrow}, G_0^{\downarrow}) \text{ is lifting of } \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{f}) \in L^q(\widehat{\mathbf{G}})$ for p = q = 2 this settles **Gordon's Conjecture 3**
- $\mathcal{F}: \mathrm{M}(\mathbf{G}) \to \mathrm{C}(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}):$ $g \in \mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_\mathrm{f}) \text{ is lifting of } \mu \in \mathrm{M}(\mathbf{G}) \Rightarrow$

Approximation of Fourier transform. [PZ, October 2012]

$$\mathbf{G} = G_{\mathrm{f}}/G_{\mathrm{0}}, \ \widehat{\mathbf{G}} = G_{\mathrm{0}}^{\lambda}/G_{\mathrm{f}}^{\lambda},$$

- $\mathcal{F}: L^{1}(\mathbf{G}) \to C_{0}(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}):$ $f \in \mathcal{L}^{1}(G, G_{0}, G_{f}) \text{ is lifting of } \mathbf{f} \in L^{1}(\mathbf{G}) \Rightarrow$ $\widehat{f} \in C_{0}(\widehat{G}, G_{f}^{\downarrow}, G_{0}^{\downarrow}) \text{ is lifting of } \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{f}) \in C_{0}(\widehat{\mathbf{G}})$
- $\mathcal{F}: L^p(\mathbf{G}) \to L^q(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}) \ (1$ $<math>f \in \mathcal{L}^p(G, G_0, G_f) \text{ is lifting of } \mathbf{f} \in L^p(\mathbf{G}) \Rightarrow$ $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{L}^q(\widehat{G}, G_f^{\downarrow}, G_0^{\downarrow}) \text{ is lifting of } \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{f}) \in L^q(\widehat{\mathbf{G}})$ for p = q = 2 this settles **Gordon's Conjecture 3**
- $\mathcal{F}: \mathrm{M}(\mathbf{G}) \to \mathrm{C}(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}):$ $g \in \mathcal{M}(G, G_0, G_f)$ is lifting of $\mu \in \mathrm{M}(\mathbf{G}) \Rightarrow$ $\widehat{g} \in \mathcal{C}(\widehat{G}, G_f^{\downarrow}, G_0^{\downarrow})$ is lifting of $\mathcal{F}(\mu) \in \mathrm{C}(\widehat{\mathbf{G}})$

TYFYAP

Thank you for your attention and patience.